Cespedes, Christopher

From:	City of Ann Arbor Transportation Commission
Sent:	Friday, April 11, 2025 9:57 AM
To:	Cespedes, Christopher
Subject:	FW: Remove inappropriate 2-way cycle track from Miller Project
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

Subject: Remove inappropriate 2-way cycle track from Miller Project

Hello Milton Dohoney,

This email is a follow-up of my voice mail this morning. I am respectfully requesting that the Transportation Commission reconsider, at their meeting this evening, the two-way cycle track in the Miller Road Project. An <u>MLive article</u> covers the discussion where the only knowledgeable engineer provided reasons not to construct the two-way cycle track.

The roadway geometry, as I described at the council meeting on Monday night, is not appropriate given the large number of conflict points. For expediency, you can simply refer to any AI system to get the reasons that Miller Road is not appropriate. An example of where appropriate: *If there are minimal driveways, side streets, or other potential conflict points on one side of the road, a two-way cycle track can be a good option.*

If the 2-way cycle track is not removed from the project, then I have no recourse but to report the two Professional Engineers, who approved the Miller Road Project, to the State Licensing Board. This is not fair to staff, as the decision for the cycle track was driven by the Transportation Commission. However, the alternative if I stay silent, is to significantly increase the risk of crashes, especially pedestrian and cyclist, and to go against engineering best practices.

The city's refusal to address sight-distance, (line of sight) may be a contributing factor in accepting an inappropriate, dangerous 2-way cycle track.

As always, I am available to provide more information or assist in any way to improve pedestrian safety in our community.

Thank you,

Kathy Griswold

--

Kathy Griswold