

From: William Higgins [<mailto:whiggins27@gmail.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, August 03, 2016 9:31 AM

To: Planning

Cc: Eaton, Jack; Krapohl, Graydon; Ken Timmer

Subject: Planning Commission- 2250

New information on the 2250 Project puts in doubt the suitability of Planning re-approval tonight.

1. the Architect stated that the 1000' notification did not consist of contacting all owners/residents within that radius. Rather, it was a list compiled from those who attended prior Planning meetings and signed the attendance sheet. (they represented the public only within 300')

2. the Wetland Permit originally submitted to the County was deferred at their request, and apparently withdrawn several months

ago as "not needed" by Staff. The 2250 Proposal now includes a new item- Wetland Permit" possibly due to Township/County/State interest in the existing wet areas north of 2250 which drain over 2250. The Architect and his Consultant claimed no knowledge at any time, of any Wetland Permit activity.

3. the Architect claims that the Utility easement along the western boundary containing electric and sanitary sewer-and provides an exit for the open-surface water detention pond upstream..... is not part of the 2250 clearing and grading plan. So, who is, and what will that entail? (removal of all trees, vegetation, soil contouring ?)

4. if installation of the retention pond precedes that of the apartment complex, will Lambeth be used for access to 2250 for that work?

5. will removal of soil for the detention pond be via Lambeth?

6. will the berm planned to deflect surface water from Village Oaks await the grading for the apartment complex? how high is this berm and what is the path of this drainage?

7. the cost/ownership/maintenance of the detention pond needs clarification.

I request that this Planning item (2250 and Wetland Permit) be deferred until these and other Public concerns are answered.

William Higgins