<u>Q#</u>	<b><u>Requestor</u></b>	Subject	Question	Date Requested	<u>Response Date</u>
	CM Lumm and CM		Outside of safety services, which positions specifically would be	2 /22 /224 6	2 122 1224 5
1	Briere	Workforce planning	considered for the pilot program?	2/22/2016	3/23/2016
	CM Lumm and CM		Who is currently eligible for the pilot program after 25 years of		
2	Briere	Workforce planning		2/22/2016	3/23/2016
			What is the expected advance notice of retirement that is expected of		
			staff to provide? Sabra was looking for an indication of the amount of		
3	CM Briere	Workforce planning	time entering and exiting employees would overlap.	2/22/2016	3/23/2016
			How might the costs of consultants impact the pilot program? Is this		
			a financial savings program? (They were interested in both the		
			consultant aspect and the overtime aspect. Cost for this pilot		
	CM Grand and CM		program, but savings in other areas ?) (i.e. Building and Planning –		
4	Ackerman	Workforce planning	Carlisle-Wortman as a case study )	2/22/2016	3/23/2016
			Request for update on plan and costs prior to Administrator's		
			Recommended Budget (CM Briere) – Tom stated he would provide to		
			Council prior to the Administrator's recommended budget is		
			submitted. (There was confusion over the deer cull amount of a flat		
			\$35K or an up to \$35K cost. There was also some confusion regarding		
5	CM Briere	Deer Management	the fly-over costs, and when they were/will be incurred.)	2/22/2016	3/11/2016

<u>Q#</u>	<b>Requestor</b>	Subject	Question	Date Requested	<u>Response Date</u>
			Please provide benchmark data for staffing/customer service		
			advocate position. Comparable data from other cities would be		
6	CM Lumm	Community Services		2/22/2016	3/11/2016
			Please provide data on the types of permits issued in the last two		
			years, broken down by year, and by type of permit. Jane wanted to		
			know what other communities used for software and what the "state"		
	CM Briere and CM		of the software was. Is there a typical number of inspections required		
7	Lumm	Community Services	by construction project type?	2/22/2016	3/11/2016
			Please provide benchmark data showing the case for vehicles for fire		
8	CM Lumm	Fire	prevention and assistant chiefs.	2/22/2016	3/11/2016
9	CM Briere	Community Services	Please provide a table showing new and potential construction projects, including approved and planned, with dates and potential taxable values.	2/22/2016	4/1/2016
10	CM Lumm	FY17 Budget Impacts	The summary of 17 budget impacts states that SAA's were required to first offset requests with efficiencies and operational improvements. Please give us the efficiencies and improvements identified.	2/22/2016	4/1/2016
11	CM Warpehoski	Hydrant Maintenance	Is this the ordinance that requires the general fund absorb hydrant maintenance costs? Is the purpose of hydrant maintenance for fire suppression or for water system maintenance? If for water system maintenance, I would like to amend the ordinance to return the fee to the water utility	2/22/2016	3/4/2016

<u>Q#</u>	<b>Requestor</b>	Subject	Question	Date Requested	<u>Response Date</u>
			How would the need for an elections official be affected if Council		
12	CM Warpehoski	<b>Elections Official</b>	elections were moved to even year only?	3/13/2016	3/18/2016
13	CM Lumm	AAHC	What are the undedicated reserved for FY16 and FY17?	3/14/2016	3/18/2016
			What percentage of street lights are funded by the DDA? Who is		
14	CM Warpehoski	DDA - streetlights	paying for each portion of street lights?	3/14/2016	3/23/2016
			Please provide a spreadsheet showing detail of expenses broken		
			down by source similar to the detailed revenues sheet. (i.e. TIF or		
15	CM Eaton	DDA	parking)	3/14/2016	3/23/2016
16	CM Warpehoski	DDA	Please share the DDA's 10-year plan and TDM Study	3/14/2016	3/23/2016
17	CM Lumm	Workforce planning	As I mentioned at the meeting, I'm interested in better understanding the details of what you're proposing on the overhiring/transition program. I understand you are still in the planning stages, but before council can make an educated decision, we'll need specifics on which areas and which positions you are considering, how much overlap is desired, and the rationale behind the specific recommendations. Please share your plans and this information when you have it.	3/16/2016	3/23/2016
18	CM Lumm	Workforce planning		3/16/2016	3/23/2016
			On the overhiring/transition program, you mentioned you'd begin with a pilot – if so, how will you measure the success of		
	CM Lumm	Workforce planning		3/16/2016	3/23/2016

<u>Q#</u> <u>Requestor</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Question	Date Requested	Response Date
		I also mentioned at the meeting that I'd be interested in		
		benchmarking data from comparable communities on		
		planning/development and building staffing levels given that we are		
		contemplating additional FTE's in those areas. (As I indicated then,		
	Community	the benchmarks here are most appropriately communities with		
	Services: Building	comparable building and development activity and not necessarily our		
20 CM Lumm	and Development	traditional criteria of population, region, or university community.)	3/16/2016	4/1/2016
		Related to the Building Department (and the request for funds for		
		new technology), I asked what were the key building and		
	Community	development metrics used by the department to measure operational		
	Services: Building	effectiveness and efficiency and would appreciate a response on what		
21 CM Lumm	and Development	the metrics are and how we've been trending in them.	3/16/2016	4/1/2016
		In the overview presentation, there was a slide on the key budget		
		assumptions. That's helpful as obviously those assumptions drive the		
		numbers and I have a couple of follow-ups on the key budget		
		assumptions slide 1) on State Shared revenue, the slide indicates a		
		1% growth assumption – can you please provide the rationale for 1%		
		growth particularly given that Governor Snyder's budget proposal for		
		constitutional revenue sharing is increase of 3.9%? Also, have there		
		been any new developments with regard to state fire protection		
		grants? 2) On recurring expenditures are projected to increase 2.17%		
		in total. In that projection, what are you assuming for non-union pay		
	Budget	increases, health care inflation, and changes in the pension		
22 CM Lumm	Assumptions	contribution amount?	3/16/2016	4/1/2016

<u>Q#</u>	<b><u>Requestor</u></b>	<u>Subject</u>	Question	Date Requested	Response Date
			In response to my question, Chief Collins indicated that he'd provide		
			the business case and benchmarking data for the proposal to add 3		
			more vehicles (in addition to the 2 vehicles for the Assistant Chiefs)		
			and a mechanic. I'd appreciate receiving that information when it's		
23	CM Lumm	Fire	available.	3/16/2016	4/1/2016
			In terms of the property tax revenue projections, I'm still a bit		
			confused on the assumptions and what has been reflected and what		
			hasn't in the revenue numbers we've been provided. Specifically:		
			What is the latest year-over-year GF property tax revenue growth		
			projections (amount and percent) for FY16, FY17 and FY18 (if you		
			have it)? Does the \$99,236,979 GF revenue figure for FY17 shown on		
			the updated budget impact summary sheet reflect the latest		
			projection (including the \$133K related to the DDA TIF)?Do we have a		
			sense of how much the \$462K "additional revenue to authorities from		
			the DDA district" is likely to be in FY18? When do you expect to have		
		Property Tax	the updated projections for the LDFA that are consistent with the		
24	CM Lumm	Revenue	latest DDA District taxable values?	3/16/2016	4/1/2016
			Mr. Postema indicated that the personnel-related budget request in		
			the Attorney's Office was for succession/transition purposes. Given		
		City Attorney's	that, shouldn't it be considered a non-recurring expense (it's shown as		
25	CM Lumm	Office	recurring)? Can you please clarify the duration of the need/request?	3/16/2016	5/6/2016

<u>Q#</u> <u>Requestor</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Question	Date Requested	<u>Response Date</u>
		Given the increase in the DDA's personnel services costs in FY16		
		(up 14% or \$46K over FY15), I was surprised to see another large	2	
		increase proposed for FY17 (up \$60K or 16%) which would		
		represent a 33% (\$107K) increase over the two years. I thought		
		perhaps that was an error – that the FY17 proposed budget was		
		overstated - but instead, the response to my question was that		
		potential staffing additions are being considered. Please		
		provide detail on what is being proposed as well as comparisons		
		of our DDA to other DDA entities in Michigan for staffing levels		
		and personnel costs. (If the staffing additions are not proposed		
		for FY17, but later, they should not be included in the FY17		
26 CM Lumm	DDA	budget.	3/16/2016	3/23/2016
		There were a couple other DDA-related items discussed where Ms.		
		Pollay indicated she would provide responses. Those would be		
		appreciated: How much the DDA is committing to contribute to the		
		funding of the 72 replacement streetlights at Kerrytown (\$720K total		
		cost)? (Last May, Council had approved a budget amendment		
		requesting the DDA fund \$300K of the then-projected \$400K total		
		cost.) DDA TIF revenue for FY16? Detail on the FY15 to FY17		
		expenditure increases in Administration (\$800K to 1.5M), Professiona		
27 CM Lumm	DDA	Services (\$700K to \$1.8M), and Grants (\$1.4M to \$2.5M)	3/16/2016	3/23/2016
		In terms of <u>new</u> streetlights and the \$100K fund created by council a		
		year ago, can you please provide (1) clarification on next steps		
		including when council will see a proposed process and criteria that		
		was requested and (2) the list of new streetlight requests (staff		
28 CM Lumm	Streetlights	indicated backlog of about 15)	3/16/2016	4/1/2016

<u>Q#</u>	<b>Requestor</b>	<u>Subject</u>	Question	Date Requested	Response Date
			Regarding the \$80K item for support of the Sustainability Action Plan,		
			when that item was approved by Council as a budget amendment		
			both of the last two years, it was called "one-time" based on the		
			assumption that alternative funding would be secured. The item is		
			now shown as recurring (which is probably more reflective of reality),		
			but can you please provide an update on the status of obtaining		
			alternative funding. Also, are there any changes planned in how the		
29	CM Lumm	Plan	funding is to be used in FY17 and going forward?	3/16/2016	4/1/2016
			On the sewer fund page, there's a reference to the "policy concerns		
			surrounding the footing drain disconnect program". Can you please		
30	CM Lumm	Sewer Fund	update us on the status and next steps for the FDD program?	3/16/2016	4/1/2016
			Mr. Hupy indicated there was data available for five years or so		
			on the incremental capital investment driven by the Green		
			Streets Policy. Can you please provide that data and please		
			confirm that when additional cost is incurred (for Green Streets		
			Policy) over what the costs would have been for traditional road		
			repair that the Stormwater Fund bears those costs, not the		
31	CM Lumm	Green Streets	Street Millage fund?	3/16/2016	4/1/2016
			Mr. Hupy indicated the current tipping fees the city was paying		
			were \$13/ton compared with market rates of \$20-\$21 a ton.		
			Can you please provide data on the tonnages and total dollar		
32	CM Lumm	Solid Waste	cost for the tipping fees the last couple of years.	3/16/2016	4/1/2016
			Regarding the \$183,950 request in Public Services Systems Planning		
			for the city's share of the Connector Service Design, that's an awfully		
			precise number so there must be a set of assumptions for the total		
			costs as well as what entities will be funding the costs (and the		
33	CM Lumm	Connector	amounts for each). Can you please share those assumptions.	3/16/2016	4/1/2016

<u>Q#</u> <u>Requestor</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Question	Date Requested	<u>Response Date</u>
		At the meeting, the higher costs of the city's plowing snow at 2 inches		
		rather than 4 inches was mentioned. Can you please quantify		
		(approximately) what the incremental operating cost would be at 2		
		inches and whether there would also be any incremental one-time		
34 CM Lumm	Snow Plowing	cost for equipment?	3/16/2016	4/1/2016
		In remarks to my request for a spreadsheat that shows jobs evented		
		In response to my request for a spreadsheet that shows jobs created		
		and jobs retained, new investment, companies utilizing the services,		
		number of education programs and events – all the LDFA and SPARK's		
		key outcome metrics - over the last five years or so, the LDFA folks		
		indicated it was available and they would provide it. That too would		
35 CM Lumm	LDFA	be appreciated.	3/16/2016	4/29/2016
		Also related to the LDFA, can you please update us on the status of		
		the Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti incubator expansions that were funded in		
36 CM Lumm	LDFA	the LDFA FY16 budget?	3/16/2016	4/29/2016
		Last year, Council approved staff's recommendation to adjust the		
		City's Fund Balance policy. Are there any significant new financial		
		policy recommendations like that for this year or any major		
37 CM Lumm	Fund Balance	accounting rule/GASB changes coming we should be aware of?	3/16/2016	3/18/2016
		"All others" concerns in success in FV 1C and 17 is considerable, but the		
		"All other" expenses increase in FY 16 and 17 is considerable - but the		
		biodigester and organics studies only total about half of the \$1M		
		increase in fy 2016 - can you explain what is included in the "all other"		1/0/0010
38 CM Smith	Solid Waste	expense categories that is contributing to this increase?	4/4/2016	4/8/2016
		Termination of dead end streets is a capital expense in both FY 16 and		
39 CM Smith	Solid Waste		4/4/2016	4/8/2016
		Could we please have a comparison on both rates and rationale	4/4/2010	4/0/2010
		behind the rates for water service in Lansing, Grand Rapids and		
		Kalamazoo? These three cities have varying water rates, and it would		
		be valuable to understand both the City's rationale and the peer cities'	1/17/2016	1/22/2016
40 CM Briere	Water Rates	rationales.	4/17/2016	4/22/2016

<u>Q#</u>	Requestor	<u>Subject</u>	Question	Date Requested	Response Date
41	CM Warpehoski	Living Wage	What is the impact of resolution 14-1448 regarding living wage: "RESOLVED, Each year as part of the budget process the administrator shall report to Council the cost to ensure that human service funding keep up with living wage adjustments and if there is a shortfall between living wage compliance and the the human service allocation in the administrator's proposed budget."	4/22/2016	5/6/2016
			1. Is the FY15 budget estimate of \$300k still an accurate estimate for demolition costs? (If the buildings are demolished, is it possible to save the chimney as bird habitat as Mayor Hieftje desired?) 2) 2. Would it be feasible to create a temporary nonmotorized trail along the eastern edge of the site as a placeholder for the Greenway? If so, what would the estimated cost for doing so be? 3) 3. Would it be feasible to seed a portion of the eastern edge of the site as greenspace during FY17 if the building is demolished? If so, what would the cost of seeding and maintenance? Would such a cost be		5/6/16 - Tom
42	CM Warpehoski	415 W. Washington	fundable through the parks fund?	4/25/2016	responded verbally
		Alternative	Regarding the City's Alternative Transportation Fund, can you please provide/describe both the purpose of the fund and the specific criteria utilized to determine if/when the fund is utilized. In the budget proposal, both the City's share of the Connector study costs (\$184K) and the provision for the PSATF recommendations (\$100K) are funded from the General Fund. As these would both seem to me to be Alternative Transportation items, can you please explain why they are not and are being funded by the GF? Also, if not these kinds of items, what will the Alternative Transportation Fund be paying for in FY17 with the fund's \$491K budgeted revenue and		
43	CM Lumm	Transportation	expense?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016

<u>Q#</u>	<b>Requestor</b>	Subject	Question	Date Requested	<u>Response Date</u>
			Regarding the \$100K provision for the PSATF recommendations,		
			and the \$50K provision for the Human Rights Commission		
			(police recommendations), can you please describe the process		
			that will be used to authorize spending these funds (who's		
			involved, who recommends, who approves etc)? Is the \$50K		
			budgeted for the Human Rights Commission (police		
		Pedestrian Safety	recommendations) an estimate based on a specific action (or		
		, Task Force/Human	set of actions)? If so, can you please provide the detail (actions		
44	CM Lumm	<b>Rights Commission</b>	and amounts).	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			The only fee increases included in the budget book were for the		
			Water Utilities and for Public Works. Mr. Crawford had		
			mentioned there were not many fee increase proposals this		
			year, but can you please confirm there are not any other		
			recommendations for increases (e.g. Parks & Rec facilities,		
45	CM Lumm	Fees	building, planning and development fees)?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			Regarding the deer management \$35K budgeted as recurring		
			for lethal methods, what are the total projected FY17 costs for		
			continuing the lethal program including the costs of the cull,		
			aerial surveys, monitoring of browse damage, staff time etc.		
			(I'm assuming the \$35K budgeted for lethal does not cover all of		
46	CM Lumm	Deer Management	these items)?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			Also on deer management, what is the basis for the \$35K		
			budgeted as one-time for non-lethal methods (is it just to match		
			the \$35K for lethal or is it based on something else/specific		
			actions)? Also, what is the process envisioned for consideration		
47	CM Lumm	Deer Management	of the non-lethal methods?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016

<u>Q#</u>	<b>Requestor</b>	<u>Subject</u>	Question	Date Requested	Response Date
			For the City Attorney's Office, you mentioned at the work		
			session (and the budget impact sheet indicates) that the funding		
			for the new position/FTE is \$35K from Public Services and \$42K		
			for elimination of 0.5 FTE. It is also mentioned that this is for		
			succession planning, yet the costs are shown as recurring. Can		
			you please clarify the funding and classification of the costs,		
			specifically (1) why is Public Svcs. paying a portion of the costs –		
			shouldn't that be covered in the Municipal Service Charge? (2)		
			What 0.5 FTE position is being eliminated? (3) why the costs are		
		City Attorney's	considered recurring? (I had asked about this previously, but do		
48	CM Lumm	Office	not recall seeing a response.)	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			In HR, there is a 0.5 FTE reduction proposed (from 13.13 to		
			12.63). Can you please elaborate on that (e.g. is it a transfer or		
49	CM Lumm	Human Resources	a net reduction)?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			On p. 33, it indicates the number of dog licenses increased by		
			over 50% in FY15 (from 1,151 to 1,772) and 1,800 are projected		
			for FY16 and FY17. That's great progress and do you expect the		
			new dog park (and requirement that a person needs a dog		
			license in order to obtain an off-leash permit) to add to these		
50	CM Lumm	Dog Licenses	numbers?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			On page 3 of the budget message (one-time requests), a \$64K		
			loss of Dam revenue is listed. What are the full costs and		
51	CM Lumm	Dams	revenue generated for the Dams and hydropower operation?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016

<u>Q#</u>	Requestor	<u>Subject</u>	Question	Date Requested	<u>Response Date</u>
			Regarding the \$500K budgeted for workforce planning and the		
			requested authorization for 10 additional temporary FTE's (from		
			729 to 739), can you please describe the process for approving		
			specific actions under the program - who recommends, who		
			decides, Council involvement (if any) envisioned? Also, what		
			was the basis of the 10 FTE authorization requested - just a ball		
52	CM Lumm	Workforce planning	park or based on analysis of specific positions?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			In your March 23 responses to questions on specific positions		
			that may be in the pilot program beyond Safety Services, you		
			provided general criteria that would be used to decide (which		
			was helpful/made sense), but not any specifics on positions or		
			service areas that may be included. Can you please provide any		
			updated (non-confidential) information on the program that		
			may be available at this time that will help Council understand		
53	CM Lumm	Workforce planning	what's envisioned and what Council's role is to be?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			In the April 1 budget responses, it was indicated that		
			benchmarking data for building, planning and development		
			staffing levels is difficult to come up with (particularly now with		
			the city's workload levels and staff vacancies). That's		
			understandable, and if there were not requests to add 3		
			permanent FTE's to the area (2 in building and 1 planner), I		
			wouldn't be following-up. Is there any benchmark staffing data		
			that you do have/can share and can you please also confirm		
		Building and	that we are not staffing to the peak workload level we're		
51	CM Lumm	-	experiencing today?	4/27/2016	5/10/2016
54		Planning	experiencing today:	4/2//2010	5/10/2010

<u>Q#</u>	<b><u>Requestor</u></b>	<u>Subject</u>	Question	Date Requested	Response Date
			Also on staffing, a new Solid Waste/Systems Planning position is		
			recommended. Can you please provide information on what is		
			contemplated for that position? I recognize there are several		
			major items in the Solid Waste area now (renegotiate MRF		
			contract, potential new programs and new drop-off facility), but		
		Solid	would it make sense to engage consulting services/hire contract		
		Waste/Systems	employees rather than hire a permanent employee to get		
55	CM Lumm	Planning	through the peak?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			Public Services FTE's are up 3.05 FTE's (p 142). Two have been		
			discussed – solid waste/systems planning and the deputy		
56	CM Lumm	Public Services	position – what is the third addition?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			CTN revenue in FY17 is down about \$225K from FY15.		
	CM Lumm/CM		Presumably, that's the franchise fee. Can you please confirm	4/27/2016 CM Lumm	
57	Warpehoski	CTN	that and what are the projected CTN revenues going forward?	5/4/16 CM Warpehoski	5/10/2016
			On pages 55, 56 and 64 of budget book, there are good building		
			and planning-related metrics and history. On the avg. days to		
			complete first plan review and avg. days to for a building permit		
			(both targeted at 7 days), that 90% of first plan reviews are		
			completed within 14 days, and that 40% of requested		
			inspections are completed within 72 hours - do we have a sense		
		Building and	of whether our targets are faster/slower/about average		
58	CM Lumm	Planning	compared with other similar communities?	4/27/2016	5/10/2016
			In Finance & Administrative Service area (customer service)		
			there is a proposed reduction of 1.30 FTE (from 11.60 to 10.30).		
		Finance &	Can you please elaborate on what that change is (transfer or		
		Administrative	net reduction) and if net reduction, what impact on customer		
59	CM Lumm	Services	service the reduction will have?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016

<u>Q#</u>	<b>Requestor</b>	<u>Subject</u>	Question	Date Requested	Response Date
			On page 208 of the budget book, there are good metrics related		
			to the Fire Department, but no data on response times. Can		
			you please provide whatever the latest data is on response		
60	CM Lumm	Fire	times and comparisons with the national standards?	4/27/2016	5/10/2016
			Regarding the Police metrics (page 217), the target for		
			downtown foot patrols is listed as a 10% increase, but the actual		
			hours have dropped significantly – from 1,747 hours in FY14 to		
			1,480 hours in FY16. Rather than a 20% increase over 2 years,		
			there's been a 15% decline. Can you please explain why? Also,		
			has the DDA officially rejected Council's request to help fund		
			downtown foot patrols/beat cops or does that remain under		
61	CM Lumm	Police	consideration?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			Also on police hours, I believe I've asked this before and the		
			data wasn't available, but can you please provide whatever data		
			is available on the hours, number patrols etc related to		
			dedicated neighborhood traffic enforcement over the last few		
62	CM Lumm	Police	years.	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			The police budget (page 215) reflects a \$580K (15%) year-to-		
			year increase in District Detectives (from \$3.70M in FY16 to		
			\$4.28M in FY17 – page 215) and a \$350K decrease in Patrol.		
			Can you please elaborate on that apparent re-allocation and		
			what it means (if anything) for neighborhood safety, patrols and		
63	CM Lumm	Police	traffic enforcement?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			If council were to add 3 to 5 sworn officers to the Police budget		
			(or an equivalent amount of overtime or other dollars), how		
			would the additional resources be deployed and what would be		
64	CM Lumm	Police	the benefits?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016

<u>Q#</u>	<b>Requestor</b>	<u>Subject</u>	Question	Date Requested	<u>Response Date</u>
			Regarding the pension plan, I understand the full actuarial		
			estimates are only done at fiscal year-end, but are there		
			updated estimates of the unfunded liability at December 31,		
			2015? Also, in the April 1 staff response to a budget Q, it was		
			indicated that the city's overall contribution is increasing 2.0%		
			in total and 4.92% in the General Fund – how much are those in		
65	CM Lumm	Pension	dollars?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			At our budget work session with the DDA in response to a Q on		
			why personnel costs were budgeted to increase \$60K (16%) , it		
			was mentioned that the DDA was considering staffing changes.		
66	CM Lumm	DDA	What is the latest status of that evaluation?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			The LDFA tax capture continues to grow dramatically. At \$3.3M		
			for FY17, that's a \$750K (29%) increase from the \$2.55M		
			forecast for FY16 and the tax capture has more than doubled in		
			the last four years. The fund balance will be about \$2M (about		
			75% of annual expenditures) at the end of FY17. Given all that,		
			and the likelihood that the tax capture will continue to grow		
			significantly (there's no cap, like DDA), what is the long-term		
			financial plan? Is it to continue to build reserves, invest in		
			capital infrastructure, or to continue to increase dollars passed		
			through to SPARK for their operating expenses, or some other		
67	CM Lumm	LDFA	strategy?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			What opportunities are there (if any) to (1) cap the LDFA		
			capture similar to the cap on the DDA TIF? (2) remit/transfer a		
			portion of the capture funds to the originating sources? (3)		
			utilize the funds for purposes (capital or operating) other than		
68	CM Lumm	LDFA	SPARK?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016

<u>Q#</u>	Requestor	<u>Subject</u>	Question	Date Requested	Response Date
			Regarding non-departmental expenditures, can you please		
			provide a spreadsheet similar to the one provided last year		
			(May 14 budget response) that details the expenditure line		
			items for non-departmental "other services" and "other		
			charges". Also, is the \$500K shown for "personnel services" the		
			workforce planning \$500K? And if not, what is the \$500K in		
			"personnel services" for and where in the budget is the \$500K		
69	CM Lumm	Non-Departmental	for workforce planning?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
70	CM Kailasapathy	Deer Management	Would you kindly clarify if the original \$90 is still there for 2017?	4/27/2016	5/6/2016
			P. 17: city Administrator Service Area: Is this line item adequate		
71	CM Warpehoski	City Administrator	for the new administrator's compensation package?	5/4/2016	5/6/2016
		Alternative	Page 151: Why is the alternative transportation expenditure for		
72	CM Warpehoski	Transportation	FY16 projected to be so much below budget?	5/4/2016	5/13/2016
		Police	Deca 245. Wile have the easts of a durinistrative combined and		
70		Administrative	Page 215: Why have the costs of administrative services gone	F / 4 /204 C	
73	CM Warpehoski	Services	up so much since FY14?	5/4/2016	5/6/2016
			I'm confused by the CIP rankings in document. For example, it lists fire stations 3 and 4 renovations as project 9 or 9, but on the CIP listed on		
			the website there are 17 projects in City Owned Buildings. Why the		
74	CM Warpehoski	Capital Projects	discrepancy?	5/4/2016	5/6/2016
, ,				37 17 2010	37072010
			Fire Station Generators and station 3 and 4 rehabilitation: As I		
			understand, part of the fire dept. accreditation will include a review to		
			determine if our stations are properly cited. Is that correct? If so,		
75	CM Warpehoski	Capital Projects	what is the justification for capital expenditures on these buildings?	5/4/2016	5/13/2016
			Why does Sidewalk replacement project list only CGACk is received.		
76	CM Warpehoski	Capital Projects	Why does Sidewalk replacement project list only \$646k in previous FYs when the 2016-2021 CIP lists \$3.8M (including FY16)	5/4/2016	5/10/2016
10		Capital FIUJELIS	1 13 WHEN THE 2010-2021 CIF 11513 33.01VI (INCIUUING FT 10)	5/4/2010	3/10/2010

<u>Q#</u>	<b>Requestor</b>	Subject	Question	Date Requested	Response Date
			Is the Fuller/Maiden Lane/E Medical intersection still planned for		
77	CM Warpehoski	Capital Projects	FY17?	5/4/2016	5/13/2016
78	CM Warpehoski	Workforce planning	Why are the gender recruiting numbers so far from parity?	5/4/2016	
			Does the "% of Employee diversity mix" number reflect the workforce		
79	CM Warpehoski	Workforce planning	as a whole, or just new hires?	5/4/2016	5/13/2016
			Do we have data on diversity mix of new hires as well as candidates?		
80	CM Warpehoski	Workforce planning	Do we have retention data for race and gender?	5/4/2016	ongoing
			Page 39: I am unclear of the measure of "public information		
			distributed and community engagement activities." What is in this		
81	CM Warpehoski	CTN	measure?	5/4/2016	5/10/2016
			Page 39: When is the national citizen survey scheduled? I think we		
			should use the results for the start of the 2-year budget cycle, but I		
82	CM Warpehoski	CTN	doubt the numbers will have moved a lot for the FY18/19 cycle.	5/4/2016	5/10/2016
		Permits and Rental	Page 55: Do we have a service standard for complaint resolution for		
83	CM Warpehoski	Inspections	disputed permit or rental inspections?	5/4/2016	5/10/2016
			Page 64: These metrics measure workload but do not strike me as		
			"customer service standards." Are there plans to develop customer		
84	CM Warpehoski	Planning	service standards for planning?-	5/4/2016	5/10/2016
			Page 72: Do the numbers of affordable housing units and public		
		Housing	housing refer to just those in the Housing Commission system? Is		
85	CM Warpehoski	Commission	there a standard reporting process for overall affordable units?	5/4/2016	5/6/2016
			Page 83: are swim and golf lessons self-financing, or do they require a		
86	CM Warpehoski	Parks	public subsidy?	5/4/2016	5/10/2016
			Page 107:These metrics measure workload but do not strike me as		
			"customer service standards." Are there plans to develop customer		
87	CM Warpehoski	<b>Customer Service</b>	service standards for customer service?	5/4/2016	5/6/2016
88	CM Warpehoski	Forecasting	Page 112: Why do not all service units review quarterly forecasts?	5/4/2016	5/6/2016

<u>Q#</u>	<b>Requestor</b>	<u>Subject</u>	Question	Date Requested	Response Date
			Page 120: Regarding the goal to implement self-service applications, is		
		Information	there a goal to increase the useability? Some of our current systems		
89	CM Warpehoski	Technology	(e.g. eTRAKiT, Legistar) have usability barriers.	5/4/2016	5/6/2016
			Page 120: I am glad to see the goal of making more data sets publicly		
			available. Are there processes in place to prioritize which data sets to		
00	CNA Maraahaaki	Information	prioritize making available, such as community outreach or case studies from other communities?	F /4/2010	F/C/201C
90	CM Warpehoski	Technology	Page 127: What is the process for surplus sales? If it is not already	5/4/2016	5/6/2016
			being done, has there been consideration of inter-governmental		
			cooperation for this process, as was described by one of the		
91	CM Warpehoski	Procurement	administrator candidates?	5/4/2016	5/6/2016
51				5/4/2010	5/0/2010
			Page 166: Why is site plan review time in public service rather than		
92	CM Warpehoski	Site Plan Review	community service/planning?-	5/4/2016	5/16/2016
		Cross-connection	Page 173: What are the requirements for "all required cross		
93	CM Warpehoski	Inspections	connection inspections"?-	5/4/2016	5/16/2016
			Page 179: I'm confused by the FY17 budget for priority 1 pruning. If		
			we've completed 100% of priority pruning and 1%/year need priority		
94	CM Warpehoski	Forestry	pruning, why is the goal 10%?	5/4/2016	5/16/2016
~-			Page 180: Should we also have a goal for the stormwater utility along		
95	CM Warpehoski	Stormwater	the lines of gallons of increased stormwater mitigation or capacity?	5/4/2016	5/16/2016
96	CM Warpehoski	Biosolids	Page 188: Why is % of biosolids reused going down?	5/4/2016	5/16/2016
			Page 188: Should there also be a measure of accidental discharges		
			(either at the plant or through the conveyance system) and a goal of		
97	CM Warpehoski	Public Services	reducing them?	5/4/2016	5/16/2016

<u>Q#</u>	<b>Requestor</b>	Subject	Question	Date Requested	Response Date
98	CM Warpehoski	Fire	Page 208: For the metric "structure & other fires & emergencies," what incidents does this include? Does it include alarm calls without an actual fire? Does it include CO calls (if not, are they reported here)? What other fire prevention services are conducted (e.g. smoke alarm education)?	5/4/2016	5/10/2016
99	CM Kailasapathy	LDFA	Would you kindly provide me with the administrative expenses? Also provide details of salaries and benefits of the management? If the managements salaries are part of cost-sharing method of calculation, please provide the costs allocated to TIF revenue?	5/10/2016	5/13/2016
100	CM Kailasapathy	Alternative Transportation	Can you please provide a dollar breakdown of ALT fund uses for FY 2017?	5/10/2016	5/13/2016
101	CM Kailasapathy	Retirement System	The investment income in FY 2015 was \$23 million where as in 2014 it was \$77 million. What was the impact of this dramatic decrease in the unfunded pension liability. Please let me know what the unfunded liabilities were end of both years?		5/13/2016
102		Community Services	Can you please provide us with the information pertaining to cumulatively how much the City has spent on parks and open spaces acquisitions outside the City limits versus inside the city since its inception? Cumulative dollar values and percentages would be	5/10/2016	5/13/2016
102		Fire	Does our "response time" metric equal CPSE's "travel time" metric?	5/10/2016	5/13/2016
104	CM Kailasapathy	Human Rights Committee	How many police officers and what kinds of anti-bias training will be conducted?	5/11/2016	5/13/2016

<u>Q#</u>	<b>Requestor</b>	<u>Subject</u>	Question	Date Requested	<u>Response Date</u>
			Can you confirm that the salaries for the Chief Assistant City Attorney,		
			Senior Assistant City Attorneys, and Assistant City Attorneys		
			contained in the FY 2017 Proposed Budget at p. 10 are within the		
			salary ranges contained in the 2016-2017 City of Ann Arbor		
		City Attorney's	Compensation Plan established by the Human Resources		
105	CM Briere	Office	Department?	5/12/2016	5/13/2016
			What is staff's view of a reasonable amount of funding for this year's		
			lethal cull since Council just recently learned last year's cost was		
106	CM Lumm	Deer Management	\$108,000?	5/12/2016	5/13/2016
			Do we have any idea what a community fiber project would entail in		
107	CM Warpehoski	Amendment 5	terms of cost, scope, operation, timeline, etc.?	5/16/2016	5/16/2016
			Are there other projects the LDFA is building up fund balance to		
108	CM Warpehoski	Amendment 5	support?	5/16/2016	5/16/2016
			Have there been any conversations with the UM regarding their		
109	CM Warpehoski	Amendment 6	willingness to fund the study at 90%	5/16/2016	5/16/2016
			Will phase 3 of the study generate a final routing proposal that		
110	CM Warpehoski	Amendment 6	Council could vote on to satisfy the final resolved clause?	5/16/2016	5/16/2016
			Other than the workforce contingency, what is the reason for		
111	CM Warpehoski	Amendment 11	increasing the administrator's contingencies?	5/16/2016	5/16/2016
			How did staff approach apportioning a fair division of investment in		
			Connector-related costs among participating agencies? Has there		
112	CM Westphal	Connector	been analysis of land value impacts proximate to the proposed route?	5/16/2016	5/16/2016
			Are there crime metrics or other policy advice from prior councils that		
113	CM Westphal	Police	guide decisions concerning police staffing and budget?	5/16/2016	5/16/2016
			Could crosswalk enhancements paid for by the major streets fund		
114	CM Warpehoski	Amendment 4	include street lighting?	5/16/2016	5/16/2016

<u>Q</u> #	<b>Requestor</b>	<u>Subject</u>	Question	Date Requested	Response Date
			Since one of the goals of the deer management effort is to protect the		
			biodiversity of our nature areas, would it appropriate for the		
			amendment to include language such as "RESOLVED, that this		
			amount reduce the amount owed under the Parks "fairness		
115	CM Warpehoski	Amendment 12	resolution".	5/16/2016	no response needed
116	CM Grand	Amendment 3	What is the City's commitment to the DDA for the Kerrytown lights project? Do we have an agreement? If so, is there any precedent for backtracking on cost-sharing agreements with the DDA?	5/16/2016	5/16/2016
117	CM Grand	Amendment #5	I recall that we heard from Mr. Crawford last year as to why putting funds towards an unplanned community fiber project would not make sense at that time. Do we know how much a community fiber project would cost? I'm assuming much more than \$500,000.	5/16/2016	5/16/2016