

# CITY OF ANN ARBOR – PARKS ADVISORY COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES March 18, 2008

The regular meeting of the Park Advisory Commission was held on Tuesday, March 18, 2008 at 4:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers located in City Hall, 100 N. Fifth Avenue, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

- I. The meeting was called to order at 4:13 p.m. by Acting Chair, B. Macomber.
- II. Roll Call:

Members Present: (9) B. Macomber (Acting Chair), D. Barrett (arrived at 4:14 p.m.), J. Grand, J. Lawter, G. Nystuen, S. Rosencrans, T. Berla, S. Offen (arrived at

4:16 p.m.)

Ex-Officio Members Present: (2) S. Kunselman (arrived at 4:41 p.m.)

Ex- Officio Members Absent: (0) M. Anglin

Members Absent: (0) L. Berauer

Staff Present: (9) Colin Smith, D. Thompson, M. Warba, J. Dehring,

R. Millett, Kay Sicheneder, K. C. Bemish, Dave Borneman, J. Nealis, L. Bowen

Guests: (1) Carla Freeman (Community Action Network)

**APPROVAL OF AGENDA – Commissioner Macomber** asked the Commission if there were anything that needed to be discussed or if there were any additions or requests to be added to the agenda. There were none. The agenda was approved unanimously.

**PUBLIC COMMENTARY - AGENDA ITEMS** (3 Minutes per Speaker)

#### A - APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A-1 Commissioner Berla moved, supported by Commissioner Rosencrans to approve the minutes of the February 26, 2008 meeting with corrections/additions on page 18, line 863. The minutes of February 26, 2008 were approved unanimously with stated corrections to be added.

**Commissioner Nystuen** commented on the minutes from the February 26, 2008 and stated the minutes were very good.

**Commissioner Macomber** also stated the minutes from the February 26, 2008 meeting were very good and informative.

#### **SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS**

# **CAN – Community Action Network**

**Carla Freeman** introduced herself to the Commission and stated she was present at the meeting to share information regarding Community Action Network as they have recently contracted with the Park and Recreation Department with the City of Ann Arbor to take over the operations at Outreach Centers, Bryant and Northside.

Carla Freeman stated she wanted to give a bit of backround information and familiarize the Commission with some of the faces that will be seen. Carla Freeman presented a power point presentation to the Commission, introducing the people that are involved with Community Action Network, including herself, the executive director Joan Dowty and other site directors Aaron and Maurice.

 The basic Mission Statement was read as follows, Community Action Network Mission is to serve families from under resourced communities in Washtenaw County, CAN helps them lead successful lives by providing educational and life skills programs for children and teens and supportive housing services for families.

We have been working in this area for over 20 years and here is an overview of what Community Action Network does in general. We operate Community Centers (a picture on the Power Point Presentation of the Green – Baxter location) and inside our Community Centers you will find we support and create resident counsels, so that the residents of that community make the decisions, not just Community Action Network. You will also have homework clubs; we assure that the kids have everything they need for school so that they are successful.

One of our very fun projects is a back to school barbeque where every child receives a back pack filled with goodies. The teachers, principal and parents are all there. We host teachers, and work as a nice place for the citizen's to come in and actually connect so they don't have to go to the school; we are a little bit closer. We provide healthy snacks and dinners. We take all kinds of field trips and we have a nice partnership with the Hands on Museum, which was pictured in the Power Point presentation. We offer piano lessons and a variety of other kinds of lessons and activities. We meet basic needs; every single one of our locations, including Bryant and Northside Community Centers have and will have food pantries for emergency food as well as distribution sites partnering with Food Gathers.

We are serve as an advocate for all children in Ann Arbor Schools that are low income children, as well as a committee. We have actually started a committee that the Ann Arbor School District is taking over. The idea is that children without funds miss out on a lot of opportunities and we are able to assist them in taking part. Basically, within our communities, we fill in as the gaps become apparent to us.

It is not for sure what services and programs exactly will be provided until the community tells us what to do.

There are times it may be a trip to the movie theatre, dinner out, ballet lessons, or driver's education. One of the things we are very proud of is that every community that Community Action Network is affiliated with has undergone drastic changes in the appearance, including trash collection in general, there is a nice moral and people start picking up after themselves.

Two current projects include (shown on the Power Point presentation), building new playgrounds at our Hikone site, and anticipate we will be doing similar projects at the Bryant and Northside Community Centers. We are very engaging and we hit the ground running, we are shaking hands, smiling and we are there with the families. We do a great job engaging our residents.

Our community members are not being served, we are empowering them to help themselves and we see this happening.

One of the great stories we like to tell is that our students at Hikone wanted a bus shelter and there wasn't enough traffic for AATA, but our Youth Council worked together, petitioned and sure enough there is now a bus shelter there for them. This is what we look for, we do not want Community Action Network staff in the forefront, and we really want our residents on the forefront.

We have a lot of experience across the board in creating programs for a variety of people. We are hoping that this experience will serve us well at Bryant and Northside Community Centers. We also offer services that help the community being that most of our staff has been in the Ann Arbor area long enough to be able to tell anyone that walks through the door where to look for services they need and we can refer them to those other agencies.

Our staff has worked with all kinds of cultures and all kinds of people, we also have a strong understanding of what needs to happen, our neighborhoods become beautiful places to live. Hikone is our shining star example of that, it didn't have the greatest reputation as many of you know and it is a wonderful place now, you walk up and you would think it is one of the expensive apartment complexes because it looks and feels good.

We collaborate; our budgets would not allow us to provide all the services ourselves. We can collaborate with many, many programs. One that was a great outing with was The Sierra Club; they provided us with a trip to Cadillac, Michigan over the weekend where we took the children sledding and skiing and all kinds of things.

Carla Freeman explained the actual plan that was presented when the Request for the Proposal came out. The first task was that Community Action Network would make sure that whatever was already in existence at the two Community Centers would remain there and then we would follow up with engaging those communities and starting to get to know them.

- Once we get to know them, we would do a needs assessment in the community.
- 149 We are feeling pretty strong about our connection in the Bryant Community Center.
- Northside Community Center still needs a little bit of engagement, then our assessment
- will take place this summer.

Based on that assessment, we will be taking over full programs at both Center's and you will see that the programs will be completely based on what the community tells us they need.

Your communities will be happy because they will have exactly what they want and exactly what they need at their Community Centers.

 Carla Freeman explained the assesment process. The idea is that we are not going to just do a community needs assessment at the beginning, we will continue to assess as the community grows and changes so that we can guarantee that our services and programs stay up to date.

Finally, to end with our promise, Community Action Network will engage and empower Bryant and Northside Community members, we are going to utilize many types of outreach strategies and we are going to include them in the decision making process.

The communities will be able to hire their own site directors, they will be able to help us with program development, they will be in charge in helping us decide on our policies and the community building activities will always be headed up by the community. This is our promise.

**G. Nystuen** asked Carla Freeman if this endeavor has been a change of relationship with the Parks Department or is it the same but only moving locations.

**Carla Freeman** stated she did not quite understand the question and asked G. Nystuen if she would re-phrase the question.

**G. Nystuen** stated she was not familiar with the relationship is, and asked if we were providing the facility, and if the City will be providing some of the funding or not.

**Carla Freeman** stated essentially it was a contract that was put out for bid, and basically all the services and programs were put out for bid.

The facilities and the maintenance of the facility remain under the Parks and Recreation hat, the programs and services will be contracted to Community Action Network.

**S.** Offen asked as Community Action Network and the consumers identify capital projects in these communities, how will Community Action Network receive the funding.

**Carla Freeman** stated there are a variety of ways that Community Action Network receives funding. One of the major ways will be through grants, and our Executive Director is a ten star grant writer, she is fantastic and that is how we fund a lot of our programs and that is how we fund the construction projects that the Commission has seen on the Power Point presentation. One of which is the current Hikone construction project of the playgrounds is being funded by donors. It really depends on the project and what the needs are.

**S. Offen** asked are these funds the funds that the City would have been unable to get because of its status as a City, and as a municipality as oppose to Community Action Network's status as a non-profit organization.

Carla Freeman stated because it's a variety of sources I can't say for sure one way or the other, but some of them yes, they would have been available to the City, but many of them are resources that are only available to our status as the 501c-3. Also, many of them are Community Action Network's non-profit agencies who tend to come with their own pocket of supporters and many of what we are proposing comes from the support network that we bring with us.

**B. Macomber** asked Carla Freeman how long have they have worked with Bryant and Northside Community Centers.

**Carla Freeman** stated she was trying to recall the exact date the agreement was signed. They started with the idea that it would begin at the beginning of January 2008, but that didn't happen. The contract ended up being signed at the end of January 2008.

**B. Macomber** asked if the playground at Hikone was built in the last few months.

**Carla Freeman** stated she assumed B. Macomber was asking how long Community Action Network had actually been at the Community Centers. Carla Freeman clarified that Hikone was our first center, and officially there was one woman was there, and it wasn't called Community Action Network at that time that started activities there, so we have been there for 20 years. Community Action Network has been there under the official name for approximately 8 years.

**J. Grand** stated from having done some of this in the past, community based work is an incredibly time intensive.

Also stating she is really happy that Community Action Network is there doing this, stressing that she hopes that Community Action Network would come back to the Park Advisory Commission and report in the future.

**Carla Freemen** stated she would love to have the opportunity to come and report back to the Commission to let them know what comes up with the community assessment. Hopefully as years go by they can come back and let the Commission know what the community thinks of what is happening there.

#### **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

#### **B - UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

- **B-1** Emerald Ash Borer Report
- **B. Macomber** expressed to the Commission that there was a report in the packet regarding the Emerald Ash Borer report, but has been made aware that there is an updated report that was passed to the Commissioner's and that the numbers were different and she hadn't had a chance to compare the two reports.

**Kay Sicheneder** asked the Commission if they would prefer having time to look through it, or if they would prefer her to walk them through the updated report.

**B. Macomber** asked Kay Sicheneder if the Commissioner's should disregard the informational report that was in the packet.

**Kay Sicheneder** stated yes and that the updated report is a much better copy.

**B. Macomber** stated it would be great if Kay Sicheneder would walk the Commission through the updated report.

**Kay Sicheneder** expressed she was sorry that she didn't get this updated report to the Commission right away, however she thought there were good numbers in this report.

Kay Sicheneder asked the Commission to read along as she explained. She went on to say the EAB (Emerald Ash Borer) contractors have completed their work. They removed 125 trees & 162 stumps from Parks for a total cost of \$50, 473.00. That work is complete.

## (The Commission did not have the updated version of the report)

**Kay Sicheneder** stated she did not send Colin Smith the updated report and would explain the report to the Commission verbally.

- **B. Macomber** stated she thought it would be better if the agenda was reversed. Since Damon Thompson was in attendance the Parks 08 budget could be discussed while someone copied the updated version regarding the Emerald Ash Borer report.
- B-2 Park Operations 08 Budget Report

#### (This item was moved up on the agenda)

**Damon Thompson** explained he would be giving the Commission an update as well as going through the forecast that was discussed on Friday, March 14, 2008. If there were any specific questions regarding the budget overall he would be there to answer those questions. Also stating he had a document that he would pass to the Commission that was not included in the packet due to it being prepared late Friday (March 14, 2008) afternoon.

Damon Thompson also stated this is a forecast and would be given every 3 months.

**B. Macomber** stated she wanted to clarify that Damon Thompson would be talking about 08 Actuals.

**Damon Thompson** stated that was correct. In terms of the Fiscal Year 09 Budget, he would answer any questions the Commission may have regarding Parks and Recreation Fiscal Year 09 Budget. In terms of the Fiscal Year 08 Actuals he could send out the updated Cost Center Reports

**B. Macomber** stated just going over the Fiscal 08 Actuals would be great. And the agenda has it broken up where the Commission will talk about the 09 Budget later.

**Damon Thompson** stated at the last meeting the Commission spoke about providing a report that would be an easier format instead of the monthly Cost Center Reports that we usually send out to give you an estimate of Revenues and Expenditures.

Tom Crawford and I created, basically a forecast for Parks and Recreation. We haven't included the Park Operations information yet nor have we included the millage funds, this is just primarily for the General Fund supported facilities and the enterprise funds.

There was a meeting with Gwen Nystuen, Sam Offen, Brigit Macomber and Colin Smith to walk through this on Friday, March 14, 2008. Damon Thompson stated he wanted to walk through this report with the Commission to see if this report will meet the Commission's needs. This will replace the actual Cost Center Reports that the Commission receives and will have more of a forecast that the Commission will receives.

**Damon Thompson** stated this forecast is for January 2008 and has noted that the title will actually be clarified. Along with Damon Thompson the Commission reviewed the General Fund supported Facilities; Parks and Recreation Administration, Leslie Science Center, Cobblestone, Buhr Pool and Rink, Veteran's Pool, Ice Arena, Fuller Pool, Mack Pool, Argo, Gallup, Senior Operations, Community Outreach, which is Northside and Bryant Community Centers. The Enterprise Funds include Farmer's Market, Huron Hills Golf Course, and Leslie Golf Course. There is the Revenue and what is actually budgeted for the Fiscal Year 08. This is the current budget for the facilities and is a total for the General Fund supported Facilities of almost \$2.2 million dollars and for the Enterprise Funds including Farmer's Market, Huron Hills and Leslie Golf Course of \$1.4 million dollars.

There is a total budget for the facilities of \$3.6 million for Fiscal Year 08.

We put this in our Revenue forecast and as the Commission can see, this is a true forecast as of yet, this is an example of what the format would actually look like. Our plan is to have forecasted our Revenue amounts for the General Fund supported Facilities, and to the far right of the report the Commission could see the variance. This would show if the forecast was over or under. A second page with supporting detail will explain deviations from the forecast..

**J. Lawter** asked what time frame the report represented?

**Damon Thompson** explained the forecast that will cover July 1, 2008 through June 30, 09. The budget is reflective of July to June, as is the forecast.

**T. Berla** stated, in other words it is July to June as of January this is the way it is looking to what the year it is going to be.

**Damon Thompson** stated these numbers were put in so that we could have a format.

- **T. Berla** asked if the main purpose is to show the Commission how the new format will look. The next time the Commission reviews this report it will have real numbers, the point being when the Budget Committee said, when the previous report was reviewed it was not clear, and by seeing the report in this format it would help the Commission have a better understanding.
- **B. Macomber** asked for an explanation regarding the detail on page two of the report regarding the \$22,000.00 being listed twice, and when you go to page 3 of the report, it is the total General Fund Supported is \$44,000.00.

**Damon Thompson** explained there would have to be change made to the Fund. Also stating that amount is supposed to roll over once. The Commission is supposed to see the \$22,000.00 which is actually our Expenditures that will be over because of the delay in moving the personnel, the plan was to move the personnel by July 1<sup>st,</sup> and were not actually able to move them until October.

On the Expense side it is set up the same way.

The actual budget seen is \$3.7 million dollars for the General Fund Supported Facilities, which is the actual Fiscal Year 08 budget, and for the Enterprise Funds you have an expense budget of \$1.4 million dollars, almost \$1.5 million dollars, this being the actual budget for Fiscal Year 08. The expense forecast was put in, which right now is matching the budget and as the Commission can see a sample variance was put in for Cobblestone of the \$22,000.00.

This can be seen on page 2 of the report, also explaining what the \$22,000.00 rolls up to.

The goal is, by providing this type of report, it would be an easier format and give the Commission more of what everyone is looking for.

- **B. Macomber** stated that Sam Offen, Gwen Nystuen as well as herself reviewed this report in the meeting on Friday, March 14, 2008. They were able to provide feedback and thought this would be helpful, but it would be great to have the feedback from the Commission because the next step will be Damon Thompson putting actual numbers into a report.
- **J. Lawter** asked where the Revenue or actual Expenditures would be found.

**Damon Thompson** stated the actual expenditures would be in the forecast with slight modifications. You would see your true actual expenditures then we would forecast to give you a projection of what those might end the year at.

- **S. Offen** stated the forecast was made up of actual expenses to date plus the budget or estimated expenses for the balance of the rest of the year.
- **T. Berla** asked, suppose some pieces of equipment breaks or some activity that there was going to be a lot of revenue from isn't going to be able to be done because of something and you know it for the rest of the year. How do you change the budget or do you change the forecast in the future. You may say, even though it hasn't happened yet and we stop getting the revenue we thought we were going to, we can tell right now we are not going to get it because of whatever this event was, this would be put in the forecast.

**Damon Thompson** stated yes, you would put it in the forecast and you would see it under the details.

**T. Berla** stated the explanation regarding this item would be seen. This would include both what you now believe that may be different from what you believe when you made the budget as well as what's already happened however far through the year you are.

**Damon Thompson** stated correct.

408 409

410 411

412 413 414

415

418

416 417

419 420

421 422

423 424 425

426 427 428

429 430

431 432

433 434 435

436 437

438 439 440

441 442 443

444

445 446 447

448 449

450 451 452

453 454

455 456 D. Barrett elaborated on what T. Berla said, in practical terms, if there was some question about the Huron Hills, if the irrigation system blows up, how would that find it's way into this.

Damon Thompson stated we would have to reflect decreased revenue in the forecast based upon the actual year to day revenue brought in. Then more than likely there would be an offset of the expenditure increase to hopefully fix the irrigation system that we didn't expect.

You would see an increase in expenditure to show you that here is what we had forecasted. It may not have hit the books yet, but here is what we are expecting.

**B. Macomber** stated that a good point was raised about the actuals, asking if they were going to be in the reports or if they will be in a separate report.

**Damon Thompson** stated, no the forecast would actually include the actuals.

**B. Macomber** asked if there would be a way to see which part of that is actuals or which part is forecast.

**Damon Thompson** stated he could provide that information by giving the Commission a Cost Center Report, as well as the report the Commission is reviewing now with the additional details.

**B. Macomber** stated while this report is being discussed, she thought it may actually be more useful to put this report on a legal size piece of paper which would make it wider and do a column for actuals for both the revenue and the expense.

## **Damon Thompson** agreed.

- **T. Berla** stated, this would be year to date actuals, and the numbers would not compare at all to these. All the other numbers are projected or budgeted 12 months and these would be year to date.
- **B. Macomber** stated she wasn't sure if Damon Thompson mentioned this point but, what was discussed in the meeting on Friday, March 14, 2008 was getting the report on a quarterly basis.
- **G. Nystuen** stated the actuals are very useful, also asking if there is a way to track the previous year.
- **B. Macomber** asked if this could be handled in the attach detail or variance.
- **G. Nystuen** asked if this information could be flagged if it is not tracking as usual and things look very different
- **B. Macomber** asked if putting the information in the detail would help.
- **G. Nystuen** stated it would be very helpful and should have the actuals, but you still don't know unless it is customary to be at 10% at this time and suddenly jump to 80% in another quarter.

458 459 460

466 467 468

469

465

470 471 472

477 478 479

484 485 486

487 488 489

490

495 496 497

498

499 500 501

502

503 504 505

506 507 Colin Smith stated this information was currently provided in the Cost Centers Reports which on the one hand is what we are trying to get away from. There are two things the Commission is looking for.

One is the forecast which is really what is created here by Damon Thompson. In a forecast we are trying to say where we will end up for the year because of actual occurrences that have happened or that we expect to have happen. If there is something that the Commission wants to see to reference how we are doing year to date, that is exactly the information we currently have in the Cost Centers Reports.

This information could possibly be exported.

**Damon Thompson** stated he would try and see what will work out.

- **B. Macomber** stated the other point that was discussed on Friday. March 14, 2008 was this is not a substitute for the pages of reports that the Commission receives would continue to be distributed electronically, the one stop summary would be nice so an overview would be seen on a quarterly basis.
- **J. Lawter** expressed in the past the budget was divided by 12, and asked how often the forecast update would be checked.

Damon Thompson stated more than likely for the sake of convenience it would be easier as the Cost Centers Reports are updated to also update the forecast monthly, even though the forecast would be received on a quarterly basis.

**D. Barrett** expressed anomalies in the forecast as they are noted.

**Damon Thompson** states yes that information would be in the detail pages of the Cost Centers Report as well as given verbally.

B. Macomber stated the next step would be to put the actuals in and finalize the draft. Also asking when the Commission may be receiving this information in the final version.

**Damon Thompson** stated he and Tom Crawford are still gathering information, and will email an update when the Commission can expect this information. The goal is to be able to provide at least December's information in a real forecast.

B. Macomber asked is there an approximate time line on when December's information would be received.

**Damon Thompson** stated his goal would be to provide this information at the April 15, 2008 meeting.

- B. Macomber stated the December's actuals have been received in the Cost Centers Report in the present packet.
- **S. Offen** stated receiving the forecast will be an improvement and the meeting that was held on Friday, March 14, 2008 was one of the most productive meetings that

has taken place. Also stating he was looking forward to seeing how everything works out.

**Damon Thompson** stated he appreciated that comment.

- **G. Nystuen** stated she was glad to see Damon Thompson back.
- **B-1** Emerald Ash Borer Report

(Kay Sicheneder returned with the updated copies of the report)

**Kay Sicheneder** returned with the copies of the updated report and passed them to the Commission.

**B. Macomber** explained the updated copies were regarding the Ember Ash Borer Report explaining the costs of tree and stump removals.

**Kay Sicheneder** stated the good news was there are approximately 80% of the trees done and approximately 20% left to do. What is left are natural areas, they work with NAP to identify what areas have the most traffic or where edged trees are impacting or could impact parking lots. They have already identified approximately two thirds of the remaining trees. NAP estimates between 850 to 1050 trees to remain, if the trees that remain cost what the average was for the other trees removed, it would cost approximately \$100,000.00 - \$112,000.00. \$512,000.00 has already been spent. Kay Sicheneder proceeded to explain the report below to the Commission.

The EAB contract is a two year contract where they removed all the trees labeled as dead and dying on the streets, but also many Parks. Not every park was allocated to the contractors because we had been in so many Parks and done so much work, they were sensitive areas, they were chronically wet, and they would not have been able to reach the trees without causing extreme damage.

There were 125 trees removed by the contractors, 162 stumps at a total cost of over \$50,000.00.

The next set of contractors went into the NAP areas, usually they are on citizen's back yards, and they took approximately 224 trees, that contract was almost \$14,000.00.

Those trees were much less expensive to remove than the other trees because in this case we did not have to remove the wood. The wood was left to rot versus chipping it all, picking it up and taking it all away. This is expensive work to do.

A contractor on Island Park, being that these trees were enormous, 6 trees and 6 stumps cost \$6,300.00. These trees were located by the play structure and were very big Ash trees. The wood was hand carted from Island Park.

Forestry has been very busy, they did a huge project on Huron River Drive, which is Bird Hills Park, they took out approximately 250 trees that cost approximately \$15,000.00 and removed the wood.

Forestry did not account for smaller trees they removed, resulting in an artificially inflated per tree cost. In Spring 2007 the Forestry Department were stumping in another set of Parks and there were approximately 270 stumps for \$14,000.00 (approximately \$51.00 per stump).

A contractor came into Bandemer Park this winter with Amy Kuras. There were many dead Ash and Elm trees in an area where they would impact equipment as well as people in the location of where the Disc Golf Course was put in.

Amy Kuras requested those trees be removed, and 110 trees were removed. Because of improvements done to the area, theae trees had become a potential hazard to people.

This information was updated earlier in the week. Work is still being completed at Sugarbush, NewPort Creek and Traver Creek. With 106 tress expected to be removed the cost will be \$33,000.00 bringing the total for the trees and the stumps to approximately \$513,000.00 and we still have approximately \$112,000.00 to go.

Kay Sicheneder stated she was very pleased with the work. Anyone that is mowing the Parks are delighted that the stumps have been removed.

WORK PERFORMED BY	DATE	PROJECT AREA	TREES REMOVED	STUMPS REMOVED	PROJECT COST	COST PER TREE/STUMP
EAB contractors	2005- 2007	lawn areas of City parks	125	162	\$50,473	\$269/\$104
contractors	winter 2007	Sugarbush S Sugarbush N Foxfire S Arbor Hills Foxfire E Riverwood Lakewood Dolph, Miller, Hollywood	64 54 35 10 9 18 15 <u>19</u> 224	0	\$13,948	\$62.30
contractor	winter 2007	Island Park	6 + stumps	6	\$6,300 total	
Forestry	winter 2007	Bird Hills/Huron River Dr.	250	0	\$15,000	\$60
Forestry	Winter - spring 2007	Vets, Gallup, West, Cedar Bend, Bandemer,	about 270	-	\$376,220	

- 581
- 582
- 583 584
- 585 586 587 588

589

- 590 591 592
- 593 594
- 595 596 597
- 598 599 600
- 601 602
- 603 604 605
- 606 607 608
- 609 610 611
- 612 613 614
- 615 616 617 618
- 619 620 621

622 623 624

625 626

- The EAB contracted trees, and NAP trees removed by Forestry in 08 are more expensive because the wood/brush could not be left on site.
- The Island Park trees were very expensive each because 1. they were HUGE and near park amenities and 2. the stumps are included in the price.
- Note that the NAP contracted removals are relatively cheap because all of the wood/brush was left on site. This per tree cost is similar to the Forestry staff removal of Bird Hills/Huron River Dr trees, although the guys reminded me that this site required traffic control and most of the material was removed from site. This is a pretty good comparison of in-house vs contracted cost.
- The trees removed in Bandemer Park were related to the development of the Disc Golf Course. Prior to the development of the course, most of these trees were on the interior of the park and would have been left to fall down on their own.
- B. Macomber asked if all the trees removed in Bandemer Park Ash trees or were part of these trees removed to make room for the Disc Golf Course.
- Kay Sicheneder stated those trees were not counted in this report, once the Disc Course was completed then Kay Sicheneder came in and was asked if those trees would be an impact on people and equipment.
- **B. Macomber** asked what percentages of trees have been replanted.
- Kay Sicheneder stated Rotary has planted approximately 800 trees so far, and will plant approximately 80 more trees this spring. Typically trees taken down in NAP areas do not need to be replanted.
- The estimation is that we have almost completed the neighborhood Parks. We also have an Adopt-A-Park program (volunteers) that are taking care of a lot of the neighborhood Parks, so we are not as far behind as one would think because so many were natural area trees.
- **B. Macomber** asked what the average cost to replant a tree.
- Kay Sicheneder stated this cost would vary. Adopt-A-Park often does smaller things if you want natives and those cost approximately \$40.00 each. They often dig those from someone's yard. For us to purchase and for Forestry staff to plant, even with a volunteer it would be approximately \$400.00 for a nice size bare root and \$500.00 for a B&B tree (Bald & Burlap tree) which is probably what you
- think of with the burlap and the soil with it. A bare root is planted, they seem to acclimate better and are easier to handle.
- Kay Sicheneder stated these trees are anywhere from 8 16 feet tall, and are somewhat branched.
- B. Macomber asked for the total of how many trees were taken out all together. Also asking it the total was approximately 800 – 850 trees.
- **Colin Smith** stated it would be approximately 1,100 trees so far.

678

**B. Macomber** asked if there were approximately 1,100 left to go.

Kay Sicheneder stated approximately 850 more left to go. Actually estimates approximately 2/3 of NAP trees left to go and will also be cheaper to do.

**B. Macomber** asked for the estimate cost of the trees left to do.

**Kay Sicheneder** stated approximately \$91,000.00 – 112,000.00.

**Kay Sicheneder** stated thanks to NAP and the Adopt-A-Park

B. Macomber stated if would be nice to see the numbers on the replanting of the trees.

Kay Sicheneder stated she would prepare an easy to read report and send to Colin Smith for him to disburse to the Commission.

- **B. Macomber** asked how many trees are proposed to replant.
- **B.** Macomber stated one of the big the reasons why this information is being asked for as well as the numbers and clarification is because, when this subject for this project first came up approximately three years ago, a millage proposal was put on the ballot for approximately \$2.6 million dollars. The millage did not pass, and money was taken from the Risk Fund. She also asked if Kay Sicheneder knew what amount was taken from the Risk Fund.

**Kay Sicheneder** stated she would send this question along and try to find the answer to these questions.

- B. Macomber stated we will still don't know how much of the Risk Fund money has to be paid back, and where it will come from and this impacts our financial situation.
- S. Kunselman asked when the Emerald Ash Borer tree removal project would be considered done. Also asking if the estimated \$112,000.00 be spent this year or next year. How much longer will we be specifically working on this particular project until we say we are just into regular tree removals.

Kay Sicheneder stated the technical language of the contract is dead and dying trees. This is how it was took with the contract and this is how we are working with Forestry as well. Dead and dying Ash, if they are green or not green they have to go.

Any species of dead trees including, Elm, Maple (dead anything, dead and dying Ash). The street tree removals are all but complete; the Parks (the NAP area) is all we have left. Out at the Disc Golf Course area there are some really healthy mature Ash. It was suggested we let them stand, and see what happens since they are not directly impacting anything. We may see those trees come around.

We are very close to being done, and there is no reason with our Park millage money and the EAB money that we can't get this project completed. The person that runs the crews would have to answer this question of when the project would be

 completely done. This project is high priority and the thought is it will be done shortly.

**S. Kunselman** stated he understood that someone else would have to answer this question but he would like to know when this money would come back to PAC as an expense, he asked for a definitive time or date set that says we have spent the money.

Explaining he took down Elm trees when he worked in the Forestry Department as an intern in 1986, and Elm trees are still being taken down, Ash trees will be taken down from here on out.

The Emerald Ash Borer program should be completed this year and any Ash trees after Fiscal Year 08, or 09 depending on where we are at should be done as regular forestry maintenance.

**Kay Sicheneder** stated she is sure that the project is appropriated for a certain amount of time, but does not know an exact date.

**T. Berla** asked if the work on the trees (tree maintenance) come from the Parks budget.

**Kay Sicheneder** stated yes, but the Emerald Ash Borer project was so much more involved.

**S. Kunselman** asked what DBH (Diameter at Breast Height) of a tree removal constitutes a tree, is it 2 inches, 3 inches or 4 inches.

**Kay Sicheneder** stated for her it would be any tree that has a canopy, and would say 4 inches. For the contractors it is usually 8 – 10 inches.

**S. Kunselman** asked for an explanation in terms of counting the numbers on the report.

**Kay Sicheneder** stated the numbers for the AB contractors are any size, if planted in the easement. This includes little trees that someone put in and died, to big trees. The only time this doesn't hold true for all of this information is the Forestry segments where we have all of the Parks listed, because they do not keep those numbers. This may get them motivated to keep those numbers.

**S. Kunselman** stated this goes back to having a tree inventory.

**Kay Sicheneder** stated that the tree inventory has not been updated for size for a very long time.

**B. Macomber** asked if there was a sense of how soon the Commission would get the answers to Steve Kunselman's question regarding the completion date, and also the Risk Fund numbers.

**Kay Sicheneder** explained that she is not the person that would have the answers but she does know who will be able to answer the questions.

She will get this information back to the Commission by the following week. Kay Sicheneder also mentioned that there was never an inventory of Park trees.

- B-2 Park Operations 08 Budget Report (This item was moved up on the agenda)
- **B-3** Budget Committee Update
- **B-4** Golf Advisory Committee Update

**Colin Smith** stated when speaking to Linda Berauer, she requested this item be placed on the agenda to speak to the fact that City Council passed the Resolution on March 17, 2008 actually naming the Golf Advisory Task Force members.

A handout was placed on the seats of the Commissioner's prior to the start of the meeting. Colin Smith also mentioned that Linda Berauer wanted this information shared with the Commission.

**G. Nystuen** stated that she did not know Council had already done this. When she read the communication she remembered in the discussion regarding the Golf Course. It would have been good to have had a school coach involved.

**Colin Smith** stated such a person is listed; Harold Simons was the golf coach at Huron High School for a number of years and practiced both at Huron Hills and Leslie Park Golf Course and is familiar with the school usage.

- **S. Kunselman** stated is probably difficult to get a Coach that is actively coaching now since that will keep him pretty busy during the school season.
- **S. Rosencrans** asked Colin Smith how the Commission will interact with this new body because obviously Golf is still within our purview and will be in our budgets, and how will this dynamic take place.

**Colin Smith** stated Jayne Miller will be scheduling either one or potentially two meetings with this Advisory Task Force Group prior to when she meets with Council on April 14, 2008. At that time discussions will take place regarding the proposals for the Golf budget. Those meeting should be set up in the next week or two with the Golf Course staff and other staff in the City to interact with this group.

**S. Rosencrans** asked if Colin Smith could share with the Commission regarding who will be managing Golf Operations.

**Colin Smith** stated Matt Warba who has been with the Golf Courses for 19 years just recently took an opportunity in the Public Service Area; an email was sent City wide to explain how the void would be filled.

Jason Nealis who will be going to Huron Hills for the entire season.

Jason Nealis initially started working at Huron Hills in 1998 and worked there until 2004. He is very familiar with Huron Hills and with the programs that are run there as well as the needs. He also has a very good working relationship with the greens keeper at Huron Hills.

Cheryl Saam will be going to Leslie Park Golf Course to oversee the management of the Club House operations there. Returning seasonal staff at Gallup and Argo will maintain the Liveries in her absence.

**S. Rosencrans** asked if Cheryl Saam has any experience working in Golf Course Operations.

**Colin Smith** stated no, she did not have any experience in Golf, but in terms of Parks and Recreations.

**S. Rosencrans** expressed concern over Matt Warba leaving.

**Colin Smith** stated the plans that the Golf Course staff recommended are still in place. There is a Golf Course staff recommendation, a PAC recommendations and an overall staff recommendation. The backround work is there that was done by Matt Warba.

**S. Rosencrans** stated a seamless transition is anticipated.

**Colin Smith** stated that would be nice. In terms of the timing, it is not ideal, but we have staff in place that are willing to step up to a challenge and thinks it will be successful. Although Cheryl Saam doesn't have the Golf expertise as such, she does have a great deal of experience in Parks and Recreation Customer Service, programming and we have returning staff at Leslie Park who have been there previously.

Mike Rowat has been there 11 years and Jeff Davis has been there 6 years. With their combined 17 years experience and Cheryl Saam's experience, Leslie will be opened and operating successfully.

- **S. Kunselman** clarified Julie Grand was appointed to the Golf Advisory committee and assumes she would be reporting back to the Commission.
- **J. Grand** stated yes, that would be a safe assumption.
- **S. Kunselman** stated there will be that communication from this Commission as well as with Council so that we are all working towards the same common goals.

In regards to the recommendations that they will be coming up in the work session on April 14, 2008. It is at that point Council will begin to look at some of the other hard core recommendations. One of which will be discussing the possibility of a superintendent of Golf position that would oversee the Courses. This does sound like it will be needed with Matt Warba not being in the position that he was.

With this information a seamless transition appears to be in good hands. One of the concerns heard was some of the customer service aspects of our Golf Course Operations.

832

838 839 840

841

837

846 847 848

849

854 855 856

869 870 871

868

877 878 879 S. Kunselman also stated he is very hopeful with knowing that Cheryl Saam has done very well with the Canoe Liveries this may be of a great help in bringing customers back to the Golf Courses and enhance customer service.

Colin Smith stated this was one of the ideas behind actually bringing her to Leslie Golf Course, it is strength of hers. Cheryl Saam will be running staff trainings with a focus on customer service.

**S.** Offen asked when the Golf Courses would open.

**Colin Smith** stated this is a difficult question to answer precisely. Due to the weather, Leslie Golf Course may open by April 10, 2008 and Huron Hills slightly earlier than that date.

Huron Hill's front seven holes (the holes located on the Club House side of the road) can traditionally open earlier due to more exposure to sun. The holes on the back nine usually take one to two weeks longer than that due to the ground thawing out.

**S. Offen** asked if all the administrative issues be in place.

**Colin Smith** stated yes, the staffing changes are in place. Matt Warba would be on board with the Golf Courses until March 28, 2008. He will be spending his time updating Cheryl Saam and Jason Nealis and giving them an overview of the ongoing projects in Golf.

S. Offen suggested a presentation for the April 15, 2008 PAC meeting regarding the upcoming Golf Course season.

Colin Smith stated there have been weekly meetings with all of the Golf Course staff regarding Golf issues and dealing with marketing. A spreadsheet has been developed that takes us through every month, every event, what is forthcoming to promote those events so there is an extensive calendar that can be viewed to see exactly what is being planned. All of this information can be included in the presentation.

S. Rosencrans expressed his concern regarding Leslie Park Golf Course being a premier Golf Course. It is also considered being one of the top three in the area, and citizens may be concerned regarding a person that does not have experience in this field. Cheryl Saam coming to the meeting to the meeting will be reassuring to the public as well as the Commission.

Colin Smith stated we have returning staff at Leslie Park Golf Course that have a wealth of experience. Mark Rowat was previously a full time employee within the City and is full time at the Golf Course.

There is a wealth of knowledge at the Golf Course. Cheryl Saam is aware of the resources available to her, also stating that he also worked at the Golf Course for approximately four years and will assist her with any specific questions regarding the Golf Course.

City Council is still waiting to approve the 09 budget and until we know what is actually approved, and what recommendations come forward regarding the Director of Golf position, we are in a situation where we have a vacancy in Matt Warba's position. Until we know how this position will be re-posted or what it will actually be called and what it entails, we cannot move forward to fill that position.

In the meantime, having strong staff that have experience seems to be the best position to take.

**J. Grand** asked if these issues are going to Council, if these recommendations will be resolved in the next meeting.

Colin Smith stated yes.

- **S. Kunselman** stated the work session would be April 14, 2008.
- **B. Macomber** asked if S. Kunselman could give PAC an update at their next meeting.
- S. Kunselman stated yes.
- **B. Macomber** stated the news of Matt Warba leaving is news to her and is sad news for the Golf Courses, and publicly thanked Matt Warba for all of his fine work at the Golf Courses and wished him all the best.
- **B. Macomber** stated the Budget Committee Update was skipped over on the agenda, but the meeting that was held with the Budget Committee along with Tom Crawford, Damon Thompson and Colin Smith explained most of the financial reports. A format was asked for regarding budget reports and is forthcoming from Damon Thompson.

#### **B-5** Skate Park

**Jeff Dehring** spoke to this issue. He explained to the Commission as well as passed out the notification of the upcoming meeting to discuss the future of funding the Skate Park.

The skater community have formed a committee. They have a web site, and they have been campaigning throughout the community and are called the Ann Arbor Skate Park Action Committee. He and Amy Kuras have met with the committee. One of the meetings consisted of discussing potential sites considered for the Skate Park.

The group has elected that their number one choice for the location be at Veteran's Park. Southeast Area Park and Buhr were also discussed.

The number one choice is Veteran's Park. These plans will be discussed with the public on April 2, 2008 to have dialogue with the Community and receive their input regarding the Skate Park. Information will be exchanged so that the community will be knowledgeable of the plans for the Skate Park. It will be conducted as a City Park meeting. The Skate Park Committee has held their own public meeting and they allowed the community to attend, but this is the first meeting that has been implemented by Parks and Recreation.

The anticipated results of this meeting will be whether this is an acceptable site by the community for the committee to pursue for future development and fundraising.

 Trevor Staples (one of the key players on the committee) has indicated that they are working very closely with the Ann Arbor Community Foundation to help them with the fundraising. One of the goals the Ann Arbor Community Foundation would like to reach would be to have a site identified and then focus towards building in the specified location. Jeff Dehring also explained a poster illustration regarding the location to the Commission, stating after the meeting PAC would be asked to support this project to possibly start on a preliminary design.

The funding issue has been explained, clearly stating we do not have any funding to support the development of this project and it is their responsibility to do what they can to raise funds for this project.

- **T. Berla** asked if there was any specific reason that this particular site has been chosen by the Committee, also asking were there any disadvantages with the site being at Southeast Area, Buhr or even Veteran's Parks.
- **J. Dehring** stated Veteran's Park had several amenities that the public was very happy with. It is in close proximity to downtown, Buhr and Southeast Area Park would be further away. There are restrooms buildings available, there is a drinking fountain on the Veteran's site, it is on a bus route, it is close to the highway which they feel in most cases skater's come from all over the community. Their goal is to have a destination Park that draws people from nationwide to come to Ann Arbor to skate at the Ann Arbor Skate Park. One of the amenities is the new Plum Market located across the street where you can purchase beverages; there is a concession stand and parking at Veteran's Park.
- T. Berla asked if staff had any recommendations for the site of the Skate Park.
- **J. Dehring** stated there were three sites suggested and the users were allowed to identify these sites. At this point staff has not had any opposition to any of the suggestions at this point.
- **G. Nystuen** asked what the opinions are from the other user's that are also using the softball and baseball fields, and if this would interfere with any of their games.
- **J. Dehring** explained there was a discussion stating there is a potential to have stray foul balls. It was suggested that netting be put up to alleviate the stray balls.

The other element that has come up in conversation is the parking. During times in which Veteran's Park has ball action on all five fields, the parking lots are full. The hopes are that these details will be worked out.

- **B. Macomber** stated the area shown for the Skate Park did not look like a very big area.
- **J. Dehring** stated the area is approximately 25,000 30,000 square feet and an acre is roughly 43,560 square feet.

**S. Kunselman** stated this is a great idea. Also stating about a year and a half ago a place for the skate park was being discussed and now the discussion is where to actually build the Skate Park.

Also asking as this process proceeds, the suggestion has been made for this issue to come back to Park Advisory Commission for support, possibly adding this issue to the Pros Plan. Also asking what type of commitment is expected of Park Advisory Commission, a Resolution, an amendment to the pros plan or a Council Action.

- **J. Dehring** stated he did not know the specifics to that question, other than the committee is seeking support from the Park Advisory Commission as an acceptable site to be able to pursue.
- **S. Kunselman** stated from a staff level at what point would this be expected to get support from City Council or from the Park Advisory Commission. Also asking what kind of commitment would be needed as far as when these projects will actually be implemented.
- **J. Dehring** explained most of the capital projects are usually identified projects that will receive funding through the budget process, which the budgets are established and the projects are identified with the funds associated with that particular project and that is approved by City Council.

However with this project since we do not have monies to contribute, it will rely on the Committee's ability to fundraise and get the revenues generated. The first step will be to receive the public's input and the reaction to the site. The Park Advisory Commission acknowledges the site is acceptable, and they can begin the fundraising and design developments. When the design is complete, the Committee needs to come back to the Park Advisory Commission to have the design approved, then it would go to City Council with a Park Advisory Commission recommendation.

- **S. Kunselman** asked why the Capital Improvement Plan wouldn't be included for this project, it doesn't commit funding from the City but it does identify a capital improvement that would take place on City facilities.
- **J. Dehring** stated the Capital Improvement Plan does have the Skate Park identified as a project, but at this time it would be unidentified funding sources.
- **S. Kunselman** stated he thought the City should contribute to this project at some point, not knowing where the monies would actually come from, but should come forth at some point.
- **J. Dehring** stated this project is something that would be considered in future budgeting and with the projects that will be identified in future capital. At this point, it hasn't gotten any identification nor will receive any monies from the millage.
- **S. Rosencrans** stated the Committee is doing their own fund raising and are planning to fund at least most of this project themselves, also expressing concern regarding parking being problematic at this site and stating it will be interesting to come up with creative solutions for this issue.

S. Rosencrans asked if Parks and Recreation manages the softball leagues sign ups.

- **T. Berla** stated the mowing is done by the City but the actual leagues are done by Ann Arbor Public Schools, Recreation and Education.
- **S. Rosencrans** asked if there was a way to spread news regarding this meeting and that the ball player's should be aware and encouraged to attend.
- **J. Dehring** stated he would contact Larry Dishman to make him aware of the upcoming meeting regarding the Skate Park.
- **T. Berla** stated he was on the Recreation Advisory Commission and would mention this topic as well.
- **J. Dehring** stated this topic has been advertised, and he will email a flyer regarding the meeting.
- **S. Rosencrans** asked if the water table was known and if this land will be suitable dig 10ft deep holes.
- **J. Dehring** stated there has been work going on monitoring the wells; this information can be obtained through System Planning.
- **S. Rosencrans** asked if Jeff Dehring's role would be to make sure digging can be done at all.
- **J. Dehring** stated the project has not gotten to this level yet.
- **S. Rosencrans** stated he would like to attend this meeting and provide the Commission a report.
- **T. Berla** stated the Commission, and hopefully City Council, needs to provide support to their Committee on the concept, making it comfortable in going forward with their design and the process.
- **J. Dehring** stated there has been discussion regarding adding a new amenity to the system, also doing a better job in what we already have. The Committee has discussed including an escrow and an endowment (within the fundraising) for the maintenance of the facility.
- **J. Grand** stated this group seems to be very sophisticated and is very impressed on how they have been getting their message out.
- **J. Grand** also stated she is not surprised that their plans are so well thought out.
- **S.** Offen asked if cost and monies raised has been mentioned.
- **J. Dehring** stated in their initial presentation approximately \$500,000.000 to \$600,000.00, depending on the design that they can fit into the space.

- **S. Offen** asked has anyone mentioned who will own and maintain the Skate Park.
- **J. Dehring** stated at this point it will be a Park facility and will be on City land, the other party would be the County Parks staff. They are receptive to possibly partnering in a similar role to what we have done with the Dog Park; another option would be operating as non-profit.
- **S.** Offen asked if there are any examples of a non-profit owning and operating a facility in the City.
- **J. Dehring** stated yes.
- **S. Kunselman** stated the Leslie Science Center and the Michigan Theatre Foundation. They operate City facilities and this is not unheard of.
- **B. Macomber** asked if there is a timeline for a recommendation being made from PAC at least regarding the site location.
- **J. Dehring** stated he would like to see what the outcome is of the upcoming meeting and then proceed, also stating a Resolution from PAC could wait until the May 20, 2008 meeting.
- **B. Macomber** stated she did not have a problem with the timeline and explained in the past situations there has been times when staff prepared the Resolution and asked if it was possible for staff to bring a Resolution to the April 15, 2008 meeting.

**Colin Smith** stated he would look into this issue.

- **B. Macomber** suggested there should be staff to speak on this issue and have an official public hearing at the next meeting on this topic.
- **J. Dehring** suggested allowing the public to express their opinion at the April 15, 2008 meeting, and possible taking action at the May 20, 2008 meeting. J. Dehring also stressed that he would like to see how the public April meeting goes regarding this topic.
- **D.** Barrett stated there will be a lot of discussion regarding the soccer fields at the next meeting and it could be overwhelming to discuss both major issues at the next meeting.
- **J. Dehring** stated the discussion regarding the Skate Park could go to the May meeting.
- **B. Macomber** stated it sounds like the May 20, 2008 meeting would be a better time to discuss the Skate Park issue.
- **J. Dehring** agreed with the discussion being held at the May 20, 2008 meeting.
- **J. Lawter** stated the proposed site is almost all commercial and will have a positive impact, and should not interfere with the residents of the neighborhood.

## **C- NEW BUSINESS**

- C-1 Parks and Recreation Service and Park Operations FY 09 Proposed Budget
- **B. Macomber** explained the long budget was included in the packet. The quick summary version of the budget was discussed with the Budget Committee.

**Damon Thompson** stated he will explain at a high level the targets for Parks and Recreation.

For Fiscal Year 09 the revenue target is \$2,371,854.00. This is not included in the packet because this information is in the Brass program. This information comes from his own "cheat sheet" but can be emailed to the Commission upon their request.

Parks and Recreation is currently planning for \$2,280,998.00, this is a difference between what is planned and what we have budgeted of \$90,856.00. The expenditure target for Fiscal Year 09 is \$3,854,127.00, planned expenditures for Parks and Recreation (for the General Fund) is \$3,844,838.00. This will put Parks and Recreation under the expenditure target by \$9,289.00.

Currently as we stand we are over the target by \$81,567.00. This is comprised by taking the revenue difference versus the under expenditure wise, making revenue adjustments which leaves us \$90,000.00 under where we were planning, putting in \$150,000.00 with the proposed consolidation with the Ann Arbor Public Schools. We are not moving forward with this plan, and did not want Parks to be penalized for having \$150,000.00 in their budget. By making revenue increases throughout facilities, we were able to absorb \$60,000.00 of the \$150,000.00 so this leaves us under the revenue target by \$90,000.00.

**B. Macomber** asked if City Council needs to be asked for the difference or if we have to find the savings.

**Damon Thompson** stated this is on going and have not been told to make any changes to the budget at this point.

**S. Offen** asked for an explanation regarding the \$150,000.00.

**Damon Thompson** stated the \$150,000.00 was proposed budgeted savings as a consolidation with the Ann Arbor Public Schools (Recreation and Education Division).

**T. Berla** explained that Jayne Miller suggested the idea that Parks and Recreation and Recreation and Education could consolidate their operations. The model they used was a City and County Department that somewhat consolidated and received efficiency and therefore received a lot of savings. This did not happen with the City of Ann Arbor.

 **Damon Thompson** explained in the first year of the two year budget this possibility was included in Fiscal Year 09, it was taken out due to not moving forward with the plan.

**T. Berla** explained this was not a cut from the budget, but only an idea that did not happen.

**Colin Smith** stated it was expected revenue to be collected from the Ann Arbor Public Schools

- **S. Offen** stated, the bottom line is this did not happen.
- **J. Lawter** asked if the bottom line was, being under with our expenses, and also asking if we were running a potential deficit.

**Damon Thompson** explained we are not running a potential deficit, because we are under on our expense side but we have to take the net. Because the \$150,000.00 was removed we were able to offset some of it, but we still have \$81,000.00 in the planned for revenue that we took out of the budget.

**B. Macomber** asked if Damon Thompson knew anything about the Risk Fund regarding Emerald Ash Borer.

**Damon Thompson** stated he would do research and try to have an answer, also stating he is aware of the monies allocated out of the Parks and Rehabilitation Millage for Emerald Ash Borer.

- **B. Macomber** stated she would like to know. The concern is how much and when will this situation come back to haunt the Commission.
- **B. Macomber** stated currently there is no Park Ranger in the 08/09 expense area.

Colin Smith stated this is correct.

- **B. Macomber** stated there is also no money allocated for any other Security provisions which has been discussed in previous meetings and will be further discussed in the upcoming meetings.
- **B. Macomber** stated City Council voted to return General fund money to Parks in the amount of \$187,000.00 in 07/08 and has gone to field projects and a variety of other things. We will receive that same amount in 08/09. B. Macomber asked if Colin Smith would explain where these monies will be distributed.

**Colin Smith** stated the parks and Recreation Services portion of that will be \$95,766.00.

**Damon Thompson** spoke on the Park Operation side of the Fiscal Year 08 budget. There was a grand total allocated by City Council of \$287,586.00, this amount will also be allocated for Fiscal Year 09.

1234

1235

1236 1237

1238 1239

1245 1246 1247

1244

1248 1249 1250

1251 1252 1253

1262 1263 1264

1273 1274 1275

1276 1277

1278

1279 1280 1281

\$191, 820.00 went to Park Operations, as of January it was slated for Fuller Park Athletic Field Maintenance for both years.

**D. Barrett** asked if Fuller Park and Olson Park will be refurbished.

**Damon Thompson** stated he did not know, but would ask this question.

Colin Smith stated \$95,766.00 has been allocated to the recreation facilities has been split up. There will be approximately \$25,000.00 used to purchase sports equipment at the facilities. It can be ice skates, canoes, kayaks and other items that are used to enjoy the facility.

Approximately \$10,000 has been allocated towards improving the staffing levels at the customer service centers. \$20,000.00 will go towards the facility maintenance exterior and \$40,000.00 will go towards advertising and marketing. There is an extensive plan in place for the current year, 07/08 and have the same amount for 08/09 and has not been determined. It will be determined on the needs at that time.

**Damon Thompson** explained that currently where we are for the Fiscal Year 08 has been duplicated for the Fiscal Year of 09 budget.

**B. Macomber** stated the 07/08 budget had \$250,000.00 coming out of the millage to pay for Police to provide patrols in the parks, that transaction never occurred; the Police are providing patrols in the Parks but it was never decided or approved for the millage money to be used for that.

Currently there is no money coming from the Parks budget to pay for those additional patrols. The question is still pending as to what will happen in 08/09. Was the \$250,000.00 millage money for 07/08 spent or was it re-allocated.

**Damon Thompson** stated it has been budgeted but not spent.

- B. Macomber asked if the monies are in the 08/09 budget. Is it also sitting in the 08/09 budget.
- **D. Thompson** stated from his understanding due to us preparing a two year budget, there is \$250,000.00 budget but it has not been spent in 07/08 and there has been another \$250,000.00 budgeted for 08/09.

**Colin Smith** explained there is a split of what goes to capital, and what goes to maintenance from Fund 71, 80% goes to maintenance, and the 20% goes to capital. The \$250,000.00 has been returned to the Parks Operations maintenance side of the budget.

It is believed to be expected to use a small portion of approximately \$30,000.000 starting in April 2008 for Park Security with their own staff to lock the Parks.

**B. Macomber** asked when the \$250,000.00 is re-allocated did this change the percentage; is the percentage supposed to be flexible. It is supposed to be anywhere from 28% to 40%/60%. It is currently 80% maintenance because the

public stressed to have better Park maintenance as well as the maintenance being done more often during the millage process.

However, we have discussed the Skate Park and there are also capital development needs.

**Colin Smith** explained he would speak to Karla Henderson to clarify the amount of \$70,000.00 - \$75,000.00 versus \$30,000.00 - \$60,000.00 that was discussed.

- **B. Macomber** stated the budget will be discussed at the next meeting. Also stating a written recommendation should be done at the next meeting
- **S. Rosencrans** mentioned page 21 of the Cost Center Report (referring to the park land acquisition), and asked for clarification. Also asked if those figures were the amount of money available in land acquisitions.

**Damon Thompson** explained those amounts are the difference of what we have spent versus what we have actually brought in as far as revenue, there is more than that to spend. As projects are approved, there are appropriations done from the bond proceeds that generate available monies.

**S. Rosencrans** asked if these numbers are what we have in the bank.

**Damon Thompson** explained there was more money in the bank overall. We do not budget for all of the money in the Parkland Preservation millage. We budget for tax levies, as projects are approved there is an appropriation done and funds are moved.

**B. Macomber** asked one of the items taken out of the 2 year budget cycle was making park programs more accessible to people with special needs (adaptive recreation). Hopefully this \$40,000.00 will this be added back in

**Damon Thompson** stated he has not been involved in any discussions regarding this, but will ask and explain to the Commission.

**B. Macomber** asked if there is a timeline to when the Commission can see this summary.

**Damon Thompson** stated the goal is to have the summary by the April 15, 2008 meeting.

#### C-2 PAC Work Session/Retreat

**B. Macomber** stated this would hopefully be scheduled in April 2008, adding that generally weekends mornings or afternoons are best and asked the Commission if this is feasible. B. Macomber stated this retreat can be scheduled by email and dates can be discussed.

The Commission agreed to these suggestions.

## D - COMMISSION PROPOSED BUSINESS

**D-1** Athletic Field Lighting Policy Discussion

**Colin Smith** stated information regarding this topic was not included in the packet but, answers to questions were emailed.

**T. Berla** stated Karla Henderson answered questions very well and cleared up many issues.

The invoice situation has been cleared up regarding the lighting. Approximately 10 years ago all of the usage of the lighting at the ball diamonds was not paid for by Recreation & Education, it was subsidized. The decision was reached that is was no longer within the large scheme of work.

T. Berla spoke to the Director of Recreation and Education, Sara Aeschbach and stated approximately 10 years ago both parties were on the same team and we are hoping to return to this relationship.

Some of the problems from Recreation and Education were scheduling larger block of time for each game which meant if the game went for the normal amount of time the lights would be on for a long time and there wasn't any play because the lights were on a fixed timer and there was not a way to make an adjustment at the time.

The Recreation and Education staff did not have access to where the switches were, which Karla Henderson explained. There needed to be a detailed record being kept due to billing. If a game went late, the lights may go out at anytime, some games the lights didn't come on at all.

The Musco system will be put in so the turning on and off of the lights are essentially on a computer using the proper passwords, this will allow lights to be turned on and off.

**Rob Millett** explained the staff is on site for Musco and will be available 24 hours a day, seven days a week.

The lighting times can be extended, changed or canceled if need be.

If a game goes longer, is finished earlier and even cancelled, Musco can be notified regarding the lighting.

**T. Berla** stated Musco will provide a report of the usage for the lights on each field.

**Rob Millett** stated an hourly report will be provided for each field as to how many hours lights were used.

T. Berla asked if the report will be specific.

**Rob Millett** stated it would be specific, and will also cover private users.

**T. Berla** stated this will be a great improvement due to being charged whether the lights have gone off or not. With the report the charges will only be what has actually been used as well as energy savings.

**Rob Millett** stated that Musco can be contacted as to what fields will be needed and Recreation and Education can also contact Musco for their lighting needs.

T. Berla asked if the cost will be \$19,000.00 to install this system.

**Rob Millett** stated this is correct and the system should be installed, up and running by April 1, 2008.

**T. Berla** asked if there will be a monthly fee and/or a call fee.

**Rob Millett** explained all the charges are included for the \$19,000.00 for 10 years.

- **T. Berla** asked if Rob Millett would come back to the Commission by July or August 2008 and show the reports from Musco.
- **B. Macomber** asked if the \$19,000.00 is per year or the one time cost for the system.

**Rob Millett** stated the \$19,000.00 is a one time cost for the entire 10 years. Including installation and monitoring.

B. Macomber asked if Rob Millett thought this system would save money

Rob Millett stated yes this system will save money for the City.

- **S. Offen** asked whenever there is a scheduled activity will there be a site supervisor in attendance.
- **T. Berla** explained whenever Recreation & Education has any sport activity; in addition to the referees there is a sight supervisor to handle any situations that may take place.
- **D. Barrett** asked when the system is set up if there would be a line busy, or will the system be set up to operate smoothly.

**Rob Millett** explained there will be a team of operator's on duty to maintain this system smoothly and efficiently.

**D-2** New PAC E-Mail

**Colin Smith** stated the email requested has been set up. This email is a group email with each of the Commissioner's email address. When an email is sent to the group email, it will then be filtered out to each of the Commissioner's email address. Everyone will receive the emails sent to the group email address.

1433 If one Commissioner responds to an email, the recipient only will receive the response unless "reply to all" is clicked when responding.

T. Berla asked about possible Spam items being filtered through.

**Colin Smith** stated the City will be using the same techniques to protect the email with this group email as the other email system within the City.

**J. Lawter** asked if the email only has the Commissioner's email addresses and the two City Councilmember's email addresses.

Colin Smith stated yes, as well as his email being included.

- **S. Kunselman** asked if there is a complaint, will all of the Commissioner's answer.
- **T. Berla** stated when answering a complaint, a "reply to all" should be clicked so that everyone can see the response.

**Colin Smith** stated if citizen's contact PAC with specific questions regarding maintenance as well as complaints, the best way to handle this issue would be for him to respond.

- **S. Kunselman** suggested the Commission should let staff respond to complaints.
- **T. Berla** suggested creating a separate email address for citizen's to express problems. T. Berla also expressed that the City could do a better job with possibly enhancing our customer service.

# E - REPORT FROM PARKS AND RECREATION MANAGER

**Colin Smith** stated the issues on his list have come up at the meeting and have been answered.

The online registration has started on March 17, 2008, this is the first time we have used online registration for our busy season and had over 150 people register on line during the first day. We mailed out post cards stating the brochure was available on line, and we informed the public that the brochures could be picked up at the facilities.

- F REPORT FROM MANAGER OF FIELD OPERATIONS
- G REPORT FROM RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION
- 1476 H REPORTS FROM RELEVANT COMMISSIONS, COMMITTEES, BOARDS AND/OR TASK FORCES
  - I PUBLIC COMMENTARY GENERAL (3 Minutes per Speaker)
- 1481 J CLOSED SESSION TO DEAL WITH LAND ACQUISITION ISSUES (If Applicable)
- 1483 K TRANSMITTALS

1484					
1485	K-1	City Council Items Update			
1486					
1487	K-2	Park Project Update			
1488					
1489	K-3	PAC Work Session Summary			
1490					
1491	K-4	Parks and Recreation Events for January – February 2008			
1492					
1493	K-5	Parks Advisory Commission 2008 Meeting Schedule			
1494					
1495	There being no further business to come before the Commission, Commissioner				
1496	11 <i>)</i>				
1497	adjourned. T	he regular meeting was adjourned at 6:38 p.m.			
1498 1499					
1500					
1501					
1502					
1503					