

To Whom It May Concern,

We live a couple of doors away from 215 Beakes and use the adjacent public alley multiple times a day. In addition, we own several other properties in the neighborhood.

We are strongly opposed to the additional variances that the petitioner is requesting. There would be a strong detrimental effect on the neighborhood as well as a worsening of the canyon effect on the narrow public alley in terms of light and concentrated wind. I have also been told that he plans to close the public alley (on which several homes depend) for various periods during construction.

The petitioner bought the property knowing what he was purchasing. It is ridiculous to suggest that he would incur a hardship in not being able to house his luxury car stable to the detriment of the neighborhood. There are absolutely no public benefits that would be gained, only violations against neighborhood daylight, privacy, and functionality of the public alley.

One would think he would have more empathy for the neighbors given his current situation at 1 N Main.

Michael Bielby and Lisa Profera

605 N 5th Ave