

<b>Proposal Evaluations for 2024 &amp; 2025 Construction Projects</b>						<b>DLZ</b>	<b>IntertekPSI</b>	<b>MTC</b>	<b>NTH</b>	<b>TEC</b>	<b>G2</b>					
<b>RFP No. 23-66</b>																
<b>Monday, January 8, 2024</b>																
<b>A. PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS (20 pts)</b>						<b>Poor</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Superior</b>								
Qualifications of firm and staff assigned to project, references and project experience.						4	8	12	16	20	16.0	16.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	18.0
<b>B. PROPOSED WORK PLAN (30 pts)</b>						<b>Poor</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Superior</b>								
Management and schedule; data delivery; and general philosophy.						3	10	16	23	30	16.0	23.0	20.0	20.0	16.0	17.0
<b>C. PAST PERFORMANCE (30 pts)</b>						<b>Poor</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Superior</b>								
Quality of past performances of Consultant/Firm on City projects. (15 if no previous experience)						3	10	16	23	30	23.0	23.0	28.0	25.0	9.0	27.0
<b>TOTAL SECTIONS A, B, &amp; D (80 Possible Points)</b>											<b>55.0</b>	<b>62.0</b>	<b>68.0</b>	<b>60.0</b>	<b>40.0</b>	<b>62.0</b>
<b>D. FEE PROPOSAL (20 pts)</b>						<b>Poor</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Superior</b>								
Clarity and completeness of Fee Schedule, and overall rates/cost of work.						4	8	12	16	20	19.1	18.7	11.6	5.5	did not open	11.6
<b>GRAND TOTAL (100 Possible Points)</b>											<b>74.1</b>	<b>80.7</b>	<b>79.6</b>	<b>65.5</b>	<b>did not open</b>	<b>73.6</b>

<b>20%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>#VALUE!</b>	<b>20%</b>
------------	------------	------------	------------	----------------	------------