1 2 3	PUBLIC MARKET ADVISORY COMMISSION MINUTES Tuesday, April 6, 2010
4 5 6 7	Meeting Agenda 1. Call to Order
8 9	The meeting was called to order at 5:35 pm.
10 11	2. Roll Call
12	Members Present: (4) D. Barkman, D. Black, S. Brines, P. Pollack, G. Service.
13	Members Absent: (0)
14	Staff Present: (2) M. Notarianni, J. Straw
15	Guests: (0)
16 17 18	3. Approval of Agenda
19 20 21	M. Notarianni: The presentation that was scheduled to be given by Colin Smith will now be given by Jeff Straw.
22 23 24	4. Special Presentations (1) 2011 Budget
25 26 27 28 29 30 31	J. Straw: I am the deputy manager of Parks & Recreation. We are currently in the first year of a 2-year budget cycle, Fiscal Year 2010. The City puts together a 2 year budget plan; the first year was adopted in May of last year. Last year we also put together a Fiscal Year 2011 plan, which was an estimate. We are now preparing for the second year of that budget cycle, Fiscal Year 2011. I wanted to walk through the budget this is going to be proposed with you, and answer any questions you may have.
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	What you have in front of you is the portion of the 2011 budget for the market that City Council received yesterday. As the process goes, the Park Advisory Commission will be having their meeting April 20, and at that point we will be going through the same exercise of reviewing the budget with them. They will then pass a recommendation approving or disapproving any of the recommendations that have been put forth so far. After looking at the budget, this would be a good time for this Commission to pass something on, formally in writing, to the Parks Advisory Commission. The next step is the official budget adoption by City Council, on May 17.
41 42	The 101AF sheet is all of the market's expenses. Along the top are previous fiscal years, for comparison, as well as fiscal year 2010's adopted, and current budget. Fiscal year

2011 includes the budget we estimated last year at this time, and the changes we may have made this year.

45

D. Black: I feel like we don't have enough time to examine the budget, similarly to last year.

48

J. Straw: The budget was officially sent to City Council yesterday, so we do not go to any boards or commissions before that time.

51

- 52 Page 2 shows administrative costs, broken out. There is a slight difference in the
- Administrative Overhead column, because we internally made a shift in how we are
- structured, so the Parks & Recreation Manager is now working more directly with Molly.
- Page 3 are all fixed costs, that are predetermined by our Finance department. The next
- line is mostly personnel costs-salaries. The remainder of the page is temporary time. On
- 57 page 4 there are some savings, as some of our fixed costs have gone done from what we
- estimated they would be last year. On page 5, the biggest change is a savings in the
- 59 Transfer to IT Fund. Overall, looking at the grand total of expenses, we are looking

about a \$355 difference.

61 62

63

64 65

66

The 102 sheet is the same expense numbers, broken down into a different format, so you can see historical expenses of the market. The first section is personnel services. The majority of the expenses are fixed costs. The next category, starting with electricity and water, shows only a very slight increase over the past 3 years. Again, a slight increase in materials & supplies. The other charges, which decrease slightly, include the savings in the IT fee.

67 68

D. Black: Under materials & supplies, bank services increased significantly. Why is that?

71

J. Straw: That is anticipated, so we always try to plan on the high end. We've been selling more merchandise, for example.

74

D. Barkman: How do you see the numbers playing out over the next few years? Whendo you anticipate the next fee increase?

77 78

79

J. Straw: We are budgeted to bring in more revenue than we are expensing. In addition, the market has a healthy fund balance, in excess of \$600,000. While we don't want to use that if we don't have to, it is an option.

80 81

As I was saying, the 202A report is the revenue. There has been an increase in merchandising at market, and so the proposed revenue for that has been increased. At the bottom, under investment income: we will receive about \$10,000 more than what we had anticipated.

86

- P. Pollack: Dave's question about the longer term is really important. How can we run the market as effectively as we can, while taking advantage of the fund balance? We had previously recommended smaller, more incremental fee increases, for example.
- J. Straw: Currently we are looking at an electrical upgrade at the market, which could be
   funded, in part, through the fund balance.
- D. Barkman: The one other question we struggle with is whether the fees that are charged for rentals of the market are fair and in line with the fees paid by market vendors.
- J. Straw: The fee that is charged for the rentals includes staff time, utilities, and theactual rental of the marketplace.
- 100 5. Public Commentary Agenda items only (3 minutes per speaker)
- 101 102 G. Thompson: At previous meetings of this Commission, I've heard discussion of 103 additional materials that may be required for the vendor application, but I've never yet 104 heard a discussion of why requiring this information is good for the market. Quite 105 simply, there are two things that are essential: customers and vendors. Without either, 106 the market will be in trouble. The question should be, how does this additional information benefit either the vendor or the customer? One vendor has described the 107 108 application as "more like an IRS audit than an application," others have expressed 109 reservations. An additional reporting burden is not an advantage to the vendors. The 110 customers express their desires by their purchases. The material I distributed is the 111 market payment to the vendors, through the city website. I have assumed that the 112 "vendor payments" are Project Fresh and EBT reimbursements. One of the proposed 113 changes would be particularly detrimental to one vendor. The sales data shows that is the 114 vendor most favored by the customers. That vendor alone counts for 20% of your total 115 EBT and Project Fresh purchases. That vendor's sales are about twice as high as that of 116 the next nearest vendor. The market manager has worked to develop the EBT and Project Fresh programs. Why would you wish to discourage those programs? Vendors in the top 117 118 1/3 of the list I've given you are generally the ones whose stalls are the busiest with sales. 119 When discussing new vendor applications, this Commission has expressed a desire for 120 diversity at the market, yet the proposed changes to the application may eliminate donut

125
126 K. Goetz: My name is Karlene Goetz, and just wanted to add one thing to what he just
127 said. I don't know what it says, but that doesn't tell you everything about all the vendors.
128 Myself in particular, I have turned my Project Fresh coupons in directly to the state, and
129 so they haven't channeled through the market, even though I received them at the market.

sales at the market. A Michigan farmers market without cider and donuts does not seem

quite right. Price is also a form of market diversity: if you eliminate the \$2-\$3 loaf of

bread, will those customers buy the \$5-\$7 loaf of bread? How many of the most

important products will you eliminate before you start losing customers?

131 6. Approval of Minutes

90

96

99

121

122

123

124

130

a. Meeting of March 5, 2010

- 7. Public Hearing (3 minutes per speaker)
  - a. Prielipp/Goetz Transfer of Seniority

K. Goetz: My name is Karlene Goetz, and I am the person requesting to have the seniority transferred to me, from my brother, Ken Prielipp. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer. I feel very privileged to participate in the Ann Arbor Farmers Market. We participate in many markets, and this is by far my favorite: is fair, it is supported by the community, and offers many market days through the year so I can come no matter what I have to sell.

L. Vasquez: First of all, I'd like to say that there was no application for transfer of seniority available to review on the market's website. In order to make comments publicly, it would be useful to have. Also, the minutes for the last 3 Commission meetings are not posted on the City's website. I am here to question why there is a seniority transfer hearing. I know its in the rules, but 3 years ago, when the Commission voted to request that the Market Manager apply the rules to Kapnick, and advised the manager to require that Mr. Robertello submit an application of transfer, this was Jayne Miller's answer; "The City has decided that we will not require Kapnick Orchards to apply for transfer of seniority." At what meeting did Mr. Robertello apply for a transfer of seniority, and at what meeting was that transfer approved? I've asked this question at the City legal department many times, and I've never gotten an answer. I would also refer you to an item I saw today from the Arbor Market Watch blog, which contains a complaint dated October 5, 2005, about Prielipp Farms and Karlene Goetz, saying they violated certain provisions, and signed by 28 different vendors. Nothing was ever done about this complaint. Why does it take 2 years for the City to process their current application? I think it's a travesty, and a misuse of the market operating rules.

- 8. Commission Business
  - a. Old Business
    - (1) Spring Public Meeting

S. Brines: I felt it was productive and constructive. I didn't hear anyone say we shouldn't be a producer-only market, which was a significant message I took away from the meeting. While I heard all types of feedback from vendors, I think the predominant feedback I heard was that the proposed forms aren't actually too different from the existing forms. There certainly was some concern for whether we should extend our monthly meeting time longer to cover some of the subcommittee work. I don't think this commission has tried to usurp any rules by doing work in subcommittee.

D. Barkman: It seems like there were a good number of people who felt this was a pretty big burden, and that a considerable amount of information was requested that is possibly not that useful. I feel it just adds to clarity, but if this feels like an impossible task to do, then we should take that into consideration.

P. Pollack: Is the goal still to use this for the current year?

179	
180	D. Barkman: The current application is still good for one more year, so we really have
181	another year to consider this.
182	
183	S. Brines: I would concur with Dave. I don't think we want to put an undue burden on
184	anyone. At the same time, it would seem that if you are a larger business, then your
185	bookkeeping would be on a larger scale.
186	
187	D. Black: I was just wondering if, perhaps, we could have a work session, to help allay
188	some of the fears of filling out the forms.
189	
190	S. Brines: I think we should revisit the original goals of the application and inspection
191	form, to determine whether the information we're proposing useful.
192	
193	P. Pollack: Molly and I sat down quickly with Matt this morning, to take a look at the
194	inspection form and make sure it contained information that was useful to him.
195	Suggestions for additional advertising and promotion came up.
196	
197	S. Brines: I felt turnout at the meeting was pretty scant. Is this something to consider for
198	future vendor meetings?
199	
200	P. Pollack: My recollection is that the attendance was not that different from what it's
201	been historically.
202	
203	M. Notarianni: One suggestion was having some sort of activity/enrichment that might
204	be useful to vendors, in addition to the market discussion.
205	
206	b. New Business
207	(1) New Vendor Discussion
208	
209	M. Notarianni: I received one application for paper crafts, one for baked goods and
210	grilled vegetables, one for candles, and one for produce, eggs, and cut flowers.
211	
212	P. Pollack: It would be helpful to follow up when any of the new vendor applications are
213	approved to sell at the market.
214	
215	(2) Prielipp/Goetz Transfer of Seniority
216	•
217	M. Notarianni: First I will go over the transfer process. There are 3 instances in which a
218	transfer could happen. Seniority may be transferred upon the death of an annual vendor

to an immediate family member, seniority may be transferred upon the retirement of an annual

vendor from the market to an immediate family member, and seniority may be transferred

upon the sale or transfer of a vendor business. In this case, the second situation applies:

upon the retirement of an annual vendor.

219

220

221

222223

- 224 In this situation, 2 stipulations must be met: the immediate family member has been listed 225 on the vendor application for a minimum of three years, and has either actively
- 226 participated with the vendor at the market for a minimum of three years or has actively
- 227 participated in the vendor's business for three years.

228

- 229 Kenneth Prielipp of Prielipp Farms was an annual vendor at the market, and in 2003
- 230 added Karlene Goetz to his application as a person working with him at the market.
- 231 Karlene is Kenneth's sister. In spring of 2008, Goetz Farms initially verbally requested
- 232 the transfer, upon Kenneth's retirement. The application included several documents,
- 233 including Karlene's birth certificate, which showed who her parents were, her marriage
- 234 license, which showed she is married to Jonathan Goetz, and Kenneth's marriage license,
- 235 showing he had the same parents as Karlene. Based on all of that information, it is my
- 236 recommendation that the transfer is approved. I believe it meets all the requirements.
- 237
- 238 G. Service: I've reviewed it, and I agree with you, Molly.
- 239
- 240 S. Brines: It seems pretty cut and dry in this case. It is well within the market rules.
- 241
- 242 P. Pollack: I call a vote.
- 243
- 244 Transfer passes: 4 in favor, 1 opposed.
- 245
- 246 P. Pollack: We can pass that on as an advisory recommendation.
- 247
- 248 9. Reports and Communications
- 249
- 250 M. Notarianni: No vendors were inspected in the past month, but inspections are
- 251 beginning again. Two new vendors started in the past month: John Harnois, with
- 252 heirloom poultry and eggs, as well as Chris Wright, with mushroom kits. The market has
- 253 been promoted in the Old West Side News and the Ann Arbor Observer in the past
- 254 month. We also received an order of incredibly beautiful promotional postcards, which
- 255 will be for sale in the market office. A collaboration between Republic Parking and the
- 256 DDA will plant several large ceramic planters of flowers in the beds along the Fourth St
- 257 side of the market for Downtown Blooms Day in May. We will also be hosting an
- 258 educational mobile bike repair trailer at market for the next few Saturdays, and free 259 compost giveaways on April 24 and May 1. I am working with the Ann Arbor Farm to
- 260 School collaborative to connect farmers at the market to local classrooms. I've also been
- 261 meeting with MSUE educators and agents from local WIC offices, to coordinate several
- events including Project Fresh and Senior Project Fresh distributions at the market, as 262
- 263 well as Bridge Card-related outreach and nutrition education.
- 264
- 265 b. Related Boards, Commissions, Committees, and Task Forces
- 266
- (2) Policy/Procedure Subcommittee

(1) Outreach Subcommittee

267 268

- P. Pollack: As mentioned, Molly & I met with Matt for a bit this morning to get his
- input. He suggested that "yield" should be changed to be quantified in acres, and he was
- 271 unsure of the usefulness of "employment practices" as well. I think with notion of the
- 272 prepared foods discussion, Matt suggested he was more interested in process than the
- source of ingredients. We also discussed broadening the list of categories under
- 274 "producer." Matt also brought up the idea of conducting inspections at the market, as an important missing link.

276

- c. Items from Commissioners
- d. Transmittals/communications received
- 9. Public Commentary General (3 minutes per speaker)

280 281

282

G. Thompson: The current application seems to suggest that bedding plant vendors propagate their plants either from seeds or from cuttings. If we are going to make this truly a producers only market, why should that not apply to all plants sold at the market?

283 284

285 L. Vasquez: I would like to know how all of the vendors obtained their seniority in the 286 first place. How far back do the records go, and how can the City provide beyond a 287 shadow of a doubt who got seniority, and when? Just the fact that Molly said there was 288 no form for transfer of seniority in the legal department tells me there was no transfer of 289 seniority from Kapnick Orchards to Kapnick Farm Market Inc. Also, I would like you to 290 solicit a form for the transfer of seniority for Wasem, there was never a transfer to Bruce 291 Upston. I think the whole seniority process has been corrupted, and urge you to do 292 something different, like a lottery, or to auction stall spaces. Those vendors that make the 293 most money due to their stall spaces should be willing to pay a premium. I would also 294 like you to investigate who sells "Proven Winners" at the market. They sign an agreement with Proven Winners to use their trademark when selling the items, and I think 295 296 that is not aligned with the spirit of the producers' only rules. I think it is a good idea to 297 carry the inspection through to the market as well. I want to lobby again for from-scratch 298 baked goods rules.

299 300

301

302

303

304 305 D. Barkman: Let me explain one thing about the Proven Winner brand, since I am one of the growers that does it. The Proven Winner brand is actually a collection of 4 greenhouses across the country that grow cuttings and nursery stock primarily, and a lot of nursery stock that is specially developed, and thus patented or copyrighted. In order to sell their product, there is no agreement that different than any other seed or commodity; it is just that these people have done significant work creating, in their eyes, a better product.

306307

308 10. Adjournment

309

The meeting was adjourned at 7:00 pm.