

From: William Higgins [<mailto:whiggins27@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Thursday, October 15, 2015 5:47 PM  
To: Kowalski, Matthew  
Cc: Timmer Ken; HANWAY JUDITH  
Subject: 2250

Ann Arbor Planning Commission-

We are appreciative of everyone who worked for almost two years in eliminating a second second entry-exit for the 150 car/79 apartment complex connection to an established single family Lansdowne community.

There remains several severe problems theoretically studied and resolved by the developer and City staff. They include the handling of surface water from north and west of 2250, safety concerns for the detention, the conflict of excess size and density, the lack of approval by the Township (for parcels to the north), the need for County and State approval (because drainage remains from the north and continues to Mallets Creek to the south), the removal of all vegetation, noise, see-

thru, and others. This project and the City involvement seems to be following previous patterns of having incomplete details, as if they "will be resolved during the construction process".

We do not dispute the right of a property owner to build something, but 2250 remains Township (no taxes paid to the City) and remains agricultural (and for the western segment, considered unsuitable for building), the wet areas and drainage space should be City space for water management. (as was lacking in certain parcels on Chaucer Court and Village Oaks), Have we learned nothing from Dicken, Lansdowne, Churchill Downs, and other developments in the Scio ridge watersheds?

I ask that the Planning Commission defer approval and request that all questions posed by the Commission and the Public are answered in a complete and satisfactory fashion.

William Higgins