

**Ann Arbor City Council Regular Session: February 5, 2018  
Email Redactions List Pursuant to Council Resolution R-09-386**

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	<u>Received</u>						
	<u>Sent Time</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>TO</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>CC</u>	<u>Redactions</u>	<u>Reason for Redaction</u>
2	7:54 PM		Jacqueline Beaudry	Jack Eaton			
3	8:16 PM		City Council	Jacqueline Beaudry	Howard Lazarus, Stephen Postema		
4	9:30 PM		Jacqueline Beaudry	Chuck Warpehoski			
5	10:19 PM		Liz Margolis, Jane Lumm, Kirk Westphal, Margaret Baker, Pravenna Ramaswami	John Cederquist		Email address	Privacy
6	11:17 PM		Kevin McDonald	Chip Smith			
7	11:29 PM		Jacqueline Beaudry	Jane Lumm	Kirk Westphal, Jane Lumm		
8	11:30 PM		City Council	Jacqueline Beaudry	Howard Lazarus, Stephen Postema		
9	12:09 AM		Jacqueline Beaudry, Howard Lazarus	Christopher Taylor	Sara Higgins		

## Archive, Journal

---

**From:** Eaton, Jack  
**Sent:** Monday, February 05, 2018 7:54 PM  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Subject:** DC-2 amendments  
**Attachments:** DC-2 ammendments.docx

Ms. Beaudry,

Please distribute the attached document to Council. It includes amendments for agenda item DC-2 (police review).

Thank you,  
Jack

Jack Eaton  
Ward 4 Council member  
734-662-6083

Messages to and from me regarding City matters are subject to disclosure under the Michigan Freedom of Information Act

**Amended resolution.** Green highlighting indicates language from resolution to be replaced. Yellow highlighting indicates the language of the amendment.

**Title**

Resolution Creating an Advisory Task Force to Make Recommendations Regarding the Roles and Responsibilities of a Community Policing Commission

**Staff**

Reviewed By: Stephen K. Postema, City Attorney

Approved By: Howard S. Lazarus, City Administrator

**Body**

Whereas, In response to community engagement and the Human Rights Commission’s request (HRC resolution of November 4, 2015), the City Council directed that the City undertake an independent evaluation of the Ann Arbor Police Department’s community engagement practices;

Whereas, The City Administrator conducted an open, inclusive, and transparent process to develop the scope of services for the assessment with members of the Human Rights Commission and stakeholders from diverse populations within the community; identified a qualified firm to perform the evaluation; received a unanimous recommendation to hire the contractor from the Human Rights Commission on February 8, 2017; received Council approval to award a contract (R-17-051, Feb. 21, 2017); and received a report that met the requirements of the contract;

Whereas, The resulting report entitled Ann Arbor Police Department (AAPD): An Independent Analysis of Community Engagement Practices was delivered to the City in November 2017; and the report presented forty (40) recommendations in the areas of Co-Produced Policing, Community Engagement, Citizen Complaints and Discipline, Accreditation Implementation and Policy Review, Personnel Management Practices, and Training;

Whereas, The City Council seeks to take action to advance the community’s goals with respect to law enforcement practices and community engagement in consideration of the recommendations of the November 2017 report by creating a Community Policing Commission; and

Whereas, The staffing and budget necessary to support the Community Policing Commission can only be determined by the City Administrator, with approval of City Council, after the scope and functions of Community Policing Commission are articulated;

RESOLVED, That the City Council directs the City Administrator to complete the following:

(1) Solicit volunteers, with input from the Human Rights Commission, the Neutral Zone, and other appropriate community stakeholders, to serve on a task force whose purpose will be to prepare a recommendation outlining the roles and responsibilities for a proposed Community Policing Commission. Members of the task force shall be Ann Arbor residents and service on the task force shall neither ensure nor preclude future service on the Community Policing Commission. Employees of the City of Ann Arbor will not be eligible to serve on the task force. Potential members for the task force will be confirmed by the Human Rights Commission before they are submitted to City Council.

(2) Prepare a resolution for Council, by the March 19, 2018 regular City Council meeting, to confirm nomination of the task force members and a Chairperson of the task force, who shall be nominated by the Mayor. The task force shall consist of eleven (11) voting members nominated by the Mayor from among interested persons, including those referred through or recommended by the Human Rights Commission, the Neutral Zone, or other stakeholders, and which shall include one (1) Chairperson and at least one (1) youth member. The task force shall also include two (2) nonvoting City Council Members. **Employees of the City of Ann Arbor will not be eligible to serve on the task force.**

(3) Designate staff with the appropriate skills, knowledge, and seniority to assist the task force. Such staff may include but are not limited to representation from the Police Department, Finance Department, and City Attorney's Office. **Staff support will be provided as requested by the task force;**

RESOLVED, That the purpose of the task force shall be to make a recommendation outlining the roles and responsibilities of a proposed Community Policing Commission. The recommendation may include discussion of the proposed Commission's roles and responsibilities in the following areas:

#### Education

- Report and **make** recommendations regarding training and education of AAPD staff.
- Report and **make** recommendations regarding public education and communication efforts of AAPD and the City regarding police matters, including police policies and practices.

#### Policing Practices

- **Receive periodic reports and review publicly available data regarding policing practices (e.g., hiring, discipline, budget, staffing, complaints, training, and compliance).**
- **Receive periodic reports and review pertinent public and/or confidential data regarding policing practices (e.g., hiring, discipline, budget, staffing, complaints, training, and compliance).**
- Report and **make** recommendations regarding policing practices.
- Mutual development with AAPD of a Policing Strategic Plan, including community input.
- Explore non-law enforcement public safety interventions that complement the actions of AAPD, such as crisis intervention teams.
- **Receive police department policies and related forms for purposes of review and evaluation.**

#### Incident Review

- Receive and review reports from AAPD containing data on complaints and disciplinary actions.
- Report and **make** recommendations to AAPD and City Council regarding AAPD complaint process and outcomes.
- Evaluate civilian-AAPD alternative dispute resolution process.

- Receive and review relevant records of civilian complaints upon Commission request.
- Create and implement procedure and criteria to receive civilian complaints and timely forward them to AAPD for review.
- Create and implement procedure and criteria to receive civilian complaints and conduct an independent investigation with the assistance of staff as requested. The commission will operate with the authority to engage as an independent, transparent civilian review commission.
- Receive civilian feedback regarding complaints, complaint investigations and complaint outcomes.
- Perform post-action review of complaints and receive additional information regarding complaint outcomes upon request.
- Review incidents that resulted in complaints, injuries, or death for the purpose of identifying improvements in AAPD training, policies, procedures, or practices.

### **Reporting and Evaluations**

- Drafting and delivery of reports and evaluations that support Commission recommendations to AAPD, City Administrator, and City Council.
- Review of best practices and recommendation of data analytics to measure outcomes of policing initiatives, including the community policing strategic plan.

### **Commission Membership**

- Membership number and qualifications to ensure broad experience and representation of the community.
- Members of the commission should represent a broad cross section of the community that includes individual residents from communities most impacted by policing, as well as representatives from relevant fields such as mental health, restorative justice, and social work. Members of the commission should be residents of the City of Ann Arbor who are not employed by the City. Nominees for the commission will be confirmed by majority vote of the Human Rights Commission.
- Member responsibilities, including solicitation of community input.
- Required member training, including consideration of the Ann Arbor Citizens Academy, Citizens' Police, Fire and Courts Academy, and AAPD ride-alongs;

RESOLVED, That it is requested that the task force's recommendations be mindful of state law, the City Charter, existing collective bargain agreements, and the Act 312 binding arbitration process, understanding that it will be within the authority of the Community Policing Commission to recommend that the City seek changes to the foregoing as necessary to achieve Community Policing Commission goals; and

RESOLVED, That the City Administrator, taking into consideration the advisory task force's recommendations, the November 2017 report, and other relevant information, bring to City Council a resolution to establish the Community Policing Commission, detailing its roles and responsibilities and describing the anticipated budget and staffing impact, with a target date of the September 4, 2018 regular City Council meeting.

Sponsored by: Mayor Taylor, Councilmember Warpehoski

## Archive, Journal

---

**From:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Monday, February 05, 2018 8:16 PM  
**To:** \*City Council Members (All)  
**Cc:** Lazarus, Howard; Postema, Stephen  
**Subject:** FW: DC-2 amendments  
**Attachments:** DC-2 ammendments.docx

---

**From:** Eaton, Jack  
**Sent:** Monday, February 5, 2018 7:54 PM  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline <JBeaudry@a2gov.org>  
**Subject:** DC-2 amendments

Ms. Beaudry,

Please distribute the attached document to Council. It includes amendments for agenda item DC-2 (police review).

Thank you,  
Jack

Jack Eaton  
Ward 4 Council member  
734-662-6083

Messages to and from me regarding City matters are subject to disclosure under the Michigan Freedom of Information Act

**Ammended resolution.** Green highlighting indicates language from resolution to be replaced. Yellow highlighting indicates the language of the amendment.

**Title**

Resolution Creating an Advisory Task Force to Make Recommendations Regarding the Roles and Responsibilities of a Community Policing Commission

**Staff**

Reviewed By: Stephen K. Postema, City Attorney

Approved By: Howard S. Lazarus, City Administrator

**Body**

Whereas, In response to community engagement and the Human Rights Commission's request (HRC resolution of November 4, 2015), the City Council directed that the City undertake an independent evaluation of the Ann Arbor Police Department's community engagement practices;

Whereas, The City Administrator conducted an open, inclusive, and transparent process to develop the scope of services for the assessment with members of the Human Rights Commission and stakeholders from diverse populations within the community; identified a qualified firm to perform the evaluation; received a unanimous recommendation to hire the contractor from the Human Rights Commission on February 8, 2017; received Council approval to award a contract (R-17-051, Feb. 21, 2017); and received a report that met the requirements of the contract;

Whereas, The resulting report entitled Ann Arbor Police Department (AAPD): An Independent Analysis of Community Engagement Practices was delivered to the City in November 2017; and the report presented forty (40) recommendations in the areas of Co-Produced Policing, Community Engagement, Citizen Complaints and Discipline, Accreditation Implementation and Policy Review, Personnel Management Practices, and Training;

Whereas, The City Council seeks to take action to advance the community's goals with respect to law enforcement practices and community engagement in consideration of the recommendations of the November 2017 report by creating a Community Policing Commission; and

Whereas, The staffing and budget necessary to support the Community Policing Commission can only be determined by the City Administrator, with approval of City Council, after the scope and functions of Community Policing Commission are articulated;

RESOLVED, That the City Council directs the City Administrator to complete the following:  
(1) Solicit volunteers, with input from the Human Rights Commission, the Neutral Zone, and other appropriate community stakeholders, to serve on a task force whose purpose will be to prepare a recommendation outlining the roles and responsibilities for a proposed Community Policing Commission. Members of the task force shall be Ann Arbor residents and service on the task force shall neither ensure nor preclude future service on the Community Policing Commission. Employees of the City of Ann Arbor will not be eligible to serve on the task force. Potential members for the task force will be confirmed by the Human Rights Commission before they are submitted to City Council.



(2) Prepare a resolution for Council, by the March 19, 2018 regular City Council meeting, to confirm nomination of the task force members and a Chairperson of the task force, who shall be nominated by the Mayor. The task force shall consist of eleven (11) voting members nominated by the Mayor from among interested persons, including those referred through or recommended by the Human Rights Commission, the Neutral Zone, or other stakeholders, and which shall include one (1) Chairperson and at least one (1) youth member. The task force shall also include two (2) nonvoting City Council Members. Employees of the City of Ann Arbor will not be eligible to serve on the task force.

(3) Designate staff with the appropriate skills, knowledge, and seniority to assist the task force. Such staff may include but are not limited to representation from the Police Department, Finance Department, and City Attorney's Office. Staff support will be provided as requested by the task force;

RESOLVED, That the purpose of the task force shall be to make a recommendation outlining the roles and responsibilities of a proposed Community Policing Commission. The recommendation may include discussion of the proposed Commission's roles and responsibilities in the following areas:

#### Education

- Report and make recommendations regarding training and education of AAPD staff.
- Report and make recommendations regarding public education and communication efforts of AAPD and the City regarding police matters, including police policies and practices.

#### Policing Practices

- Receive periodic reports and review publicly available data regarding policing practices (e.g., hiring, discipline, budget, staffing, complaints, training, and compliance).
- Receive periodic reports and review pertinent public and/or confidential data regarding policing practices (e.g., hiring, discipline, budget, staffing, complaints, training, and compliance).
- Report and make recommendations regarding policing practices.
- Mutual development with AAPD of a Policing Strategic Plan, including community input.
- Explore non-law enforcement public safety interventions that complement the actions of AAPD, such as crisis intervention teams.
- Receive police department policies and related forms for purposes of review and evaluation.

#### Incident Review

- Receive and review reports from AAPD containing data on complaints and disciplinary actions.
- Report and make recommendations to AAPD and City Council regarding AAPD complaint process and outcomes.
- Evaluate civilian-AAPD alternative dispute resolution process.

- Receive and review relevant records of civilian complaints upon Commission request.
- Create and implement procedure and criteria to receive civilian complaints and timely forward them to AAPD for review.
- Create and implement procedure and criteria to receive civilian complaints and conduct an independent investigation with the assistance of staff as requested. The commission will operate with the authority to engage as an independent, transparent civilian review commission.
- Receive civilian feedback regarding complaints, complaint investigations and complaint outcomes.
- Perform post-action review of complaints and receive additional information regarding complaint outcomes upon request.
- Review incidents that resulted in complaints, injuries, or death for the purpose of identifying improvements in AAPD training, policies, procedures, or practices.

### **Reporting and Evaluations**

- Drafting and delivery of reports and evaluations that support Commission recommendations to AAPD, City Administrator, and City Council.
- Review of best practices and recommendation of data analytics to measure outcomes of policing initiatives, including the community policing strategic plan.

### **Commission Membership**

- Membership number and qualifications to ensure broad experience and representation of the community.
- Members of the commission should represent a broad cross section of the community that includes individual residents from communities most impacted by policing, as well as representatives from relevant fields such as mental health, restorative justice, and social work. Members of the commission should be residents of the City of Ann Arbor who are not employed by the City. Nominees for the commission will be confirmed by majority vote of the Human Rights Commission.
- Member responsibilities, including solicitation of community input.
- Required member training, including consideration of the Ann Arbor Citizens Academy, Citizens' Police, Fire and Courts Academy, and AAPD ride-alongs;

RESOLVED, That it is requested that the task force's recommendations be mindful of state law, the City Charter, existing collective bargain agreements, and the Act 312 binding arbitration process, understanding that it will be within the authority of the Community Policing Commission to recommend that the City seek changes to the foregoing as necessary to achieve Community Policing Commission goals; and

RESOLVED, That the City Administrator, taking into consideration the advisory task force's recommendations, the November 2017 report, and other relevant information, bring to City Council a resolution to establish the Community Policing Commission, detailing its roles and responsibilities and describing the anticipated budget and staffing impact, with a target date of the September 4, 2018 regular City Council meeting.

Sponsored by: Mayor Taylor, Councilmember Warpehoski

## Archive, Journal

---

**From:** Warpehoski, Chuck  
**Sent:** Monday, February 05, 2018 9:30 PM  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Subject:** amendment

The City Administrator will provide the names of potential members of the task force to the Human Rights Commission for review and recommendation, and the Commission's recommendations will accompany the Mayor's nominations presented to Council for confirmation and appointment."

---

Chuck Warpehoski  
Ann Arbor City Council, Ward 5  
[cwarpehoski@a2gov.org](mailto:cwarpehoski@a2gov.org)  
c: 734-972-8304

Visit [www.chuckwarpehoski.org](http://www.chuckwarpehoski.org) for Ward 5 updates and to sign up for a Ward 5 email newsletter.

Emails received and sent to me as a Councilmember regarding City matters are generally subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

**From:** JOHN CEDERQUIST [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 05, 2018 10:19 PM  
**To:** Margolis, Liz; Lumm, Jane; Westphal, Kirk  
**Cc:** Margaret Baker; Ramaswami, Praveena (PAC)  
**Subject:** RE: Recommendation to refer Rainbow back to Planning Commission

I do apologize for adding to the email but I hope everyone looked at Kirk's attachment from the city administrator which says about traffic:

**"How was traffic analyzed?"**

In accordance with City guidelines, a traffic impact study was drafted, amended, and ultimately approved by City traffic engineers, based on the proposed use. The scope of the traffic study evaluated the public road network surrounding the site, and utilized a conservative approach that presumed all trips to the facility would be new trips to the area (i.e. no vehicle trips that currently exist in the area from residential, school, or other uses would stop at the daycare facility). Based on this analysis, the proposed development will have the following impacts on traffic in the area:

- The child care center may add two additional trips to Bluett Drive during both the morning and afternoon peak hours.
- The study indicated an increased delay of an estimated 47 seconds during the morning peak hour may occur on Bluett Drive at the westbound leg of the Nixon Road/ Bluett Drive intersection.
- The study indicated an increased westbound delay of 24.5 seconds may occur during the morning peak hour at the Nixon Road/Clague Street intersection.
- The study indicated an increased westbound delay of 11.2 seconds may occur during the afternoon peak hour at the Nixon Road/Clague Street intersections.
- The study provided that other proposed impacts to the network surrounding site are anticipated to range from no change to delays of up to 7.7 seconds during some peak hours."

Who are our city traffic engineers? How can they possibly come to the above conclusions? For example, for a daycare facility that can have up to 130 children, are we to believe that that will only cause "two additional trips to Bluett Drive during both the morning and afternoon peak hours" ?

Jack Cederquist, Board President, Orchard Hills - Maplewood Homeowners Association

## Archive, Journal

---

**From:** Smith, Chip  
**Sent:** Monday, February 05, 2018 11:17 PM  
**To:** McDonald, Kevin  
**Subject:** please come down to council meeting

---

Chip Smith  
Ann Arbor City Council - Ward 5  
734-709-2022

*Emails sent and received by me as a Council member regarding Ann Arbor City matters are generally subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).*

## Archive, Journal

---

**From:** Lumm, Jane  
**Sent:** Monday, February 05, 2018 11:29 PM  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Cc:** Westphal, Kirk; Lumm, Jane  
**Subject:** Amendment for DS-1:

Jackie, Please forward to Council. Thank you, Jane

RESOLVED, That City Council approve the proposed curb cut on the West side of Huron Parkway approximately 584 feet South of Hubbard Road to service the new DTE Apex Substation with the following conditions:

- 1) Final landscaping plantings screening the Apex Station from Huron Parkway are to be reviewed by City and University of Michigan staff with final approval by the City's Urban Forestry & Natural Resources Planning Coordinator,.
- 2) The landscaping plantings installed within the Huron Parkway right-of-way and on the land adjacent to the APEX substation and substation access road will be maintained by the University of Michigan in a healthy condition such that the vegetation and screening objectives of its installation are achieved.

## Archive, Journal

---

**From:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Monday, February 05, 2018 11:30 PM  
**To:** \*City Council Members (All)  
**Cc:** Postema, Stephen; Lazarus, Howard  
**Subject:** FW: Amendment for DS-1:

---

**From:** Lumm, Jane  
**Sent:** Monday, February 5, 2018 11:29 PM  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline <JBeaudry@a2gov.org>  
**Cc:** Westphal, Kirk <KWestphal@a2gov.org>; Lumm, Jane <JLumm@a2gov.org>  
**Subject:** Amendment for DS-1:

Jackie, Please forward to Council. Thank you, Jane

RESOLVED, That City Council approve the proposed curb cut on the West side of Huron Parkway approximately 584 feet South of Hubbard Road to service the new DTE Apex Substation with the following conditions:

- 1) Final landscaping plantings screening the Apex Station from Huron Parkway are to be reviewed by City and University of Michigan staff with final approval by the City's Urban Forestry & Natural Resources Planning Coordinator,.
- 2) The landscaping plantings installed within the Huron Parkway right-of-way and on the land adjacent to the APEX substation and substation access road will be maintained by the University of Michigan in a healthy condition such that the vegetation and screening objectives of its installation are achieved.



## Archive, Journal

---

**From:** Taylor, Christopher (Mayor)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 06, 2018 12:09 AM  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline; Lazarus, Howard  
**Cc:** Higgins, Sara  
**Subject:** Projector

At comment, a resident requested that amendments be posted to screens in the house. Seems like a good idea if it can be achieved.