Ann Arbor City Council Regular Session: September 6, 2016 Email Redactions List Pursuant to Council Resolution R-09-386

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G
		Received					
1	Sent Time	<u>Time</u>	<u>TO</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>CC</u>	<u>Redactions</u>	Reason for Redaction
2	8:03 PM		Chip Smith	Lynn Borset		Email address, address	Privacy
3	8:14 PM		Chip Smith	Jacqueline Beaudry			
4	8:19 PM		Jacqueline Beaudry	Chip Smith			
5	8:29 PM		Chip Smith	Jacqueline Beaudry			

From:

Borset, Lynn

Sent:

Monday, September 19, 2016 8:03 PM

To:

Smith, Chip

Subject:

Reply: Item 16-0860 on 9/19/16 Council agenda, and Glendale Orchard

Chip,

I appreciate that you acknowledged receipt of my message. I had already reviewed the information in the link you sent.

I would appreciate a response to the questions I asked.

Regards,

Lynn

P.S. I am still very interested in having a conversation about the meeting you had with Ms. Lawson and Troy B. about Glendale Orchard.

On Mon, Sep 19, 2016 at 1:51 PM, Smith, Chip < ChSmith@a2gov.org > wrote: Lynn,

Here's the link to the specific item you

 $\label{lem:mention.period} \begin{tabular}{l} mention. $$ $http://a2gov.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=2829458&GUID=B583A3C5-96D1-4DA7-85C6-B67A8D27EC23&FullText=1 http://a2gov.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=2829458&GUID=B583A3C5-96D1-4DA7-85C6-B67A8D27EC23&FullText=1 \end{tabular}$

Please click on the read full text for information about the exact nature of what's being included in this contract addendum.

Best regards,

Chip

Chip Smith

Ann Arbor City Council - Ward 5

734-709-2022

Emails sent and received by me as a Council member regarding Ann Arbor City matters are generally subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

From: Lynn Borset

Sent: Monday, September 19, 2016 1:32 PM

To: Smith, Chip; Warpehoski, Chuck

Subject: Item 16-0860 on 9/19/16 Council agenda

Hello Chuck and Chip,

I received the alert below from Eppie Potts, and I have several questions. I hope you will ask to have this item moved to the regular part of tonight's Council Agenda so there will be discussion and explanation of this Resolution.

Questions:

- 1) Why is this Resolution on the Consent Agenda?
- 2) Who put it on the Consent Agenda?
- 3) Why is this Resolution for additional funding being considered <u>before</u> the Train Station Environmental Review public meetings scheduled for Wed. 9/21/16 and Mon. 9/26/16?

I would appreciate a reply to my questions, and again, I ask you to have this item moved from the Consent to the Regular Agenda at tonight's Council Meeting.

Thank you,

Lynn Borset

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Ethel Potts

Date: Sun, Sep 18, 2016 at 9:33 PM

Subject: [A2NA] Hidden item on Council agenda

ALERT - - Hidden in the Consent Agenda at Council tomorrow night is a very large funding proposal for the Consultants working on the analysis for the Ann Arbor train station location. It's a proposal to spend big funds added to the big funds they have already been paid. Will there be that much extra research to add to the major report from the Feds just made public? Does Council think such large funds are a routine issue to be voted with no discussion, as part of the routine single vote for all of the Consent Agenda items? Wow, I can't believe it. Eppie Potts

From:

Sent:

Beaudry, Jacqueline Monday, September 19, 2016 8:14 PM Smith, Chip Amendment to DB-1

To:

Subject:

Can you send me the information on the amendment to include the additional window?

From:

Smith, Chip

Sent:

Monday, September 19, 2016 8:19 PM

To: Subject: Beaudry, Jacqueline RE: Amendment to DB-1

Whereas, The Chelsea Land Company Maple LLC has requested site plan approval in order to develop the Maple Shoppes Building 2 Planned Project Site Plan;

Whereas, the Ann Arbor City Planning Commission, on August 3, 2016, recommended approval of the planned project modifications to allow an 8.5-foot front setback on Maple and an 7.5-foot front setback on Dexter (both require 10 feet minimum);

Whereas, The Ann Arbor City Planning Commission, on August 3, 2016 recommended approval of the petition, subject to providing an arrangement of buildings that promotes transit access and pedestrian orientation, reduces the need for infrastructure, and to construct the building consistent with the elevation drawings included with the site plan set;

Whereas, With the planned project modifications, the development would comply with the C3 (Fringe Commercial) zoning established pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 55, and with all applicable local, state, or federal laws, ordinances, standards and regulations; and

Whereas, The development would not cause a public or private nuisance and would not have a detrimental effect on the public health, safety and welfare;

RESOLVED, That City Council approve the planned project modification to allow an 8.5-foot front setback on Maple and a 7.5-foot front setback on Dexter (less than the 10 feet minimum), which will allow an arrangement of buildings that promotes transit access and pedestrian orientation, reduces the need for infrastructure, and construction of the building consistent with the elevation drawings included with the site plan set; and

RESOLVED, That City Council approve the Maple Shoppes Building 2 Planned Project Site Plan dated July 21, 2016 upon the condition that 1) the constructed building is consistent with the elevation drawings included in the site plan set with the exception that one additional window is added on the west façade.

Amendment in red.

From: Beaudry, Jacqueline

Sent: Monday, September 19, 2016 8:14 PM

To: Smith, Chip

Subject: Amendment to DB-1

Can you send me the information on the amendment to include the additional window?

From:

Beaudry, Jacqueline

Sent:

Monday, September 19, 2016 8:29 PM

To:

Smith, Chip

Subject:

RE: Amendment to DB-1

Perfect. Thank you!

From: Smith, Chip

Sent: Monday, September 19, 2016 8:19 PM

To: Beaudry, Jacqueline

Subject: RE: Amendment to DB-1

Whereas, The Chelsea Land Company Maple LLC has requested site plan approval in order to develop the Maple Shoppes Building 2 Planned Project Site Plan;

Whereas, the Ann Arbor City Planning Commission, on August 3, 2016, recommended approval of the planned project modifications to allow an 8.5-foot front setback on Maple and an 7.5-foot front setback on Dexter (both require 10 feet minimum);

Whereas, The Ann Arbor City Planning Commission, on August 3, 2016 recommended approval of the petition, subject to providing an arrangement of buildings that promotes transit access and pedestrian orientation, reduces the need for infrastructure, and to construct the building consistent with the elevation drawings included with the site plan set;

Whereas, With the planned project modifications, the development would comply with the C3 (Fringe Commercial) zoning established pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 55, and with all applicable local, state, or federal laws, ordinances, standards and regulations; and

Whereas, The development would not cause a public or private nuisance and would not have a detrimental effect on the public health, safety and welfare;

RESOLVED, That City Council approve the planned project modification to allow an 8.5-foot front setback on Maple and a 7.5-foot front setback on Dexter (less than the 10 feet minimum), which will allow an arrangement of buildings that promotes transit access and pedestrian orientation, reduces the need for infrastructure, and construction of the building consistent with the elevation drawings included with the site plan set; and

RESOLVED, That City Council approve the Maple Shoppes Building 2 Planned Project Site Plan dated July 21, 2016 upon the condition that 1) the constructed building is consistent with the elevation drawings included in the site plan set with the exception that one additional window is added on the west façade.

Amendment in red.

From: Beaudry, Jacqueline

Sent: Monday, September 19, 2016 8:14 PM

To: Smith, Chip

Subject: Amendment to DB-1

Can you send me the information on the amendme	ent to include the additional windo	w?	