



TO: Mayor and Council

FROM: Sumedh Bahl, Community Services Area Administrator
Jackie Beaudry, City Clerk
Tom Crawford, CFO
Dave Petrak, City Assessor
Wendy Rampson, Planning Manager

CC: Steven D. Powers, City Administrator

SUBJECT: Council Agenda Responses

DATE: 7/7/14

C -1 - An Ordinance to Amend Chapter 55 (Zoning), Rezoning of 4/8 Acres form R1C (Single Family Dwelling District) to R4B (Multiple Family Dwelling District), Ann Arbor Housing Commission North Maple Road Rezoning, 701 North Maple Road (CPC Recommendation: Approval 8 Yeas and 0 Nays)

Question: Is the purpose of this rezoning similar to the other AAHC rezoning – to get the zoning of the site aligned with its actual/contemplated use in order to support the private financing of the re-development? (Councilmember Lumm)

Response: This proposed rezoning is different from the other AAHC rezonings on the agenda in that this site is proposed to be fully redeveloped. As part of the Housing Commission's RAD financing, the 20 existing units on the site are proposed to be demolished and replaced with 42 townhouse units and a community center. To accomplish this, the property must be rezoned from R1C to R4B to allow the proposed housing unit type and density. The Planning Commission found the requested rezoning to multiple-family residential to be consistent the City Master Plan and Sustainability Framework.

DC-1 – Resolution to Nominate and Appoint New Members to the City of Ann Arbor Environmental Commission

Question: The appointment of Mr. Clevey as the representative from the Energy Commission on the Environmental Commission is for a 3-year term, but the recommendation in C-4 is for a one-year term for the appointments of the Planning, PAC and Energy Commission reps. Given that, would it make more sense to make Mr. Clevey's appointment for one year? (Councilmember Lumm)

Response: The resolution is being amended to remove Mr. Clevey's appointment pending the ordinance change in item C-4.

DS – 2 – Resolution No. 4 – Confirming the Barton Drive Sidewalk Special Assessment Roll

Question: In the first resolved clause the total cost is projected at \$80,606, but the three funding sources total \$82,586. Can you please explain/confirm the correct numbers? (Councilmember Lumm)

Response: It is a wording issue that was carried over from previous resolutions. The total project cost is \$80,606. There is \$36,000 paid from the Federal Surface Transportation Fund with the remaining \$46,606 to be from the General Fund and Special Assessment. The special assessment amount is \$1,980. Therefore the amount from the general fund will be \$44,626. Staff will make it clearer on any future resolutions.