

Subject: Board of Appeals- ZBA25-0019; 504 Concord Pines Dr.

From: Lindsay Higgins
Sent: Friday, July 18, 2025 5:05 PM
To: Planning <Planning@a2gov.org>
Subject: Board of Appeals- ZBA25-0019; 504 Concord Pines Dr.

Hello,

I'm the onsite property manager at Earhart Village; I've received the following responses from co-owners regarding the proposed screen porch at 504 Concord Pines Dr.:

Co-owner at building 6 units (640-662 Greenhills Dr.) I did not receive the mailing, and I am opposed to this addition.

Co-owner at building 5 units (670-672 Greenhills Dr.) No! Sets a bad precedent- 6ft now, 12ft. next time, when does it end? Sets up further degradation of our property values in the future.

Co-owner at building 5 units (670-672 Greenhills Dr.) Is this a request to attach a sunporch as an addition to the rear of their house, or will this be a detached structure at the rear of their property? I have not comment regarding an attached addition, but am firmly opposed to a detached structure at the rear of their property!! Does this conform with the by-laws of their home owner Association?

Co-owner at building 6 units (640-662 Greenhills Dr.): I am not in favor of allowing the variance. I see it as an invasion of the privacy of the Earhart residents whose units back up to the property line dividing Earhart Village and Concord Pines. In my opinion, it could set a precedent for further encroachment upon Earhart Village property. The physical presence of a screened in porch, in itself, is only one factor to be considered; along with that comes the potential for additional noise from loud conversations, media, etc.

Thank you,
Lindsay Higgins
Operations Manager
Earhart Village Homes Association