Ann Arbor City Council Regular Session: February 3, 2014 Email Redactions List Pursuant to Council Resolution R-09-386

	^	<u> </u>	1	1	T F	F	
$\vdash \vdash$	A	B Received	С	D	E	<u> </u>	G
1	Sent Time	Time	<u>TO</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>cc</u>	Redactions	Reason for Redaction
1		<u>IIIIE</u>	- 		<u>cc</u>	<u>Redactions</u>	Neason for Neuaction
2	8:00 PM		Chuck Warpehoski	Mary Jo Callan			
			John Hieftje, Sumi				
			Kailasapathy, Sabra Briere,				
			Sally Petersen, Christopher				
			Taylor, Stephen				
			Kunselman, Margie Teall,		Jacqueline Beaudry,		
			Jack Eaton, Chuck		Karen Lancaster, Steve		
3	8:11 PM		Warpehoski, Mike Anglin	Jane Lumm	Powers		
			City Council Members,				
			Steve Powers, Anissa				
4	8:56 PM		Bowden, Stephen Postema	Jacqueline Beaudry			
5	10:58 PM		Mike Anglin	Tricia Jones		Email	Privacy
6	11:04 PM		Margie Teall	Susan Froelich			
7							
8							
9							
10							
11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							

From:

Callan, Mary Jo [callanm@ewashtenaw.org]

Sent:

Monday, February 03, 2014 8:00 PM

To: Subject: Warpehoski, Chuck Your comments

Chuck,

Thanks for your comments tonight. I agree, and like you, lack the answers about resolve, political will, and sustainable revenue to solve these challenges. We need more affordable housing, more services for folks at the margins, and more jobs.

We (nonprofits, service providers, and public investments) are doing a good job, at least compared to most communities. We are not doing a good enough job, and there are many gaps and folks left out in the cold. Obviously literally.

I'd love to meet sometime to talk about these issues, and get your thoughts. What do you say? :-)

Best, Mary Jo

Mary Jo Callan
Director, Washtenaw County
Office of Community & Economic Development

Sent from my iPhone

From:

Lumm, Jane

Sent:

Monday, February 03, 2014 8:11 PM

To:

Hieftje, John; Kailasapathy, Sumi; Briere, Sabra; Petersen, Sally; Taylor, Christopher

(Council

(Council); Kunselman, Stephen; Teall, Margie; Eaton, Jack; Warpehoski, Chuck; Anglin, Mike

Cc:

Beaudry, Jacqueline; Lancaster, Karen; Powers, Steve

Subject: Attachments:

Resolution for 2/18/14 agenda Public Art - Draft Resolution.pdf

Dear All, Attached is the draft Resolution to Return Uncommitted Public Art Funds to Originating Sources which will be presented at the next council meeting -- assuming the ordinance is amended at second reading.

Thanks, Jane

<u>Draft Resolution to Return Uncommitted Public Art Funds to Originating Sources</u> (Draft from Staff January 27, 2014)

..Title

Resolution to Amend Funding for Art in Public Places (8 Votes Required)

..Body

Whereas, The Public Art Ordinance was revised on June 3rd to eliminate the requirement to use 1% of capital improvement project costs (up to \$250,000.00 per project) for public art and to adopt a process where each capital project will be evaluated individually to determine whether it is appropriate for the inclusion of public art;

Whereas, The revised process provides necessary flexibility for staff to consider relative spending priorities and, as appropriate, recommend public art funding in designated "enhanced projects";

Whereas, The Art in Public Places fund currently has a substantial fund balance not committed to specific projects;

Whereas, The uncommitted fund balance was created through the 1% automatic allocation of funds from capital projects to public art in several originating funds (water, sewer, streets, parks, solid waste) where capital spending needs and priorities remain unfunded;

Whereas, The Public Art Ordinance revisions approved on TBD allow for public art funds to be returned to their original sources; and

Whereas, Council desires to return the uncommitted funds to their original source;

RESOLVED, The FY14 revenue and expenditure budgets be increased to return the Art in Public Places uncommitted fund balance to the original sources as follows:

<u>Fund</u>	Revenue	Expenditure
0002-Energy	\$ 3,120	
0042-Water Supply System	\$ 59,785	
0043-Sewage Disposal System	\$448,133	
0048-Airport	\$ 6,416	
0069-Stormwater	\$ 20,618	
0062-Street Millage	\$237,314	
0071-Parks Millage	\$ 28,590	
0072-Solid Waste	\$ 35,529	
0056-Art in Public Places	\$ 839,505	\$ 839,505

DRAFT January 27, 2014

From:

Beaudry, Jacqueline

Monday, February 03, 2014 8:56 PM Sent:

*City Council Members (All); Powers, Steve; Bowden (King), Anissa; Postema, Stephen To:

DB-1 Art Administrator Amendment - General Fund Subject:

Replace Second RESOLVED Clause with:

RESOLVED, The FY14 General Fund Public Services appropriation and expenditure budget be increased by \$20,500 funded by a use of General Fund fund balance.

Jacqueline Beaudry, City Clerk

City Clerk's Office | Guy C. Larcom City Hall |301 E. Huron, 2nd Floor · Ann Arbor · MI · 48104 734.794.6140 (O) · 734.994.8296 (F) | jbeaudry@a2gov.org | www.a2gov.org



Think Green! Please don't print this e-mail unless absolutely necessary.

From:

Tricia Jones

Sent:

Monday, February 03, 2014 10:58 PM

To:

Anglin, Mike

Subject:

Seagraves' contract

Councilman Anglin,

I'm very disappointed that you voted against extending Aaron Seagraves contract. I understand that you are against the percent for art funding stream (and perhaps even against public art, that's not entirely clear at this point), but by not extending Seagraves' contract, you are making it MORE expensive for the city to complete the projects that it is already committed to. This is fiscally irresponsible.

Tricia Jones 5th Ward voter

From: Sent: Susan Froelich [susan@ecocenter.org] Monday, February 03, 2014 11:04 PM

To: Subject: Teall, Margie Thank you!

Dear Margie,

Thanks so much for your words of support and wisdom about the public art situation at the City Council meeting tonight. It was amazing to hear some of the other arguments/thinking by other members. I do intend to write some additional thoughts to all the Council members and will also include you just so you know what I end up saying. After listening I did find myself thinking, what will this amount of money actually accomplish for our roads or sewers or other such things? Isn't there more value to hold the money for public art, something everyone can enjoy for many, many years?

Anyway, thanks again.

Susan