

**BUDGET AMENDMENTS AS PROPOSED BY ANN ARBOR CITY COUNCIL
ON MAY 18, 2015**

Amendment 1-Fund three outdoor ice rinks for FY2016 as a one-time pilot

Whereas, Council desires to fund three outdoor ice rinks for FY2016 as a one-time pilot program;

Whereas, The General Fund cost for the ice rinks is \$89,169 per the 4/20/2015 report to Council (File Number 15-0473);

RESOLVED, The General Fund FY2016 parks budget be increased by \$89,169 funded by a one-time use of General Fund fund balance.

Sponsor: Kunselman

Amendment 2 - Increase General Fund budget for Community-Facing Climate Action Programs

WHEREAS, City Council passed Resolution 14-095 directing staff to draft recommendations on Community Energy Efficiency;

WHEREAS, City Council received a recommendation from staff on May 5, 2014, detailing the resources necessary to make significant progress on creating and implementing additional community energy efficiency, conservation, and renewable energy programs that further the Climate Action Plan's adopted targets, reduce our community GHG emissions, provide economic benefit to our community and help to preserve our quality of life ("Community-Facing Climate Action Programs");

WHEREAS, The City of Ann Arbor will not achieve the goals articulated the Climate Action Plan if we do not invest in staffing and Community-Facing Climate Action Programs;

WHEREAS in FY2015 \$85,000 was allocated to contract support from the Clean Energy Coalition and Ecology Center to support community energy efficiency and the Ann Arbor Climate Partnership;

WHEREAS, in FY2015 the City of Ann Arbor further advanced its sustainability goals via a 0.5 FTE sustainability associate, funded \$40,000 from the City of Ann Arbor and \$40,000 from grant funding;

WHEREAS, the City Administrator has asked staff to prepare a business plan for sustainability for consideration in developing the FY2017 budget to attempt to quantify how the City organization and community benefit from sustainability;

WHEREAS, a non-recurring amendment of \$85,000 would allow continuation of the current level community energy efficiency programs while the business plan is developed;

WHEREAS, a non-recurring amendment of \$80,000 would allow continuation of the current sustainability associate effort while the business plan is developed;

WHEREAS, Grant funding has not yet been obtained for these programs;

RESOLVED, That the General Fund FY2016 Systems Planning expenditure budget be increased by \$165,000 funded by a one-time use of fund balance to support the effort of a sustainability associate FTE (\$80,000) and Community-Facing Climate Action Programs (\$85,000), while alternative funding is being obtained.

Sponsors: Taylor, Briere

Amendment 3- Increase General Fund budget to provide the Veterans' Court with additional funding for drug testing

WHEREAS, The Mental Health Court has been effective in reducing recidivism and providing treatment to residents in need;

WHEREAS, drug and alcohol testing is an essential, yet underfunded, component in keeping Mental Health Court participants accountable;

WHEREAS, The Council desires to provide additional funding to the Mental Health Court for drug and alcohol testing; and

WHEREAS, The General Fund cost for the additional funding is \$15,000;

RESOLVED, The General Fund FY2016 District Court budget be increased by \$15,000 funded by a one-time use of General Fund fund balance.

Sponsors: Grand, Krapohl

Amendment 4- Request DDA budget to address funding of Kerrytown streetlights

WHEREAS, DDA TIF revenue was \$4.4 million in FY14 and estimated at \$5.1 million in FY15. The parking fund revenue was \$19.9 million in FY14 and estimated at \$21.3 million in FY15;

WHEREAS, Council desires the DDA to contribute funding for the Kerrytown streetlight replacement project;

WHEREAS, Council has identified the \$300k Ambassador program as an area where the expenditure budget could be repurposed to meet this funding need;

RESOLVED, the Council requests the DDA in FY2016 to set aside and restrict \$300k for the Kerrytown street lights replacement to occur at the appropriate time in coordination with the City's other Kerrytown work.

Sponsors: Kailasapathy, Eaton, Anglin, Lumm and Briere

Amendment 5- Reduce LDFA expenditure budget and allocate funds to LDFA Fund Balance for future infrastructure development

WHEREAS, economic development requires adequate supporting infrastructure;

WHEREAS, infrastructure development will support existing businesses and attract new businesses;

WHEREAS, modern infrastructure improvements benefit the entire community and hence upholds the values of our City;

WHEREAS, Council desires the LDFA to reduce their expenditure budget in order to accumulate fund balance for the municipal fiber project;

WHEREAS, the 2002 LDFA TIF plan Section III item 3 states: "In the event sufficient revenues become available through this plan, investment may be made to facilitate the expansion of high-speed telecommunications infrastructure throughout the District

WHEREAS, the LDFA expenditure budget has increased 44% from FY2015 to FY2016;

WHEREAS, Council has identified areas of reduction where the expenditure budget has increased and could be rolled back to FY2015 expenditure levels including: business accelerator staffing, incubator expansion, internship/talent training, and marketing;

RESOLVED, That the Smart Zone LDFA fund expenditure budget be decreased by \$500,000.

RESOLVED, Council requests that the excess revenues in the amount of \$500,000 be set aside as restricted fund balance for future infrastructure development.

Sponsors: Kailasapathy, Lumm, Eaton and Anglin

Amendment 6- Increase FY16 Budget for Deer Management to \$90,000 and Direct the City Administrator to Present to Council for Consideration a Deer Feeding Ban Ordinance and Prepare for Council a Report Identifying Ongoing Deer Management Funding Requirements

Whereas, in response to citizen concerns particularly in the First and Second Wards regarding growing deer populations and the damage being caused to the natural environment and native species as well as concerns related to public health and safety, City Council commenced a collaborative study process in May 2014 to dimension the severity of the issue and to begin evaluation of alternative deer management strategies and approaches; and

Whereas, in August 2014, City Council accepted the Administrator's initial report and authorized \$20,000 to continue the study and evaluation of alternatives; and

Whereas, over the last nine months, the city has conducted a very thorough study and analysis with extensive public engagement including a public survey and three public forums where alternative deer management approaches (lethal and non-lethal) and their relative effectiveness have been reviewed and discussed; and

Whereas, the city has issued its final report "Recommendations for Deer Management in Ann Arbor" (dated May 7, 2015) which:

- Indicated that "Many residents from Wards 1 and 2 reported many negative interactions with deer, including significant property damage from deer occupations of backyards"
- Recommended that "The City should set an overall goal of reducing deer-human negative interactions. The first area of focus should be Wards 1 and 2. The recommended process is implementation of a series of annual culls, beginning in the Winter of 2016, on city property in Wards 1 and 2"; and

Whereas, the City report also recommends the city "Implement a city wide deer feeding ban as soon as possible" which would require adoption by City Council of a city ordinance and city staff have developed draft ordinance language for council consideration: and

Whereas, additional recommendations of the report include annual surveys and counts to measure progress and monitor trends, city-provided deer management resources and materials (via the website or at City Hall), and developing a process to measure environmental impact on the City's natural areas; and

Whereas, although City Council has not yet determined the course of action and Council will authorize the expenditures when that decision is made, it is fiscally prudent to include in the FY16 budget an adequate provision to cover the costs of the report's recommended actions; and

Whereas, a citizen-based group Washtenaw Citizens for Ecological Balance (WC4EB) has extensively researched the deer management alternatives available to the city (and their costs) and has suggested a budget provision of \$90,000 for the first year; and

Whereas, \$90,000 is a reasonable first year budget provision given that the city report estimates first year costs of \$58,000 to \$78,000, but excludes the costs for staff time and provisions for unanticipated costs and given that the proposed FY17 Plan provision of \$20,000 is below City and WC4EB projections for ongoing costs; and

Whereas, an additional \$50,000 is required to establish a \$90,000 FY16 budget for deer management as the proposed budget currently reflects a General Fund expenditure provision of \$40,000; and

Whereas, the proposed FY16 General Fund budget includes a \$30,000 provision for public art transition and a public art transition provision was also included in the FY15 budget. Year-to-date through ten months of FY15, no actual transition costs have been incurred against that \$80,000 FY15 provision (just \$40,000 in costs associated with the Stadium Bridge art project cost overrun) and it is expected the \$40,000 balance will flow to the General Fund fund balance at the end of FY15.

Whereas, regardless of deer management approach adopted by Council, there will be ongoing costs in FY17 and beyond.

RESOLVED, that the one-time FY16 General Fund expenditure line item for Deer Management be increased from \$40,000 to \$90,000 with the funding for the \$50,000 increase as follows:

- \$30,000 – removing the public art recurring expenditure from the FY16 GF budget
- \$20,000 – one-time use of General Fund fund balance potentially reimbursed by University of Michigan cost participation

RESOLVED, that City Council directs the City Administrator to:

- 1) present to City Council for consideration as soon as possible an ordinance that would ban deer feeding city-wide as recommended in the report, and
- 2) discuss potential cost participation with the University of Michigan, and
- 3) prepare for Council within 30 days after Council's decision on a course of action a report that identifies the ongoing funding required to continue the approach adopted by Council into the future as well as any possible funding sources that may be available beyond the city's General Fund.

Sponsors: Lumm, Kailasapathy, Eaton

Amendment 7- Increase Police Staffing in the FY16 Budget and FY17 Plan by Two Officers

Whereas, public safety is a core, essential city service and a service priority highly valued by many community residents and taxpayers; and

Whereas, over the last ten years, the number of sworn officers in the AAPD has been reduced by 37 officers (23% - from 159 in FY05 to 122 in FY15) and the Administrator's proposed FY16 Budget and FY17 Plan reflect no change in police staffing from FY15; and

Whereas, Police Chief Seto has indicated that enhancing public safety and the perception of safety is best achieved by evolving from a "reactive" police force to a more "pro-active" force, which requires additional officers; and

Whereas, adding resources to the small, three-person Community Engagement Unit would result in increased traffic enforcement and outreach in our neighborhoods as well as increased foot and bike patrols in the downtown area, both community-identified needs; and

Whereas, on June 3, 2013, City Council formally requested the DDA allocate funding for downtown beat cops, but the DDA chose not to accommodate the request despite an increase in their TIF revenues of \$1.6 million (42%) in just three years (from \$3.7M in FY13 to \$5.3 million in FY16); and

Whereas the city projects the DDA's TIF revenues will increase a further 13% (\$675,000) in FY17. These updated city projections for DDA TIF revenue in FY16 and FY17 are \$250,000 and \$775,000 higher, respectively, than the DDA's recently-approved budgets for the two years which provides a clear opportunity to assist the City in improving public safety in the downtown area without jeopardizing other budgeted programs; and

Whereas, in response to budget questions, Staff indicated that parking-related city revenues have been understated and that \$59,000 in recurring revenues are available and that the Administrator's Operating Contingency (a separate contingency from the \$1.3M contingency in non-departmental for labor and contract settlements) is being increased year-to-year by \$67,026 (36%); and

Whereas, staffing has increased in the Human Resources unit from 11.75 FTE in FY13 to 13.13 FTE proposed for FY16 including a 0.50 FTE increase from FY15 to FY16, and in response to a budget question, Staff indicated the proposed General Fund consulting budget includes \$30,000 of recurring consulting costs in Human Resources; and

Whereas, the Field Operations Unit has, for four consecutive years (FY11 thru FY14), underspent their General Fund contracted services budget by significant and consistent

amounts ranging from \$91,000 to \$115,000, and YTD in FY15 has a \$189,000 unspent balance; and

Whereas, Staff has indicated the proposed FY16 Budget and FY17 Plan do not reflect any specific cost savings targets or programmatic cost savings;

RESOLVED, that the recommended FY16 budget be amended to increase the budgeted FTE in the Police Department by two (149 FTE to 151 FTE) and that Police General Fund expenditures be increased by \$154,470 to reflect the two additional officers;

RESOLVED, that Council desires to provide ongoing funding for these two officers in future budgets;

RESOLVED, that the Police Chief shall determine how to deploy the two additional officers, but that Council suggests they be deployed in the Community Engagement Unit;

RESOLVED, that in light of their higher-than-anticipated TIF revenues, City Council requests the DDA re-consider allocating at least \$154,470 of funding to the City's General Fund beginning in FY16 to support public safety efforts in the downtown area;

RESOLVED, that in the event the DDA chooses not to provide funding support, the \$154,470 increase in the FY16 Police General Fund expenditures is funded by the following:

- \$59,000 increase in recurring revenue
- \$67,026 decrease in recurring expenditure by maintaining Administrator's Operating Contingency at the FY15 adopted budget level of \$182,974
- \$28,444 decrease in recurring expenditures in the Human Resources unit and/or in Field Operations Contracted Service expenditures at the discretion of the City Administrator

RESOLVED, that the Administrator may present to Council other recurring expenditure reductions to substitute for those identified above and that within 120 days, the Administrator shall report to City Council the status of hiring/deploying the new officers and the source of funding selected.

Sponsor: Lumm, Eaton

Amendment 8-Establish General Fund Account/Fund for New Streetlights with Allocations to the Account/Fund of \$225,000 Over Two Years

Whereas, public safety is a core, essential city service and a service priority highly valued by many community residents and taxpayers; and

Whereas, adequate street lighting is a significant contributor to the perception of safety in our neighborhoods; and

Whereas, the city has had in place a moratorium on new streetlights since 2006 and no new streetlights have been added except those related to specific development projects; and

Whereas, City Council in February lifted the moratorium on new streetlights and desires that the City adopt an objective process to begin to consider requests for new streetlights; and

Whereas, the proposed FY17 Plan includes a \$400,000 provision for street light maintenance and replacement, but staff has indicated that funding is not intended for new streetlights and there is no funding provided in the FY16 Budget or FY17 Plan for new streetlights; and

Whereas, Staff has indicated they have developed the criteria with which to evaluate the priority of new streetlight requests; and

Whereas, the proposed FY16 General Fund Capital Budget includes \$140,000 for Council Chamber renovations of which \$5,000 is for an ADA-accessible podium and \$8,500 is for demolition, asbestos abatement, and air monitoring/testing; and

Whereas, the proposed FY16 General Fund budget results in a \$2.1 million surplus with a \$1.6 million contingency and over the two-year period (FY16 and FY17), a \$300,000 surplus is projected with \$4.8 million in contingency;

RESOLVED, that the city establish a new, dedicated General Fund account/fund for the purpose of funding new streetlights;

RESOLVED, that within 90 days, the City Administrator presents to Council for approval a proposed process to evaluate and fund new streetlight requests as well as possible sources of ongoing funding for new streetlights;

RESOLVED, that \$125,000 is allocated to the "New Streetlight" General Fund account/fund in FY16 with funding from a \$125,000 reduction in the FY16 project budget for council chamber renovation;

RESOLVED, that \$100,000 is allocated to the “New Streetlight” General Fund account/fund in FY17 with funding from utilizing 25% of the \$400,000 provision established in FY17 for streetlight maintenance and replacement;

RESOLVED, that the Administrator may present to Council for consideration other sources of funding to substitute for those identified above

Sponsors: Lumm, Kailasapathy, Eaton

Amendment 9-Restore Fall Leaf and Holiday Tree Pickup Services

Whereas, the City provided Fall leaf pickup and Holiday Tree pickup services to residents until 2011; and

Whereas, leaf pickup is a basic service that residents and taxpayers reasonably expect their taxes would pay for, particularly given that taxpayers pay \$12 million annually in a dedicated solid waste millage; and

Whereas, in lieu of city bulk pickups, the city’s Leaf Management Program instructs residents to place leaves in bags or compost carts, options which are simply not practical for many residents considering the volume of their leaves. As a result, the elimination of the city’s twice a year leaf pickup service simply shifted the costs of disposal from the city to those residents who now pay twice – through the Solid Waste Millage and to hire private haulers; and

Whereas, holiday tree pickup offers a convenience to residents that can be provided for the minimal cost of \$26,780 annually. Further, restoring holiday tree pickup would eliminate the need for residents to drive to the drop-off individually resulting in an environmental benefit as well as the convenience; and

Whereas, the costs to restore leaf pickup would be a one-time capital cost of \$418,000 and increased recurring operating costs of \$302,300. Including holiday tree pickup, the recurring cost increase to restore both services for residents would be \$329,080; and

Whereas, the Solid Waste unrestricted fund balance at June 30, 2014 was \$14.2 million – an increase of \$2.5 million (21%) over June 30, 2013 and equivalent to about 100% of Solid Waste annual operating expenses – and the \$418,000 one-time equipment expenditure required would utilize a small portion (2.9%) of the \$14.2 million June 2014 fund balance; and

Whereas, for four consecutive years (FY11 thru FY14), the budgeted Solid Waste fund expenditures in the Field Operations Service Unit have exceeded actual expenditures by amounts well in excess of the \$329,080 required to restore these services to residents, confirming the indication from staff a year ago that the costs of restoring the services could be contained within the current budgets; and

Whereas, the projected recurring cost of \$329,080 to restore these services to residents represents approximately 2% of annual Solid Waste expenditures;

RESOLVED, that the recommended FY16 budget and FY17 plan for the Solid Waste Fund (0072) be amended to restore (2) Fall leaf pickups and Holiday Tree pickup services for residents.

RESOLVED, that the one-time expenditure of \$418,000 in FY16 is funded by a one-time use of Solid Waste fund balance.

RESOLVED, that the recurring expenditures of \$329,080 for the costs of restoring leaf and holiday tree pickup are offset by equal expenditure reductions in the Field Operations service unit's Solid Waste fund expenditures resulting in no net increase to the FY16 Budget and FY17 Plan Solid waste expenditures recommended by the Administrator

RESOLVED, that the Administrator may present to Council other recurring expenditure reductions in the Solid Waste fund to substitute for those identified above.

Sponsor: Lumm, Kailasapathy, Eaton

Amendment 10-Council Directive Regarding Employee Pension Plan Restructuring for New Hires

Whereas, the City currently offers employees a defined benefit pension plan; and

Whereas, at June 30, 2014, the City's pension plan was 82.88% funded with an unfunded liability of \$89.6 million (Assets of \$433.9 million; Liabilities of \$523.5 million); and

Whereas, unfunded pension liabilities and unsustainable legacy costs have been a significant national issue for many years and in response, recognizing that defined contribution (401-K type) plans are more sustainable in the long term, most employers have transitioned away from traditional defined benefit retirement plans for their new hires; and

Whereas, numerous studies have documented the evolution and substantial shift in retirement plan structures offered by employers. A Bureau of Labor Statistics study indicated that in March 2012, the proportion of private sector workers nationally participating in a defined benefit plan had fallen to 17% (a study by the Employee Benefits Research Institute identified the percentage as 14%). A Federal Reserve Board survey of households found that the percentage of households covered by a defined benefit pension had fallen to 31% in 2010; and

Whereas, the State of Michigan as well as our two local public Universities – UM and EMU – offer defined contribution retirement plans to new employees; and

Whereas, by adopting a defined contribution retirement structure for new hires, the city would be reducing both the ongoing cost and risk to taxpayers and would then be providing the same type of benefit to city employees provided to most Ann Arbor residents and taxpayers who are asked to pay the costs; and

Whereas, the new defined contribution plan structure would apply only to new hires. Current retirees and current employees would not be affected and would remain on their current defined benefit plans.

Whereas, the successful conversion by so many other employers has demonstrated the administrative challenges can be overcome and the State of Michigan has passed legislation (PA 329) making it easier for local government units to transition to a defined contribution plan when an unfunded liability exists in their defined benefit plan, and

Whereas, the City Council should provide clear direction to the City Administrator with regard to overall compensation and benefit strategies (particularly now as Council is establishing financial plans for the next two years), and while this topic has been discussed on occasion for three years, little progress has been made and no clear direction has been provided by Council;

RESOLVED, the City Council directs the City Administrator to prepare within existing resources a revised retirement plan design, in collaboration with Council's Budget and Labor Committee, for new hires that includes a defined contribution element and results in lower costs to the City. This plan design as well as implementation steps will be presented to Council by December 31, 2015, with the intent that the revised plan will be applied to all new employees hired after December 31, 2016 unless in conflict with existing bargaining unit contracts.

Sponsor: Lumm

Amendment 11-Add Morehead-Delaware Pedestrian Bridge to FY 2016 capital budget

Whereas, the City owned and maintained a pedestrian bridge in the Lansdowne neighborhood that crossed the Lans Basin from Morehead Court to Delaware Court;

Whereas, the City closed the pedestrian bridge in 2009 due to safety concerns related to structural deficiencies;

Whereas, the City removed the bridge in 2010;

Whereas, on September 6, 2011, the Ann Arbor Council approved an amendment to the FY 2012 budget to allocate \$120,000 to reconstruct the pedestrian bridge in the Lansdowne neighborhood;

Whereas, the replacement of the pedestrian bridge was delayed to accommodate the need to restore weirs owned by adjacent property owners;

Whereas, the property owners completed repairs to the weirs in 2015;

Whereas, the Morehead-Delaware Pedestrian Bridge (CIP R-AT-16-05) was added to the CIP for completion in FY2020;

Whereas, Council desires to fund this project in FY2016;

RESOLVED, That the Public Services Administration expenditure budget be increased by \$450,000 funded with General Fund fund balance.

RESOLVED, That the General Capital Projects fund revenue and expenditure budgets be increased by \$450,000 funded with a transfer from the General Fund.

Sponsor: Eaton

Amendment 12-Amend budget to increase human services funding for living wage increase

WHEREAS, Council passed a Resolution to Adopt Recommendations Regarding the Application of the City's Living Wage Ordinance (File No. 14-1448) in October 2014;

WHEREAS, this resolution directed the human services funding to keep up with living wage adjustments in the City's human services allocation;

WHEREAS, the adjustment amount for the FY2016 has been identified as \$1,700;

WHEREAS, the Council desires the County to establish a similar policy of adjustments;

RESOLVED, the General Fund Community Development FY2016 budget be increased by \$1,700 funded by a one-time use of fund balance;

RESOLVED, the \$1,700 amount should only be disbursed subject to the County adopting and funding an equivalent policy.

Sponsors: Briere, Taylor, Warpehoski

Amendment 13-Amend budget to increase human services funding

WHEREAS, Council desires to increase funding for human services, including emergency shelters and support for rotating shelter services provided by area churches;

WHEREAS, Council has identified \$75,000 of funding for these human service activities;

RESOLVED, that the General Fund Community development FY2016 expenditure be increased by \$75,000 funded by a decrease in the Non-departmental city-wide membership expenditure budget for SPARK services.

Sponsors: Eaton, Kailasapathy

Amendment 14-Implement Year-Round composting

Whereas, year-round composting is consistent with the City's climate-action plan and sustainability efforts; and

Whereas, in 2013 the City Council approved the revised Solid Waste Plan, which included expanded organics collection, including plate scrapings, and

Whereas, for FY2015 the City implemented the collection of plate scrapings and other food waste from residential properties, and

Whereas, Council desires year-round compost collection; collecting compost on a weekly basis from December 1 through March 31;

Whereas, the collection and storage of food waste in residential compost over the winter can be a deterrent to removing food waste from the land fill; and

Whereas, the expectation is that increased composting will result in decreased trash tipping fees to pay for future costs beyond the FY2016 budget; and

Whereas, the cost is estimated to be \$300,000 per year; and

Whereas, the Solid Waste unrestricted fund balance at June 30, 2014 was \$14.2 million;

RESOLVED, the Solid Waste fund FY2016 expenditure budget be increased by \$300,000 funded by a one-time use of fund balance.

Sponsors: Briere, Taylor

Amendment 15- Create a Comprehensive Organics Management Plan

Whereas, There is a strong ethic of conservation and environmental stewardship among the residents of Ann Arbor; and

Whereas, Ann Arbor residents put these values into practice, currently recycling and composting roughly half of their solid waste each year; and

Whereas, *The Waste Less: Solid Waste Resource Plan* adopted in October 2013 set goals of increasing single family diversion rates from 50% to 60% and total citywide diversion rates from 31% to 40% by 2017; and

Whereas, The most recent waste sort conducted by the City of Ann Arbor found that over 40% of the material making its way to the landfill from Ann Arbor was comprised of potentially recoverable organic waste, much of it food and wood waste; and

Whereas, The City of Ann Arbor spends hundreds of thousands of dollars each year to send unrecovered materials to a private landfill; and

Whereas, Meaningful increases in organics collection will require a host of inter-related strategies in order to maximize the recovery of these materials in a cost-effective and efficient manner; and

Whereas, Such strategies might include: year-round organics collection in the residential, commercial and institutional sectors; source reduction education and outreach to reduce the volume of food waste; coordination and support of food rescue programs in the community; home composting demonstration and outreach; urban wood/forestry waste recovery; fats/oils/grease (FOG) management; citizen and business input in the design of collection programs; and a review of best practices in other communities; and

Whereas, Ann Arbor City Council views minimization of waste and the conservation of natural resources to be a priority of the community, and therefore desires to create momentum to drive this agenda forward in a thoughtful manner; and

Whereas, the Solid Waste unrestricted fund balance at June 30, 2014 was \$14.2 million, and the Administrator's proposed operating budget shows a \$300,000 surplus in the Solid Waste enterprise fund;

RESOLVED, That \$100,000 be allocated in the 2015/16 Solid Waste operating budget to create a comprehensive organics management plan for City Council to consider, including funding and program recommendations for fiscal 2016/17 and following; and

RESOLVED, the Solid Waste fund FY2016 expenditure budget be increased by \$100,000 funded by a one-time use of fund balance; and

RESOLVED, That staff is directed to coordinate this planning process, providing an update to City Council on its progress no later than December 1, 2015; and

RESOLVED, That staff will work with the Environmental Commission in the development and organization of this effort in order to engage the wider community and provide transparency throughout the plan development process.

Sponsors: Grand, Krapohl and Westphal

Amendment 16-Recognition of Parks Fairness Resolution with Budget Amendments

Whereas, On May 17, 2010, Council passed a resolution (R-10-150) adopting policy guidance for the administration of the Parks Maintenance and Capital Improvements Millage that, among other things, that the General Fund Parks & Recreation budget be increased at the same percentage as the average General Fund overall budget percentage increase;

Whereas, On May 16, 2011, Council passed resolution R-11-186 amending the policy guidance;

Whereas, On August 9, 2012, Council reaffirmed via resolution R-12-382, their intent to administer the Parks Maintenance and Capital Improvements Millage policy adopted per resolution R-11-186 for the duration of the current millage;

Whereas, The budget amendments adopted by Council for the FY16 budget increase the General Fund expenditure budget by \$XX,000; and

Whereas, The Parks budget should be increased \$XX,XXX to ensure compliance with the 2010, 2011 and 2012 resolutions;

RESOLVED, That the General Fund Parks FY16 expenditure budget be amended to increase \$XX,XXX to be funded as a one-time expenditure from the General Fund fund balance.

Sponsors: