

Ann Arbor City Council Regular Session: December 03, 2018
Email Redactions List Pursuant to Council Resolution R-09-386

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	<u>Received</u>						
2	<u>Sent Time</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>TO</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>CC</u>	<u>Redactions</u>	<u>Reason for Redaction</u>
2	7:06 PM		Jane Lumm	Jane Lumm			
3	7:14 PM		Jacqueline Beaudry	Abigail Elias	Ali Ramlawi, Elizabeth Nelson	Cell Phone	Privacy
4	7:25 PM		Jeff Hayner, Kathy Griswold, Jack Eaton, Howard Lazarus, Nicholas Hutchinson, Jeanice Swift, Marios Demetriou, Craig Hupy	Liz Margolis		Cell Phone	Privacy
5	8:05 PM		Jacqueline Beaudry	Ali Ramlawi		Cell Phone	Privacy
6	8:09 PM		Jacqueline Beaudry	Jane Lumm			
7	8:20 PM		Jeff Hayer	Charles Loucks		Email address	Privacy
8	9:03 PM		City Council	Jane Lumm			
9	10:30 PM		Aubrey Patino	Zach Ackerman		Cell Phone	Privacy
10	11:30 PM		Michael Garfield	Zach Ackerman			
11	11:30 PM		Lj Wechtef	Zach Ackerman			
12	11:30 PM		Courtney Piotrowski	Zach Ackerman		Email address, cell phone	Privacy
13	11:30 PM		Alan Benard	Zach Ackerman		Address & phone	Privacy

Journal Archive

From: Lumm, Jane
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 7:06 PM
To: Lumm, Jane
Subject: DC-11 Amendment

Amended 2nd Whereas clause:

Whereas, the December 2016 RSA identified potentially re-locating the Fuller Road crosswalk from its current location at the Gallup Park entrance as a long-term recommendation.

The 2017 Safe Kids Huron Valley grant did not perform an engineering study and did not recommend relocating it to a specific location. More importantly, the grant did not recommend any date (i.e., 2020) but simply referred to this as a long-term recommendation.

Journal Archive

From: Elias, Abigail
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 7:14 PM
To: Beaudry, Jacqueline
Cc: Ramlawi, Ali; Nelson, Elizabeth
Subject: FW: rev'd amendment
Attachments: 12-3-18 amendment-water connection amendment (1).doc

CM Ramlawi will move to amend the water/sewer connection ordinance (B-1) with the attached amendment. I am sending it to you so you have it ready to circulate to all of Council when he makes his motion.

The amendment to the ordinance he will propose is the blue text. The red text is the amendment to code already contained in the proposed ordinance.

Please note that I cannot send or receive emails in excess of 25MB. If you need to send me an email with a large attachment, please contact me to arrange how to get the attachment(s) to me.

Abigail Elias, Chief Assistant City Attorney | City of Ann Arbor, Michigan | <mailto:aelias@a2gov.org> | Telephone numbers: Office: (734) 794-6170 ext. 41888 | Direct: (734) 794-6188 | Internal extension: 41888 | Fax: (734) 994-4954 | Cell: [REDACTED] | address: 301 E. Huron Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48104 | mail address: P.O. Box 8647, Ann Arbor, MI 48107-8647.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information in this transaction is intended only for the individual or entity named above. It may be legally privileged and confidential. If you have received this information in error, please notify me immediately and delete this transmission and any other documents, files and information transmitted herewith. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or its contents is strictly prohibited.

Move to amend proposed new subsection (2) of Section 2:22a to read instead:

- (2) If a property becomes subject to the requirements of subsection (1) because it is annexed into the city by petition of the city to the State Boundary Commission or its successor, or is annexed as the result of mutual resolutions of the City of Ann Arbor and a neighboring township that adjust the city-township boundary, and if the public water main is available and within 200 feet (61 meters) of the property line on the date the annexation of the property is final, the official notice from the city to connect within 90 days provided for in subsection (1) will not be sent to the owner of the property before ~~eighteen months~~ten years have elapsed after the date the annexation of the property is final, or until the property is sold, whichever comes first. Starting eighteen months after the date the annexation of the property is final and ending when the property connects, the property owner will pay the property's allocated share of carrying costs related to the deferred collection of capital recovery charges. All the other provisions of subsection (1) still apply.

Journal Archive

From: Liz Margolis <margolisl@aaps.k12.mi.us>
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 7:25 PM
To: Lumm, Jane
Cc: Hayner, Jeff; Griswold, Kathy; Eaton, Jack; Lazarus, Howard; Hutchinson, Nicholas; Jeanice Swift; Demetriou, Marios; Hupy, Craig
Subject: Re: AAPS Support of Resolutions #1 & 2 for 12/03/18 Meeting

Hi Jane

The designs I am taking about are the initial drawings shared at the public meetings.

It is my understanding that the current location will not support a pedestrian island unless the roadway is redeveloped to give more space. Also it is my understanding that the proposed location has better visibility for approaching traffic. Additionally the current crossing during peak hours sees many cars coming from the west pulling into the right turn lane blocking the crosswalk.

For these reasons we support the proposed new location.

Thank you
Liz

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 3, 2018, at 5:10 PM, Lumm, Jane <JLumm@a2gov.org> wrote:

Dear Ms. Margolis,

Thank you for your letter re: these 12/3 council agenda items.

Can you please, or perhaps this is a question for staff, provide council a copy of the initial design (i.e., not the drawing of the line along Fuller as was shared at the most recent meetings, but the detailed "initial design" you reference) that you describe? Has the AAPS opined on the outstanding mid-term improvements for the current location?

As you know, the current crosswalk location is also heavily utilized by Gallup Park visitors, and their safe crossing of Fuller at the Gallup Park entrance is also a community concern.

We all support safety for everyone.

Thank you, Jane

From: Margolis, Liz <margolisl@aaps.k12.mi.us>
Sent: Monday, December 3, 2018 3:55 PM
To: Taylor, Christopher (Mayor) <CTaylor@a2gov.org>; Bannister, Anne <ABannister@a2gov.org>; Hayner, Jeff <JHayner@a2gov.org>; Lumm, Jane <JLumm@a2gov.org>; Griswold, Kathy <KGriswold@a2gov.org>; Ackerman, Zach <ZAckerman@a2gov.org>; Grand, Julie <JGrand@a2gov.org>; Eaton, Jack <JEaton@a2gov.org>; Nelson, Elizabeth <ENelson@a2gov.org>; Smith, Chip <ChSmith@a2gov.org>; Ramlawi, Ali <ARamlawi@a2gov.org>
Cc: Lazarus, Howard <HLazarus@a2gov.org>; Hutchinson, Nicholas <NHutchinson@a2gov.org>; Jeanice

Swift <swift@aaps.k12.mi.us>; Demetriou, Marios <demetriou@aaps.k12.mi.us>; Hupy, Craig <CHupy@a2gov.org>

Subject: AAPS Support of Resolutions #1 & 2 for 12/03/18 Meeting

Dear Mayor Taylor and Members of the Ann Arbor City Council,

Please see that attached letters issuing the support of:

- Resolution 1 - The Plans and Specifications for the Fuller Rd.Sidewalk and Crosswalk Relocation and,
- Resolution 2 - Northside A2 STEAM Safe Routes to School Sidewalk Gap Project - Sidewalk Special Assessment.

We thank the Mayor, City Council and City of Ann Arbor staff for their dedication to student safety. We encourage passage of both of these resolutions that demonstrate the commitment of the City and the Ann Arbor Public Schools in the continuing effort to place pedestrian safety as a priority for not only students but for everyone.

Thank you,

Liz Margolis

--

Liz Nowland-Margolis

Executive Director - Student and School Safety, Ann Arbor Public Schools

734-994-2014w / [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] | margolisl@a2schools.org | www.a2schools.org | 2555 South State St. Ann Arbor, MI 48104

<image001.jpg>

<image002.jpg>

Journal Archive

From: Ramlawi, Ali
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 8:05 PM
To: Beaudry, Jacqueline
Subject: RE: rev'd amendment

Yes please.
Ali Ramlawi

From: Beaudry, Jacqueline <JBeaudry@a2gov.org>
Sent: Monday, December 3, 2018 8:04 PM
To: Ramlawi, Ali <ARamlawi@a2gov.org>
Subject: FW: rev'd amendment

Do you want me to forward to all members?

From: Elias, Abigail
Sent: Monday, December 3, 2018 7:14 PM
To: Beaudry, Jacqueline <JBeaudry@a2gov.org>
Cc: Ramlawi, Ali <ARamlawi@a2gov.org>; Nelson, Elizabeth <ENelson@a2gov.org>
Subject: FW: rev'd amendment

CM Ramlawi will move to amend the water/sewer connection ordinance (B-1) with the attached amendment. I am sending it to you so you have it ready to circulate to all of Council when he makes his motion.

The amendment to the ordinance he will propose is the blue text. The red text is the amendment to code already contained in the proposed ordinance.

Please note that I cannot send or receive emails in excess of 25MB. If you need to send me an email with a large attachment, please contact me to arrange how to get the attachment(s) to me.

Abigail Elias, Chief Assistant City Attorney | City of Ann Arbor, Michigan | <mailto:aelias@a2gov.org> | Telephone numbers: Office: (734) 794-6170 ext. 41888 | Direct: (734) 794-6188 | Internal extension: 41888 | Fax: (734) 994-4954 | Cell: [REDACTED] | address: 301 E. Huron Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48104 | mail address: P.O. Box 8647, Ann Arbor, MI 48107-8647.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information in this transaction is intended only for the individual or entity named above. It may be legally privileged and confidential. If you have received this information in error, please notify me immediately and delete this transmission and any other documents, files and information transmitted herewith. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or its contents is strictly prohibited.

Journal Archive

From: Lumm, Jane
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 8:09 PM
To: Beaudry, Jacqueline
Subject: DC-11 Amendment

Jackie, Could you please send to council when DC-11 is before us. Thanks! Jane

From: Lumm, Jane <JLumm@a2gov.org>
Sent: Monday, December 3, 2018 7:06 PM
To: Lumm, Jane <JLumm@a2gov.org>
Subject: DC-11 Amendment

Amended 2nd Whereas clause as follows:

Whereas, the December 2016 RSA identified potentially re-locating the Fuller Road crosswalk from its current location at the Gallup Park entrance as a long-term recommendation.

Background:

The 2017 Safe Kids Huron Valley grant did not perform an engineering study and did not recommend relocating it to a specific location. More importantly, the grant did not recommend any date (i.e., 2020) but simply referred to this as a long-term recommendation.

Journal Archive

From: Charles Loucks [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 8:20 PM
To: Hayner, Jeff; Jeffrey Hayner
Subject: Township islands

Is the city double dipping when it comes to cost recovery for water? I thought most of our regular water bill was for capital cost and not operation costs. Why are we charging so much for the islands to connect when the regular bill is mostly for the infrastructure cost already? Where did the charge numbers?

Journal Archive

From: Lumm, Jane
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 9:03 PM
To: CityCouncil
Cc: Beaudry, Jacqueline; Lazarus, Howard
Subject: FW: DC-11 Amendment

From: Lumm, Jane
Sent: Monday, December 3, 2018 8:09 PM
To: Beaudry, Jacqueline <JBeaudry@a2gov.org>
Subject: DC-11 Amendment

Jackie, Could you please send to council when DC-11 is before us. Thanks! Jane

From: Lumm, Jane <JLumm@a2gov.org>
Sent: Monday, December 3, 2018 7:06 PM
To: Lumm, Jane <JLumm@a2gov.org>
Subject: DC-11 Amendment

Amended 2nd Whereas clause as follows:

Whereas, the December 2016 RSA identified potentially re-locating the Fuller Road crosswalk from its current location at the Gallup Park entrance as a long-term recommendation.

Background:

The 2017 Safe Kids Huron Valley grant did not perform an engineering study and did not recommend relocating it to a specific location. More importantly, the grant did not recommend any date (i.e., 2020) but simply referred to this as a long-term recommendation.

Journal Archive

From: Ackerman, Zach
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 10:30 PM
To: Aubrey Patiño
Cc: zaackerman@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Millage rebate

Hi Aubrey,

Thanks for speaking tonight. Do you have time to meet in the month of December?

Best,
Zach

Zachary Ackerman

Ann Arbor City Council

Ward 3

(734) 883-8391

Emails sent to or from this address could be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

From: Aubrey Patiño [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2018 1:47 PM
To: CityCouncil
Subject: Millage rebate

Greetings -

I'm reaching out in regard to the City's 2017 commitment to use the rebated portion of the millage for under-funded city priorities, including *affordable housing*. I was surprised and concerned last week to learn that the use of the millage rebate dollars could be up for reconsideration.

In addition to bricks and mortar, the 40% dedicated to affordable housing (estimated \$800K per year) from the millage rebate had funds earmarked for critical services being provided to residents in Ann Arbor Housing Commission properties. This included funding earmarked for Avalon Housing, SOS Community Services, Community Action Network, Peace Neighborhood Center, and Food Gatherers. The services provided by Avalon and our partner agencies to AAHC residents play a significant role in promoting mental health and public safety, in direct alignment with the intent of the County millage. If there is any education I can provide on the implications of putting these funds at risk, please advise. In addition, given the depleted affordable housing fund, this is the only dedicated revenue opportunity we have right now.

In regard to the concern about a community input process on the use of these funds, look no further than the Community Mental Health Advisory Committee recommendations. The Community Mental Health Advisory Committee, comprised of stakeholders across the County, held a series of meetings where they solicited public input on how to use the millage funds as it pertains to mental health services. One of the many recommended investment priority areas was supportive housing services. Ample public input led to that conclusion. The use of the rebate funds for affordable housing and supportive services is in alignment with the CMHAC recommendations from the County.

I encourage you to honor the 2017 commitment to the use of these funds.

Thank you,

Aubrey

--

Aubrey Patiño, LMSW
she/her/hers
Executive Director
(734) 663-5858 ext. 214 (office)



Click on the links below to join us online!



Journal Archive

From: Ackerman, Zach
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 11:30 PM
To: Michael Garfield
Subject: RE: Letter from 11 organizations re millage rebate survey

THANK YOU

Zach

Zachary Ackerman

Ann Arbor City Council

Ward 3

(734) 883-8391

Emails sent to or from this address could be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

From: Michael Garfield [michaelg@ecocenter.org]
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 1:40 PM
To: CityCouncil
Cc: Laura Rubin; Brown, Stephen; Shriberg, Mike; Barbara Lucas; Wayne Appleyard; Hutton, Susan; Mirsky, John; Kelbaugh, Doug (DGT); Tom Bauld; Olsson, Kris; Jane Esper Vogel; Lazarus, Howard; Stults, Missy
Subject: Letter from 11 organizations re millage rebate survey

Dear Mayor Taylor and Members of the Ann Arbor City Council,

We, the undersigned members of the Ann Arbor Climate Partnership, ask that you consider the following input regarding the "Resolution to Conduct a Survey of Ann Arbor Residents to Assess Community Preferences Regarding the City's Spending of County Mental Health & Public Safety Millage Proceeds" which was passed at the November 19, 2018 Council Meeting.

Fundamentally, it is our joint position that this survey is an unnecessary waste of taxpayers' money. The City recently conducted a survey on residents' budget priorities. The results of that survey should provide sufficient input on City spending priorities.

If, in spite of the above, City Council decides to proceed with a new survey, then the survey approach should be straight forward.

First of all, the survey needs to provide all participants accurate background information up front so that they can provide informed input. That means respondents should be informed during the polling process that:

1. City Council had resolved to spend the millage rebate on climate action, affordable housing, and pedestrian safety *before voters went to the polls* and that decision was widely reported in local media (print, radio, online, social, etc.), NGO newsletters and other communication;
2. The current City Council is now considering spending the money on other things; and
3. Annual taxpayer contributions as of December 2018 are as follows so they are aware of current absolute and relative spending amounts: of the ~ \$396 million for all City expenditures (FY19 value), the survey should inform respondents the amount currently spent for climate action (~ \$560,000), for pedestrian safety, and for affordable housing. and that through the non-rebated portion of the millage, City taxpayers will be contributing approximately \$3.5 million for mental health (where the latter excludes City funding of community services, some of which are related to mental health).

Only then should the survey ask residents if they support or oppose spending rebate funds on climate action, affordable housing, and pedestrian safety or on other areas as defined by the new Council.

Finally, the survey has to be conducted in such way that all affected demographic groups are represented in polling results equal to their share of Ann Arbor's population, including youth and student residents who will be equally - if not even more - affected by the long-term (8+ years) spending decisions that are at stake.

Council's decisions on the proposed survey process are important. Even more significant is how millage rebate funds will be spent in the community. We are raising our voices to ensure the survey is fair, transparent and known to and understood by all Ann Arbor residents. As all of you have publicly spoken out for fairness, transparency and wise City government spending, we trust you will act accordingly.

In closing, we want to point out the City of Ann Arbor adopted its Climate Action Plan in 2012, but the low level of past funding and the absence of a dedicated future funding source going forward has prevented the CAP from being implemented in any meaningful way. City Council's resolution to spend a portion of the millage rebate on the climate plan's implementation is the single most important thing Ann Arbor has done to date to address the climate crisis. We urge you to NOT overturn the earlier spending resolution without finding an alternative source of funding for that work.

Sincerely,

Laura Rubin, Huron River Watershed Council
 Stephen C. Brown, Sierra Club, Ann Arbor Environmental Commission
 Mike Shriberg, National Wildlife Federation, Ann Arbor Energy Commission
 Barbara Lucas, Citizens Climate Lobby, Ann Arbor Chapter
 Wayne Appleyard, Chair, Ann Arbor Energy Commission
 Susan Hutton, Ann Arbor Environmental Commission
 John Mirsky, Ann Arbor Environmental Commission, Energy Commission, Executive Policy Advisor to the City Administrator
 Doug Kelbaugh, Ann Arbor 2030 District
 Thomas Bauld, Ph.D., Chair, First Unitarian Universalist Congregation of Ann Arbor Climate Action Group
 Kris Olsson, Climate Reality Project, Washtenaw County Chapter
 Jane Vogel, Michigan Interfaith Power and Light, Past Board President & Liaison to Solar Faithful
 Mike Garfield, Ecology Center

Michael Garfield | Director

Ecology Center

339 E. Liberty St., Suite 300 | Ann Arbor, MI 48104

michaelg@ecocenter.org | www.ecocenter.org

Ph 734-369-9263

Journal Archive

From: Ackerman, Zach
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 11:30 PM
To: Lj Wechtef
Subject: RE: Millage money

Hi Laurie,

You have my promise to fight to protect the funding.

Best,
Zach

Zachary Ackerman

Ann Arbor City Council

Ward 3

(734) 883-8391

Emails sent to or from this address could be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

From: Lj Wechtef [laurie@groundcovernews.com]
Sent: Friday, November 30, 2018 12:55 PM
To: Ackerman, Zach
Subject: Millage money

Dear Zach,

PLEASE do not change the way the millage was meant to be spent. The homeless mentally ill have so very few funds allotted for housing or other needs and the millage means a great deal more to the poor than our publicly-funded police force. The money is meant for one limited program for the police and must be spent for that alone.

Humbly,
Laurie Wechter

Journal Archive

From: Ackerman, Zach
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 11:30 PM
To: courtney piotrowski, pla, asla
Subject: RE: Input RE: County Mental Health & Public Safety

Hi Courtney,

Thanks for writing. You have my commitment to fight to preserve the funding.

Best,
Zach

Zachary Ackerman

Ann Arbor City Council

Ward 3

(734) 883-8391

Emails sent to or from this address could be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

From: courtney piotrowski, pla, asla [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 30, 2018 10:32 AM
To: CityCouncil
Subject: Input RE: County Mental Health & Public Safety

Good Morning,

I am writing to encourage you to honor the City's 2017 commitment to use the rebated portion of the millage for under-funded city priorities, including affordable housing.

The three most important things I'd like to stress to those of you who are contemplating changes to the millage which was approved 2-1:

- The County Mental Health & Public Safety millage had three parts: 1) funding for mental health; 2) funding for public safety; and 3) a rebate to communities that have their own police services. This rebate could be used by communities at their discretion, and the communities that will receive the rebate have chosen, or will choose, different ways to use the rebate. **I support Ann Arbor's 2017 commitment to use the rebate for affordable housing; climate action; and, pedestrian safety.**
- It is estimated the City will spend about \$20,000 on a survey of residents to identify priorities for how to spend the millage rebate, even though **the decision about how to spend the money was already detailed by City Council in 2017** prior to the millage vote.

- **City of Ann Arbor voters were well-informed how the millage rebate portion was intended to be used.** Here is one example of many articles that detailed the expenditure: [Ann Arbor News article](#) titled, “New tax could help fund Ann Arbor's \$55M urban trail, other efforts” published on September 18, 2017.

Thank You!

Courtney Piotrowski
[REDACTED]

living**LAB**

Courtney Piotrowski
principal + landscape architect

office 313.974.7602 x 1
[REDACTED]

www.livinglabdetroit.com

Journal Archive

From: Ackerman, Zach
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 11:30 PM
To: Alan Benard
Subject: RE: County Mental Heath Millage Funds for Affordable Housing

Hi Alan,

Thank you very much for writing. You have my commitment to fight to preserve the funding. Please stay engaged in the issue as we approach the budget process, which will culminate in May 2019.

Best,
Zach

Zachary Ackerman

Ann Arbor City Council

Ward 3

(734) 883-8391

Emails sent to or from this address could be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

From: Alan Benard [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 30, 2018 4:23 AM
To: CityCouncil
Subject: County Mental Heath Millage Funds for Affordable Housing

Hello, Ann Arbor Council Members,

I oppose most strenuously redirection of funds from the Washington County mental health millage now intended for development of affordable housing initiatives

That block of funds, and much more, must be spent to address the overall lack of new housing units developed since the state's 10-year recession, and structural inequalities in housing affordability.

Thank you.

Alan Benard
[REDACTED]