

**From:** Nick Avra

**Sent:** Wednesday, June 3, 2026 5:41 PM

**To:** Melin-Corcoran, Mariana

**Subject:** Glazier Heights - Community Participation Meeting (6/3/26)

Mr Moore,

Regarding the Notice received for the above-referenced meeting, attached are question and requests. I am a resident adjacent to the development.

Nicholas Avrakotos

***Request questions and your written responses be included in the Final Citizen Participation Report***

1. When will the rezoning be scheduled in front of the planning commission? We request that the developer notify those residents notified for this meeting of that public meeting 20 days before the meeting.
2. We are requesting the developer and the city to not permit any tree removal or land clearing if or until a zone change approval is completed.
3. What is the nature of the retaining walls illustrated near units 7 and 60? The retaining walls near those units do not extend the full length of the culvert. We request an engineering review be conducted by the City of Ann Arbor in regards to the adequacy of those retaining walls.
4. The construction silt fence on the southside of the parcel has major breaks in areas numerous areas. We request that silt fence be installed the entire length of the parcel on the south side if construction begins.
5. Adjacent sidewalk is not shown on site plan for units 60, 61, or 62. Request this be corrected or listed as exception.
6. Site Plan does not show ADA sidewalk ramps. Request this be corrected or listed as exception.
7. Site plan does not show EV charging stations. Request this be corrected or listed as exception.
8. Site plan does not show catch basins or inlets near snow storage areas. Request this be corrected or listed as exception.
9. We request plan and drawings be corrected to the required back setbacks required per the Ann Arbor UDC. For the proposed R4E zone that distance is 30 feet plus If a building's height exceeds 35 feet or its width exceeds 50 feet, the required rear setback increases by (1.5) inches for each additional foot of height or width. Since you are proposing a typical 45-foot height we are requesting that rear setbacks for all buildings be set at 31.25 feet. Further since you are requesting a 65-foot height maximum in the zone change request a setback of approximately 34 feet would be required.
10. A review of the alternative designs that are given on sheet 11 of the project plan begs the question is it possible to design a smaller project that would avoid significant stormwater and tree impacts, and if so how much smaller would it need to be to make it economically feasible? (Modification of alternative number 1 with a turnaround on the east housing (similar in design to the west housing area) in place of a loop around would resolve the need for two loaded driveways and decrease costs.)