

Parks&Recreation Integrated Pest Management (IPM) In Our City Parks

MICHAEL HAHN, PARK MAINTENANCE SUPERVISOR

Ann Arbor Parks

- 163 Parks
- 2,188 acres





Ann Arbor Parks and Nature Areas













Pests in our Parks

Any noxious/invasive plant, problem insect, plant disease, rodent, nematode or microorganism that is detrimental to the environment or the management plan for the selected park or facility.







Integrated Pest Management – A long-term pest management system that uses all suitable techniques for the prevention or suppression of pests that are harmful to the health, function or aesthetic value of City-owned landscapes, buildings and facilities in a safe, efficient, effective, and environmentally responsible manner. We can often accomplish this through accurate pest identification, frequent monitoring for pest presence and impact, applying appropriate action thresholds, and by making the habitat less conducive to pests using mechanical, cultural, physical and biological controls. If none of these is effective, only then might we consider using an appropriate pesticide.







Integrated Pest Management

 Prevention – Healthy areas can better resist weeds and other pests





- 1. Prevention
- 2. Mechanical Controls
 - Mowing, cutting, handpulling, aerating turf, and controlled burning





- 1. Prevention
- 2. Mechanical Controls
- 3. Cultural Controls selecting native species, attracting beneficial insects, using proper irrigation techniques for turf, and monitoring weather to avoid overwatering, etc...





- 1. Prevention
- 2. Mechanical Controls
- 3. Cultural Controls
- 4. Physical Controls fencing, screening, dense cover of desirable vegetation, etc...





- 1. Prevention
- 2. Mechanical Controls
- 3. Cultural Controls
- 4. Physical Controls
- 5. Biological Controls
 - Galerucella beetles
 - BT Spongy Moths







Integrated Pest Management

- 1. Prevention
- 2. Mechanical Controls
- 3. Cultural Controls
- 4. Physical Controls
- 5. Biological Controls
- 6. Innovative Solutions

Goats at Work

Goats have worked in several parks since 2019

Vinegar-Salt-Soap Spray

1 gal. household vinegar : 1 cup of table salt : 1 tsp dish detergent

Buckthorn Baggies

Non-chemical solution to killing invasive shrubs. NAP has used in several parks with ~75% success rate



- 1. Prevention
- 2. Mechanical Controls
- 3. Cultural Controls
- 4. Physical Controls
- 5. Biological Controls
- 6. Innovative Solutions
- 7. Chemical Controls





- 1. Prevention
- 2. Mechanical Controls
- 3. Cultural Controls
- 4. Physical Controls
- 5. Biological Controls
- 6. Innovative Solution
- 7. Chemical Controls
- 8. Evaluation





IPM – Golf Courses

Guidelines

- Employ sound cultural practices
- Select thresholds
- Scout and monitor
- Accurately identify problem
 & management strategy
- Proper timing
- Evaluate results
- Keep records





IPM – Golf Courses

Compared to other 100% weed/disease-free golf courses, LPGC and HHGC have a much higher tolerance for weeds and turf diseases

***** Cultural Practices

- Constant mowing
- Rolling
- Sand-top dressing
- Vertical mowing
- Dew removal
- Plugging



Certified Audubon Cooperative Sanctuary







NAP Case Study: Stiltgrass

Stiltgrass is a **highly aggressive** invasive species that has ravaged many forests in parts of the southeastern US

- First documented in MI just west of Ann Arbor, 2016
- Displaces native vegetation
- Takes away resources for wildlife
- By 2018, it had reached the west edge of the city, at the Botsford Preserve





Stiltgrass – Impacts

Stiltgrass is an aggressive invader that has just arrived in the region, failing to quickly and effectively control it would pose a serious threat to our natural areas.



Photo: Chris Evans, University of Illinois

Photo: Leslie J. Mehrhoff, University of Connecticut



First thing: Form a Stiltgrass Working Group









Plus private landowners!









Control efforts tried:

1. Burning with propane torches to kill young plants in July Slow and inefficient





Control efforts tried:

- 1. Burning with propane torches to kill young plants in July
- 2. Prescribed fires

Spring is too early; fall is too late





Control efforts tried:

- 1. Burning with propane torches to kill young plants in July
- 2. Prescribed fires
- **3. Hand-pulling** Slow and inefficient





Control efforts tried:

- 1. Burning with propane torches to kill young plants in July
- 2. Prescribed fires
- 3. Hand-pulling
- 4. Mowing

Not effective; spreads the plant





Control efforts tried:

- 1. Burning with propane torches to kill young plants in July
- 2. Prescribed fires
- 3. Hand-pulling
- 4. Mowing

5. Goats

no assurance that they would target this species; concern that they would spread seeds, as deer now do





Control efforts tried:

- 1. Burning with propane torches to kill young plants in July
- 2. Prescribed fires
- 3. Hand-pulling
- 4. Mowing
- 5. Goats
- 6. Grass-specific herbicides Too slow-acting



Photo: Leslie J. Mehrhoff, University of Connecticut, Bugwood.org



Control efforts tried:

- 1. Burning with propane torches to kill young plants in July
- 2. Prescribed fires
- 3. Hand-pulling
- 4. Mowing
- 5. Goats
- 6. Grass-specific herbicides
- 7. Weak solution of glyphosate killing non-target species AND resistance issues





Chemical solution: Scythe

"contact herbicide"

- Non-systemic. Only "burns" the green foliage, doesn't kill perennials
- •Long-term impacts on **annuals** only
- •More effective and efficient control method than any of the non-herbicide methods





Case Study: Poison Ivy

Many complaints from park users, especially if it is along footpaths, picnic shelters, or other places where the public can't avoid it.







Poison Ivy – IPM Approaches

Control efforts tried:

1. Hand-pulling (summer) Causes rash





Poison Ivy – IPM Approaches

Control efforts tried:

- 1. Hand-pulling (summer)
- Hand-pulling (winter)
 Slow and inefficient.
 (can still cause rash)





Poison Ivy – Chemical Solution

Chemical solution: 2-5% Solution of Glyphosate, applied to green vegetation by spray bottle to individual plants. Translocated to roots, kills the whole plant

Without Glyphosate:

- Park users and staff would be subject to the rash that comes from contact with this plant
- Some park users can be very vocal about removing this plant



Photos from MSU Extension



Annual Pesticide Usage in Parks





Comparing Usage

When compared to most golf courses across the state, Ann Arbor Parks' golf courses use:

- 60-70% less fungicide
- 70-80% less herbicide
- 80-90% less insecticide





IPM keeps our parks safe and beautiful, and our nature areas native, diverse, and healthy











Thank you!



Parks & Recreation







GOLF COURSE

