ANN ARBOR DESIGN REVIEW BOARD

Staff Report

MEETING DATE: October 17, 2018

PROJECT: Design Plan for Standard at Ann Arbor

Project No. DR18-004

ADDRESS: 425 South Main Street

ZONING: D2 Downtown Interface (base zoning)

Main Street Character (overlay zoning)

Primary (street designation) (E. William, S. Main)

Secondary (street designation) (Packard)

DESIGN TEAM: Lord Aeck Sargent – Architects (Ben Ridderbos)

Landmark Properties, Inc. – Developer (Eric Leath)

PROPOSED PROJECT: The design to redevelopment the east side of South Main Street between East William and Packard with a multi-story mixed use building. Retail space is proposed on the ground floor, residential apartments are proposed on the upper floors. A parking garage below the building, accessed solely off the public alley running midblock, on the east side of the site, is proposed to provide approximately 100 off-street vehicle parking spaces.



BACKGROUND: This site was rezoned from D1 (Downtown Core) to D2 (Downtown Interface) on January 5, 2015. The Zoning Ordinance was also amended at that time to create regulations for sites zoned D2 in the Main Street Character overlay district, a combination previously not found. During the rezoning and ordinance amendment process, the property owner offered an analysis of potential development on the site if zoned D2 base + Main Street Character overlay which can be found here.

The Design Review Board discussed the preliminary massing scheme of this proposed development at their meeting of October 17, 2018, prior to receiving a complete application. The Design Review Board generally supported the massing concept as presented while offering several comments and recommendations to consider for the final design plan and application. Their draft letter to the applicant is attached.

STAFF COMMENTS:

1. **Zoning Compliance (Area, Height, Placement).** The area, height and placement regulations for this site (D2, Main Street Character, front yard street) are provided in the chart below. A cursory review of the proposed development indicates does or will comply with the standards and regulations applicable for the site.

	Requirements	Proposed
Lot Area		~ 50,000 sq ft
FAR (Floor Area Ratio)	200% normal MAX, up to 400% MAX with premiums	Approximately 400% FAR Residential premiums used
Floor Area	Up to ~100,000 sq ft normally, up to ~200,000 sq ft with premiums	Approximately ~200,000 sq ft Residential premiums used
Front Setback	William: 0 to 1 ft Main: 0 to 1 ft Packard: 0 to 10 ft	William: 0 ft Main: 0 ft Packard: 0 ft minimum
Side Setback	(site has no side)	
Rear Setback	30 ft MIN from R district	30 ft
Streetwall Height	Min 2 stories, Max 4 stories	2 stories
Offset at Top of Streetwall	5 ft MIN Average	Appears 5 ft
Total Height	2 stories/24 ft MIN 60 ft MAX but 120 ft MAX for northern 150 ft of site	120 ft for northern portion of site, 60 ft everywhere else
Massing Articulation	Not applicable	
Building Coverage	80% MAX	Appears compliant
Open Space	10% MIN	Appears compliant

- 2. **Zoning Compliance (Intent).** The redevelopment appears in keeping with the massing arrangement and variable building height envisioned when the site was rezoned and development regulations were recently adopted.
- 3. **Site Context and Site Planning.** Staff find that each of the six site context and site planning categories are applicable, and that the proposed development appropriately arranges the building and features on the site in the ways preferred by the Downtown Design Guidelines. Notably, the proposed development:
 - a. Activates a site that is currently underdeveloped and serves as a hard stop to the Main Street commercial district.
 - b. Takes advantage of the opportunities to reinforce positive characteristics of adjacent sites; has sidewalk level features that not only provide a pedestrian experience where none currently exists, but it creates an enriching experience.
 - c. Uses the abutting alley as it was intended, enabling an unbroken streetwall and properly placing all service functions at the rear of the site. Further, the design takes advantage of the alley as an open space.
- 4. **Buildings.** For an existing site in downtown Ann Arbor, the subject site is huge. It's sheer size allows it to more easily address site context and site planning, but presents a challenge to achieve the massing principles in the buildings category. Overall, staff find the suggested strategies to minimize the building's impact have been used but there may be more room for improvement. When considered as a single large building on a large site, the design successfully places taller elements nearest the street intersections and steps down in line with surrounding buildings and lower-scale neighborhoods. It is not as successful in reflecting the underlying pattern of established lot widths with distinct architectural styles on each "lot" as found on the 200 and 300 blocks of South Main.
- 5. **Building Elements.** Staff again find that all seven categories for building elements are applicable and that the proposed development has many of the desired character and details. Staff note that no awnings are proposed and recommend awnings be included on this block-long development for all of the reasons expressed in the Guidelines, see C.4.

6. Other Comments.

- a. Although the "alternate color palette" better coordinates with Ashley Mews directly across the street, staff prefer the proposed color palette.
- b. The south façade should have an equal amount of transparency as the west façade. It is curiously lacking in windows and presents an unappealing amount of blank wall to anyone approaching from the south.

- c. At least one staff member has indicated a preference for the lower, southern portion of the building to have a sloped roof. This may help solidify the proposed building's connection with the Ashley Mews townhouses across the street, Baker Commons on the next block south, and the residential neighborhood to the east/southeast.
- d. Based on the perspective renderings, the South Main streetwall in front of the residential southern half of the building seems to creates an awkward situation for both pedestrians as well as residents. The openings are too wide to form a solid streetwall, and push the weather-tight exterior wall too far back, to give a sense of enclosure to the street. The design team should demonstrate how the streetwall will function as a streetwall and not a low fence in front of private open spaces directly adjacent to the public sidewalk.

Attachment: Draft Recommendation Letter (Standard at Ann Arbor; October 17, 2018)

Downtown Ann Arbor Design Guidelines-Text Only

Prepared by Alexis DiLeo, City Planner December 13, 2018



City of Ann Arbor

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES — PLANNING DIVISION

301 East Huron Street | P.O. Box 8647 | Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107-8647 p. 734.794.6265 | f. 734.994.8312 | planning@a2gov.org

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

TO: Design Team – Ben Ridderbos (Lord Aeck Sargent), Luke Bonner (Bonner

Advisory Group), Eric Leath (Landmark Properties), Jason Doornbos (Landmark

Properties)

FROM: Design Review Board

DATE: October 17, 2018

SUBJECT: Standard at Ann Arbor Preliminary Massing Concepts

425 S. Main Street

The Design Review Board discussed the preliminary massing concepts for the pending Standard at Ann Arbor design plan on October 18, 2018. Please consider the following comments and recommendations of the Design Review Board when preparing a future design plan application:

- 1. The Board generally agrees with and supports the preliminary massing concept as presented.
- 2. Any openings or recessed walls in the streetwall should be carefully balanced in width, height and depth so the intent of the streetwall requirement, to provide a sense of enclosure formed by continuous building facades, is maintained.
- 3. The streetwall must include sufficient articulation to prevent the appearance of a monolith block. It's length must be broken into pedestrian-scale components at natural breakpoints. Cues for breakpoints can be taken from the underlying lot pattern of the site or other Main Street blocks, or can be taken from the uses and tenant spaces within the proposed building.
- 4. The overall design should continue working towards providing an appropriate transition between the retail character of the 300 block of South Main and the urban residential character of the 400 and 500 blocks of South Main.
- 5. The Board expressed a preference for the preliminarily proposed stepped, southern, Packard façade (as opposed to planning staff's preference for a straight, parallel streetwall).
- 6. As much design thought must be put toward the east, alley façade as the public street

Design Review Board Comments and Recommendations Standard at Ann Arbor, 425 S. Main October 17, 2018 Page 2 of 2

facades.

These comments and recommendations were prepared on October 18, 2018 and approved by the Board on ------.

Chapter 1: General Design Guidelines

A. Design Guidelines for Context and Site Planning

A.1 Urban Pattern and Form. When considering urban pattern and form, the petitioner should assess the character of the adjacent streetscape, open spaces, and buildings to determine how they function as places and facilities supporting human use.

The project team's assessment should seek to define opportunities to enrich the design excellence of that project.

- A.1.1 Identify and then reinforce the positive characteristics of adjacent sites.
- A.1.2 Design sidewalk level features and facilities to provide enrichment of the pedestrian experience.
- A.1.3 Corner sites are an opportunity to express an architectural gateway or focal point and a dominant architectural feature.
- A.1.4 For mid-block sites, identify adjacent site and building design qualities, noting that a design may be appropriate for a mid-block site that best serves the area in a secondary role.
- A.1.5 If the street geometries are such that the mid-block is the termination of a perpendicular street view, consider a design with enough presence and detail to make that view noteworthy.
- A.1.6 Where adjacent properties are underdeveloped and/or the block lacks inviting and interesting characteristics, consider a building, site and streetscape design that helps to create a vibrant pedestrian setting.
- A.1.7 On sites that abut an alley, design the alley entry connection to the street to minimize pedestrian/bike/vehicle conflicts while taking advantage of the alley as an open space from which to see and access the new/proposed site and buildings.
- **A.2 Site Planning and Natural Systems.** An urban setting can be a challenging environment in which to respond to natural systems. Consider natural systems such as sun and wind patterns, climates and seasonality, rainwater harvesting, and significant individual features such as street tree patterns and landmark trees on public and private sites.
 - A.2.1 Orient the building location to best accommodate climate, rainfall and area drainage patterns. The use of pervious versus impervious surfaces should be determined for each project based on beneficial environmental results.
 - A.2.2 Site designs should accommodate solar access and minimize shading of adjacent properties and neighborhoods.
 - A.2.3 Where location and site features allow, use deciduous trees, which provide shade in the summer and sun in winter months.
 - A.2.4 Orient plant groups to provide wind protection of plazas and entries in wintertime and allow cooling breezes into outdoor spaces.
 - A.2.5 Plant native and non-invasive species, especially those that require low levels of water and are tolerant of urban conditions.
 - A.2.6 Where location and site size allow, consider use of a rain garden or vegetated roof to

- retain rainwater and serve as a site amenity, and employ rainwater harvesting methods for use in landscape irrigation systems
- A.2.7 Use porous materials in drainage and detention areas to promote rainwater percolation into the parent soil.
- A.3 Open Space. Open spaces can include public and private courtyards, plazas, patios, terraces, alleys, and gardens. Throughout downtown, site features and elements that invite use should be provided. In commercial areas, open spaces should have an urban quality and character that enliven the street and enhance the pedestrian experience. Outside the commercial core and in civic areas, open spaces may be more park-like settings for human activity. Private property open spaces should be sized relative to the intended use and level of anticipated adjacent pedestrian activity.
 - A.3.1 Design an urban open space to maximize activity and usability for a diverse population of different abilities.
 - A.3.2 Locate an urban open space where there is a high level of existing or potential pedestrian activity.
 - A.3.3 Locate urban open space that serves the general public at sidewalk level. Semi-private or private open space and activity areas may be appropriate if placed above or below the public sidewalk level.
 - A.3.4 Place an urban open space in a location that serves as a focal point on a site.
 - A.3.5 Orient an urban open space to the street or to cultural, historic or natural resources.
 - A.3.6 Provide dining opportunities, movable tables and chairs, public art, lighting, interpretive materials, historic markers, water features, and architectural details such as windows and storefront walls, to frame urban open space.
 - A.3.7 Enrich the space using special paving, plants, trellises and site structures.
- **A.4** Parking, Driveways and Service Areas. Parking, driveways, and service areas are necessary functions, which should be designed to benefit the urban experience.
 - A.4.1 Locate and size driveways, access points, service entries, alleys, loading docks, and trash receptacles to minimize impact on pedestrians and maintain pedestrian safety, circulation, and comfort.
 - A.4.2 Provide a pedestrian-friendly street edge at street level adjacent to surface parking areas and enclosed parking structures. Provide a landscape buffer appropriate for urban conditions at the edges of surface parking areas.
 - A.4.3 Locate a parking structure or a surface parking lot behind or to the side of a building, minimizing the visual presence of parking on adjacent public right-of-way.
 - A.4.4 Parking structures should incorporate architectural screens, public art, seating, lighting, kiosks, vending booths, and other ground level service shops adjacent to the street and sidewalk.
- **A.5** Pedestrian Connections. Pedestrian connections include sidewalks, alleys and arcades that provide pedestrian access within, through and among properties. Such connections provide access to buildings, courtyards, plazas and other site elements.

Appropriate strategies for effective pedestrian connections include:

A.5.1 Pedestrian walkways should be well integrated with the existing infrastructure in a way

- that supports pedestrian connections within and outside the areas of the proposed project.
- A.5.2 In order to enliven the experience within pedestrian connections, avoid interior linkages between buildings and their parking areas.
- A.5.3 Provide engaging spatial opportunities for window shopping while also maintaining a zone for efficient circulation, especially in areas where there is already heavy pedestrian use.
- A.5.4 Provide landscaping, seating, public art, lighting, interpretive markers, and water features to enrich and enliven pedestrian walkways and use areas.
- A.5.5 Link on-site open spaces, such as courtyards and plazas, directly to a public sidewalk.
- **A.6 Cycling and Transit.** Walking, cycling, transit and other multi-modal means of transportation are to be considered in the design of streetscapes.

Appropriate strategies include:

- A.6.1 Provide a comfortable environment for transit patrons if the site in question includes or is adjacent to a transit stop. Consider adequate waiting space, trash receptacles, and seating or leaning walls.
- A.6.2 Consider use of convenient bicycle racks, including proximity to building entries, weather protection and security when selecting a location for bicycle parking and storage.

B. Design Guidelines for Buildings

- **B. 1 Building Massing.** Building massing principles address the overall height, size and shape of a building. Although these guidelines refer to the visual aspects of structures, it is important to note that downtown zoning districts address key building massing considerations including floor area ratio, building height, streetwall height, offset and module length.
 - B.1.1 Design a building to minimize its impact on adjacent lower-scale areas.

Suggested strategies include:

- Step taller building elements away from adjacent lower-scale buildings and/or neighborhoods
- b) Locate taller building elements at the intersection of streets
- c) Provide variation in building massing to reflect the underlying pattern of established lot widths
- B.1.2 When a new building will be larger than surrounding structures, visually divide it into smaller building modules that provide a sense of scale.

Suggested strategies include:

- a) Vary the height of individual building modules.
- b) Vary the height of cornice lines and other roof finish elements.
- c) Change wall surface materials, colors or texture.
- d) Use vertical moldings to express different building modules.
- e) Align projecting features, such as balconies or sun screens, to express different building modules.
- f) Use underlying established lot widths to help determine the width of building modules at the street level.

- B.1.3 Provide a clear definition between the base (the lower floor or floors) and upper floors to maintain a sense of scale at the street level. Suggested strategies include:
 - a) Use a distinct horizontal molding to define the base
 - b) Provide variation in the façade plane of the upper floors
 - c) Provide a distinct change in the ratio of solid to void (wall to window percentage) to distinguish the base from the upper floors
- B.1.4 If appropriate to the context, establish a design treatment that includes a differentiated building top.

Suggested strategies include:

- a) Use a distinctive cornice line or roof form
- b) Change wall surface materials, colors or texture of the building top

C. Design Guidelines for Building Elements

Building elements include specific design features that give character and detail to a building. They are not generally addressed by the requirements of the downtown zoning districts. Entries, windows, materials, and other building elements influence the degree to which a new building contributes to the urban fabric. Quality and creativity are most clearly expressed and experienced at this level of design.

The design of building elements should be compatible with its surrounding context. However, a wide range of styles or design themes are appropriate including creative, contemporary, and environmentally-oriented design solutions. Surfaces that have variations in depth with substantial shadow lines add interest.

- **C.1 Street Edge.** Building elements and architectural details used at the street front have a direct impact on the quality of the pedestrian experience and should be combined to create an active and interesting street front. Creative use of materials, textures and architectural details is especially important where there are few windows at the street front of a building.
 - C.1.1 Use building elements to create a street edge that invites pedestrian activity.

Suggested street edge elements include:

- a) First floor canopies that complement the design character of the building and its street front
- b) Architectural details that provide a sense of scale
- c) Wall surfaces with visually interesting detailing, textures and colors
- d) Art features including sculptures, friezes, and murals
- C.2 Entries. The location, spacing and general pattern of building entries impact the quality of the pedestrian experience downtown. Building entries should be located to enhance the street level experience and help give a sense of scale. Entries should be clearly defined, accessible, and located to express rhythm and visual interest along a street front. Although traditional building entry designs may be appropriate, creative and contemporary interpretations are also encouraged.
 - C.2.1 Clearly define a primary entrance and orient it toward the street.

Appropriate strategies include:

a) Create a recessed area that signifies a break in the building wall line

- b) Use a canopy or awning positioned over the entry
- c) Design a change in wall materials, textures, or colors that frames the entry
- d) Include distinctive paving pattern leading to the entry
- e) Use accent lighting to define the entry way
- f) Locate the entry at the street level
- **C.3 Windows.** Window design and placement should help establish a sense of scale and provide visual interest.
 - C.3.1 A high level of ground floor transparency is encouraged throughout downtown.
 - a) Design a building to incorporate ground floor storefronts wherever possible.
 - b) Do not use dark or tinted glass in ground floor windows facing the street or an open space.
 - Use exterior awnings or sun screens mounted above ground floor windows to shade interior spaces. Permanent, non-moveable interior shades and privacy screens are discouraged.
 - C.3.2 If contextually appropriate, upper floor windows should reference established patterns of adjacent and nearby buildings in size, shape, and spacing by aligning sills and headers and using similar window proportions.
 - C.3.3 Window depths should be appropriate to the building design concept. For example, windows flush to the wall surface are often appropriate for modern designs, but traditional concepts should have punched or recessed windows.
- **C.4 Awnings.** The use of awnings is encouraged at the sidewalk level to provide shelter from the rain, to modulate natural light, and to indicate entry and provide transition from the outdoor to the indoor environment.
 - C.4.1 Operable awnings could be considered at storefront and window locations.
 - C.4.2 Each awning should be sized to fit within individual storefronts, windows, or door openings.
 - C.4.3 The proportions of awnings should relate to the overall proportions of the building facade
 - C.4.4 Color selections should be compatible with the overall color scheme of the facade. Solid colors or simple, muted-stripe patterns are appropriate.
 - C.4.5 Simple shed shapes with open ends are preferred.
 - C.4.6 Opaque, water repellant, non-reflective fabrics should be considered.
 - C.4.7 External illumination of awnings is appropriate.
- **C.5 Materials.** Building materials should reinforce the massing and architectural concepts and enhance the character of the building and its context.
 - C.5.1 Apply materials to provide a sense of scale in proportion to the scale and mass of the building.
- **C.6 Building Operational Systems.** Building operational systems such as waste management, utility services, heating and cooling systems, must be carefully integrated into the design of a building and not detract from the architectural concept.

- C.6.1 Integrate solar or wind systems into the design of the top of the building.
- C.6.2 Locate and sufficiently screen mechanical systems to minimize or eliminate noise impacts on adjacent sites and buildings.
- **C.7 Sustainability in Building Elements.** Consider sustainability when selecting structural and façade materials and designing functional building elements.
 - C.7.1 Use sustainable building materials whenever possible. Suggested materials include:
 - 1. Locally manufactured materials
 - 2. Low maintenance materials
 - 3. Materials with long life spans

Such materials do not include toxic or otherwise hazardous materials.

- C.7.2 Select and apply building elements to maximize the building's environmental performance.
- C.7.3 Incorporate building elements that allow for natural environmental control.

Suggested strategies include:

- 1. Operable windows for natural ventilation
- 2. Rotating doors or wind locks at high volume entries
- 3. Interior or exterior light shelves/solar screens above south facing windows

Chapter 2: Design Guidelines for Character Districts South University Character District

This district is located on the southern and eastern edges of central campus (refer to the map for boundaries). Current architectural character includes diverse styles ranging from older eclectic forms to new/contemporary ones, expressed through a wide variety of architectural materials including wood siding, brick, limestone, precast concrete, and various metals. Building heights range from one and two floor/low-rise to mid and hi-rise. Rooflines vary from two and three story frame houses to flat roofed contemporary expressions at various building heights and façade expressions.

This area is a mixed use district, largely consisting today of university population- focused restaurant and commercial services, and student housing. This district is busy and vibrant with automobile and pedestrian activity. Sidewalk level doorways provide access to upper floor offices and apartments.

The urban landscape includes sidewalk extensions (bump-outs) with circular tree sized planters; a well developed tree canopy over some sidewalks; and outdoor dining spaces at sidewalk and rooftop levels. First floor facades are more transparent with clear, large display windows, allowing inside first floor retail activities to be visible from, and contribute to, the district's active street life.

The cumulative character can be described as a busy and vibrant urban setting that encourages and accommodates a diverse range of downtown activities.

State Street Character District

This district is located on the northwestern edges of central campus (refer to map for boundaries) and includes the State Street Historic District. Existing architectural character includes diverse styles, a rich variety of materials fitted to the diversity of architectural expressions (wood siding, brick, terracotta tile, limestone, precast concrete, natural stone, etc), in buildings ranging from one and two story/low-rise to mid- and high-rise. Roof lines include peaked residential forms (2 and 3 story frame houses) to flat roofed contemporary expressions at various heights and building elevations. A local historic district can be found on the district boundary map. It includes the Nichols Arcade, the State and Michigan theater marquees, and Burton Bell tower on campus. State Street includes an entire block's retail-facing façade east, which can be seen from a single point, an unusual sight in downtown.

The area is a mixed use district, largely consisting today of university population- focused restaurant and commercial services, and some housing. This district is busy and feels active and vibrant during both day and evening hours. Streets are heavily used by cars, busses, bicycles, and the sidewalks are quite crowded with pedestrians and outside dining in appropriate seasons. Sidewalk level doorways provide access to upper floor offices and apartments.

The urban landscape includes some widened sidewalk (to 16 feet on the north and east side of the street), with tree scaled planters; a well developed tree canopy over many sidewalks; and outdoor dining on both public and private properties. First floor facades are more transparent with clear, large display windows compared to upper floors with 'punched' windows, allowing views from the public sidewalk into street level retail and restaurant activity.

The cumulative character can be described as a busy and vibrant urban setting that encourages and accommodates a diverse range of downtown activities.

Liberty/Division Character District

The Liberty/Division Character District is located in the core of downtown, yet retains a small-scale residential character. This character includes fronts of buildings set back from the street, some landscaped areas, and porches or similar one-story elements to define primary entrances. Buildings are

2-3 story frame houses with peaked roofs and 2-4 story commercial brick structures with flat roofs. A significant portion of this district coincides with the East William Historic District and the east Liberty Historic District.

Individual street corridors within this character district are relatively distinct from one another. Thompson Street has the greatest integrity of the character district featuring an uninterrupted block of houses bounded by an apartment building at the south end and has a quieter pedestrian pace. Liberty Street, from Thompson Street to Fifth Avenue, is part of a primary connection between the Main Street and State Street Character Districts includes many shops and restaurants, and has heavy pedestrian activity.

Individual street corridors have different urban landscapes as well. Thompson Street generally has a residential scale with narrower sidewalks, pedestrian scale, a well developed tree canopy and landscaped areas adjacent to the sidewalks. Liberty Street is wider and busier with wider sidewalks and trees in planters. Along Liberty, Burton Tower serves as a focal point to the east.

The cumulative character can be described as quieter with a residential feel, and strong visual and aural connections with the more active adjacent areas.

East Huron Character Districts

The East Huron Character Districts are located along the eastern portion of the Huron Street civic corridor. (Refer to map for boundaries.) Buildings in these districts vary in type, from a major hotel, to high-rise housing, to church properties. Significant buildings in these two districts are freestanding structures with clearly defined front entrances facing the street. Huron Street is a heavy traffic corridor that forms the central feature of this district. Traffic along Huron Street needs to accommodate automobile entrances to on-site parking for major structures.

Generally, structures are set back from the sidewalks, with landscaping in the foreground, either in the form of a lawn or a landscaped plaza or planter. A landscaped buffer strip is also found between the sidewalk and street, with grass, pavers, trees, or similar elements.

The East Huron Character Districts are integrated with or adjacent to three designated historic districts—Division Street Historic District, Ann Street Historic District, and the Old Fourth Ward Historic District. There is a significant contrast between the massing and scale of the structures within the character districts and the residential scale of the adjacent historic neighborhoods.

Midtown Character District

Architectural styles in Midtown include some 19th century wood-framed residential (mostly converted to office use), but stylistically, the district is dominated by an array of late 20th century mid-rise office and governmental facilities.

The primary north-to-south street in Midtown is Fifth Avenue. It can be considered Ann Arbor's "civic corridor," anchored to the south by the Ann Arbor District Library's Main Branch, the Blake Transit Center and the Federal Building. To the north, directly across E. Huron Street from Midtown, are the Ann Arbor Municipal Center and the old and new fire stations and Hands-On Museum.

With the exception of the Library, the buildings in Midtown have limited hours and are used primarily during the business day. Since Midtown is surrounded by character districts with evening-use venues, it often serves as a passage, in particular the west-to-east blocks between Main Street and State Street.

Pedestrians seem to be focused on getting from point A to point B and would benefit from more opportunities to linger.

Future development should find opportunities to establish an identity for Midtown, increasing its vitality and expanding its offerings. Primary pedestrian access to buildings along the civic corridor should be from the corridor street.

Main Street Character District

The Main Street Character District, once the traditional heart of downtown, has evolved into a regional entertainment, business, and retail destination. The center of the district contains the Main Street Historic District. The 1929 First National Building (at Main and Washington) is a prominent landmark and is listed on the National Register of Historic Places.

First and second floor heights are similar among traditional buildings, which helps establish a continuity of scale. Architectural details also provide interest and convey a sense of scale in Main Street. While there is a range of building heights and architectural styles, most are of durable materials and high quality execution. This district has the strongest streetwall definition in the city, which is enhanced by the fine-grained texture of narrow storefronts that reflect traditional lot widths.

Being a regional dining attraction, this district is one of the more heavily trafficked visitor areas at night. Curb extensions have encouraged outdoor dining areas, which flourish seasonally. A large number of street trees and pedestrian-scaled lights complement the already pedestrian-oriented nature of the restaurant and detail destinations.

Kerrytown Character District

Kerrytown defines the downtown's northern edge and is the transition from commercial to residential as one moves to the east, north and west – away from the downtown. Two and three story Italianate masonry multi-use buildings with zero lot lines give way to late-19th and early-20th century wood-framed housing.

Many think of Kerrytown as home to several Ann Arbor "institutions" in the form of eateries, markets and entertainment venues. It is a lively district by day anchored by a stable retail presence, ample pedestrian elbow room and a variety of vehicular parking options. Kerrytown is a place locals like to frequent.

In the evening Kerrytown becomes more quiet. While Community High School and the Ann Arbor Farmer's Market provide vitality by day the use of each site recedes to parking at night. Evening activity in Kerrytown is limited to a number of well-spaced dining and entertainment venues in the core area between Detroit Street and Main Street, north of Miller Avenue.

Moving from the core of the Kerrytown Character District, with its brick-paved streets, into the surrounding neighborhoods the pedestrian amenities change. The sidewalks transition from continuous hardscape between building facades and the street curb into ribbons of walkway bordered by landscaped setbacks and grassy street extensions. Trees become more prevalent with way-finding signage and lighting levels diminishing.

First Street Character District

The First Street character area lies to the west of the Main Street and Kerrytown districts, and forms the eastern edge of the Old West Side Historic District. The topography forming the Allen Creek Valley with its flood plain, the buried/piped Allen Creek, the Ann Arbor Rail Road track with its historic, turn-of-the-century industrial architecture, and the proposed future Allen Creek Greenway, are distinct aspects of this district needing recognition during any First Street District proposed project design. The mixture of historic and non-historic residential and industrial architecture, and the valley land form, gives this area a distinct difference from other downtown character districts.

The area is a mixed use linear district (north to south) that follows the railroad tracks' older industrial railroad buildings, some of which have been converted into occupied industrial, construction, and other office uses, occasional art and dance studio activities, bars and nightclubs. The district also includes residential frame two and three story structures. The relatively quiet mixed-use neighborhood streets are highlighted by elevated train tracks with trestle bridges above east-west crossing streets from Washington

Street north to Miller, and with wooden warehouse-like structures along the tracks, some of which are currently empty. The presence of the Allen Creek Flood Plain and the railroad track and its trestles are unique attributes worthy of design consideration.

The district's urban landscape largely consists of tree lined streets with relatively consistent lot spacing, and an occasionally vacant parcel. At times, a triangular shaped parcel caused by the orientation/alignment of the tracks is in contrast with the local streets. The future Allen Creek Greenway should be given design consideration as a potential element of all First Street Character District proposals.