

Ann Arbor City Council Regular Session: November 17, 2025
Email Redactions List Pursuant to Council Resolution R-09-386

Sent Time	TO	From	CC	Redactions	Reason for Redaction
7:08pm	Jen Eyer & Dharma Akmon	Kevin Devine		Personal Information	Privacy

From: [Beaudry, Jacqueline](#)
To: [City Council](#)
Cc: [Dohoney Jr., Milton](#); [Kaur, Atleen](#); [Reiser, John](#)
Subject: FW: ORD-25-34 proposed amendments.docx
Date: Monday, November 17, 2025 8:16:47 PM
Attachments: [ORD-25-34 proposed amendments.docx](#)

Jacqueline Beaudry, City Clerk (MiPMC4, MMC)

Past President, Michigan Association of Municipal Clerks

2019 Michigan City Clerk of the Year

Ann Arbor City Clerk's Office | Guy C. Larcom City Hall | 301 E. Huron, 2nd Floor · Ann Arbor · MI · 48104

734.794.6140 (O) · 734.994.8296 (F) | Internal Extension 41401

jbeaudry@a2gov.org | www.a2gov.org

From: Reiser, John <JReiser@a2gov.org>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 6:35 PM
To: Akmon, Dharma <DAkmon@a2gov.org>; Briggs, Erica <EBriggs@a2gov.org>; Cornell, Jenn <JCornell@a2gov.org>; Disch, Lisa <LDisch@a2gov.org>; Watson, Chris <CWatson@a2gov.org>
Cc: Kaur, Atleen <AKaur@a2gov.org>; Frost, Christopher <CFrost@a2gov.org>; Beaudry, Jacqueline <JBeaudry@a2gov.org>
Subject: FW: ORD-25-34 proposed amendments.docx

Attached is a potential amendment to Chapter 40 (Vegetation), up on tonight's agenda. The changes are in blue.

The changes are based on Transportation Dept recommendations and would allow them to establish a Sight Triangle at intersections in residential neighborhoods to something other than 40 ft by 40 ft, based on the most recent standards in the American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets. In other words, it sets the default at 40 x 40, unless Transportation deems the AASHTO standards to be a safer standard.

It also introduces a per se limit on the height of vegetation in a Sight Triangle at 30". The general prohibition of vegetation that obstructs the view of pedestrians, bicyclists, and motorists would be replaced by a 30" limit on vegetation.

If this amendment is offered, and it passes, the ordinance will then be regarded as a "first reading" and would require another public hearing and second reading at the next Council meeting. If the amendment does not pass, it proceeds as the required second reading tonight.

I will bring printed copies of the ordinance so that you will have them in advance of Jackie emailing them to you.

Call or text w any questions, or see me before the meeting. I'll be on the 2nd floor early.

John

From: Reiser, John
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 4:39 PM
To: Frost, Christopher <CFrost@a2gov.org>
Subject: FW: ORD-25-34 proposed amendments.docx

I'll call you about the recommended procedure regarding this potential amendment on tonight's agenda. I have the Council rules printed out.

John

From: Reiser, John
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 4:17 PM
To: Akmon, Dharma <DAkmon@a2gov.org>
Subject: ORD-25-34 proposed amendments.docx

Look for the proposed edits in blue in Sec 3:11(6) under Sight Triangle and Sec 3:14(2) where the 30" height limit is proposed. That would replace the existing prohibition regarding obstructing pedestrians, bicyclists, and motorists.

If you agree to these changes, I'll let the Clerk staff know that it's coming. If you are okay with the changes, I'll ask Jackie B to circulate it.

John

From: [Kevin Devine](#)
To: [Eyer, Jen](#); [Akmon, Dharma](#)
Subject: Please vote NO on the parking decks
Date: Monday, November 17, 2025 7:08:36 PM

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

This message was sent from outside of the City of Ann Arbor. Please do not click links, open attachments, or follow directions unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Acquiring the land from the developer for \$12 million for the privilege of building them some parking decks? Please! The whole thing sounds like a scam. My wallet is feeling the pain already!

Jen and Dharma -- please vote NO!

--Kevin

[REDACTED]