

**Ann Arbor City Council Session: June 20, 2011**  
**Email Redactions List Pursuant to Council Resolution R-09-386**

<u>Received Time</u>	<u>Sent Time</u>	<u>To</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>CC</u>	<u>Redactions</u>	<u>Reason for Redaction</u>
7:55 PM	7:54 PM	Biere, Sabra	Burkhardt, Kent		Email address and phone number	Privacy
8:35 PM	8:34 PM	Hietfle, John	Sowers, Paul		Email address	Privacy
9:03 PM	9:03 PM	AmArborVILLPF: Hietfle, John	Haber, Odile		Email addresses	Privacy
10:04 PM	10:04 PM	Schwartz, Lisa; JackWeisman; Palan, David; Perlman, Kim; Hart, Karen; Leyshock, Rick; Keefauvers; Shichtman, Martin; Alexander, Jessica; Kutinsky, Bruce; Bernstein, Jeffrey; Winkelhope; Siden, Larry; Cappaert, Gustav; Lippitt, Pam; Lowenstein, Joan; JCulbertson; Helfand, Gloria; Hohnke, Carsten; Shtulman, David; Hannah, Lis; Lisa Lis; Spiroff, Julie; Eichner, Adam; Heaviland, Holly; Galardi, Bob; Zoldan, Yael; Smith, Sandi; Bernstein, Jesse; Wilson, Andre; Turner, Victor; Winkler, Linda; Mohamad Issa; Stambaugh, Leslie; Marary; Bhupendra Desai; Alangela; Jyoti Gupta; Benevict; Avram Kluger; Lowry, Kate; Anne James Boyd; Kestenbaum; Harvey and Deborah Juster; Jones, Laurie; Klaver; Derezniski, Tony; Helber, Eric P.; Feldman, Carol; Cohen, Malcolm; Cohen, Judy; Woods, Wendy; Bernstein, Mark; Bendit, Rachel; Bernstein, Jeffrey; Alan/Angela Harris; Sussman, Lonnie; Sussman, Ron; Zwetckebaum, Sam; Norton, Steven; Nelson, Glenn; Pachera, Amy; Lasinski, Donna; Michael-Ruth Appel-Kraut; Bennett, Lori; Shelly Kovacs Berman; Newman, Chuck & Sharon; Straehler, Ned; DavidNacht; Cutler, Daniel; Finkel, Santa;	Elyakin, Neal		Email addresses	Privacy

## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** Taylor, Christopher (Council)  
**To:** Fales, Mary Joan  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 7:12 PM  
**Subject:** Read: Draft Council Resolution - Policy for Removal of On-Street Parking

### Your message

**To:** Crawford, Tom; McDonald, Kevin; Rampson, Wendy  
**Cc:** Bahl, Sumedh; Dempkowski, Angela A; Taylor, Christopher (Council); McCormick, Sue; Lancaster, Karen  
**Subject:** RE: Draft Council Resolution - Policy for Removal of On-Street Parking  
**Sent:** 6/20/2011 6:36 PM

was read on 6/20/2011 7:12 PM.

## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** Rapundalo, Stephen  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 7:16 PM  
**Subject:** Read: Friday Liquor License Committee Agenda

Your message

**To:** Rapundalo, Stephen; Anglin, Mike; Derezinski, Tony  
**Cc:** Bowden (King), Anissa; Badalamenti, Lyn; Pfannes, Robert; Fales, Mary Joan; Farrackand, Warreka  
**Subject:** Friday Liquor License Committee Agenda  
**Sent:** 6/8/2011 4:52 PM

was read on 6/20/2011 7:16 PM.

## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** Rapundalo, Stephen  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 7:18 PM  
**Subject:** Read: Friday Liquor License Committee Agenda

Your message

To: Derezinski, Tony; Anglin, Mike  
Cc: Fales, Mary Joan; Rapundalo, Stephen  
Subject: FW: Friday Liquor License Committee Agenda  
Sent: 6/9/2011 11:10 AM

was read on 6/20/2011 7:18 PM.

## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** Rapundalo, Stephen  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 7:20 PM  
**Subject:** Read: June 6 Action Minutes

Your message

**To:** \*City Council Members (All); Bahl, Sumedh; Barber, Janet (Barth); Beaudry, Jacqueline; Bowden (King), Anissa; Crawford, Tom; Dempkowski, Angela A; Harrod, Scott; Higgins, Sara; Uden, Julie; McCormick, Sue; Postema, Stephen; Rampson, Wendy; Schopieray, Christine; Wondrash, Lisa  
**Subject:** June 6 Action Minutes  
**Sent:** 6/7/2011 5:34 PM

was read on 6/20/2011 7:20 PM.

## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** Rapundalo, Stephen  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 7:21 PM  
**Subject:** Read: Studio Four liquor license and recent police calls for service

Your message

**To:** Pfannes, Robert; Fales, Mary Joan  
**Cc:** Rapundalo, Stephen; Anglin, Mike; Derezinski, Tony; Badalamenti, Lyn; Farrackand, Warreka  
**Subject:** Studio Four liquor license and recent police calls for service  
**Sent:** 5/24/2011 5:13 PM

was read on 6/20/2011 7:21 PM.

## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 7:22 PM  
**To:** Anglin, Mike; Beaudry, Jacqueline; Bowden (King), Anissa; Briere, Sabra; Crawford, Tom; Dempkowski, Angela A; Derezinski, Tony; Hieftje, John; Higgins, Marcia; Hohnke, Carsten; Kunselman, Stephen; Postema, Stephen; Rapundalo, Stephen; Satterlee, Joanna; Schopieray, Christine; Smith, Sandi; Taylor, Christopher (Council); Teall, Margie; Wondrash, Lisa  
**Subject:** June 20 Packet Update  
**Attachments:** DC-4.pdf

The packet has been updated to include DC-4 – Police Service Specialist contract. A copy of DC-4 is attached and the packet link has been updated.

### Jacqueline Beaudry, City Clerk

**Please Note: The City Clerk's Office has relocated back to City Hall.**

City Clerk's Office | Guy C. Larcom City Hall | 301 E. Huron, 2nd Floor - Ann Arbor - MI - 48104  
734.794.6140 (O) - 734.994.8296 (F) |  
[jbeaudry@a2gov.org](mailto:jbeaudry@a2gov.org) | [www.a2gov.org](http://www.a2gov.org)



Think Green! Please don't print this e-mail unless absolutely necessary.



# City of Ann Arbor

301 E. Huron St.  
Ann Arbor, MI 48104  
<http://a2gov.legistar.com/Calendar.aspx>

## Text File

File Number: 11-0779

---

**Agenda #** DC-4

**Introduced:** 6/20/2011

**Version:** 1

**Current Status:** Introduced from Council

**Matter Type:** Resolution

Resolution to Approve the July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2013 Police Service Specialist  
Collective Bargaining Agreement



Resolution to Approve the July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2013 Police Service Specialist  
Collective Bargaining Agreement

Whereas, The current collective bargaining agreement between the City of Ann Arbor and the Police Service Specialist expired June 30, 2009;

Whereas, The parties have reached a settlement on a new agreement, which provides an increase in Pension contribution to 6% (pre-tax) the elimination of the ICMA 457 Match, and a \$500 HRA/\$500 Wellness Incentive Credit.

Whereas, The agreement also includes provisions for a revised health plan design with two options and an increase to two co-pays for every three months of mail order prescriptions;

Whereas, The City Administrator recommends approval of the negotiated agreement;

RESOLVED, That the City Council approve the 4-year settlement agreement providing for no wage adjustment in this agreement, and updated health care plan; and

RESOLVED, That the Mayor and City Clerk are hereby authorized to sign the bargaining agreement on behalf of the City, after approval as to substance by the City Administrator and approval as to form by the City Attorney.

Sponsored by: Council Administration and Labor Committee

## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** Rapundalo, Stephen  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 7:27 PM  
**Subject:** Read: June 20 Packet Update

Your message

**To:** Anglin, Mike; Beaudry, Jacqueline; Bowden (King), Anissa; Briere, Sabra; Crawford, Tom; Dempkowski, Angela A; Derezinski, Tony; Hieftje, John; Higgins, Marcia; Hohnke, Carsten; Kunselman, Stephen; Postema, Stephen; Rapundalo, Stephen; Satterlee, Joanna; Schopieray, Christine; Smith, Sandi; Taylor, Christopher (Council); Teall, Margie; Wondrash, Lisa  
**Subject:** June 20 Packet Update  
**Sent:** 6/20/2011 7:22 PM

was read on 6/20/2011 7:27 PM.

**Greenshields, Rachel**

---

**From:** Rapundalo, Stephen  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 7:35 PM  
**Subject:** Read: April 19 Action Minutes

Your message

**To:** \*City Council Members (All); Bahl, Sumedh; Barber, Janet (Barth); Beaudry, Jacqueline; Bowden (King), Anissa; Crawford, Tom; Dempkowski, Angela A; Fraser, Roger; Harrod, Scott; Higgins, Sara; Uden, Julie; McCormick, Sue; Postema, Stephen; Rampson, Wendy; Schopieray, Christine; Wondrash, Lisa  
**Subject:** April 19 Action Minutes  
**Sent:** 4/21/2011 1:42 PM

was read on 6/20/2011 7:35 PM.

## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** Rapundalo, Stephen  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 7:36 PM  
**Subject:** Read: Action Minutes from March 21, 2011

Your message

**To:** \*City Council Members (All); Bahl, Sumedh; Barber, Janet (Barth); Beaudry, Jacqueline; Bowden (King), Anissa; Crawford, Tom; Dempkowski, Angela A; Fraser, Roger; Harrod, Scott; Higgins, Sara; Uden, Julie; McCormick, Sue; Postema, Stephen; Rampson, Wendy; Schopieray, Christine; Wondrash, Lisa  
**Cc:** Allen, Amanda; Badalamenti, Lyn; Foondle, Laurie; Scheps, Howard; Waddell, Dena  
**Subject:** Action Minutes from March 21, 2011  
**Sent:** 3/22/2011 5:14 PM

was read on 6/20/2011 7:36 PM.

## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** Rapundalo, Stephen  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 7:36 PM  
**Subject:** Read: March 7 Packet Update

Your message

**To:** Anglin, Mike; Beaudry, Jacqueline; Bowden (King), Anissa; Briere, Sabra; Dempkowski, Angela A; Derezinski, Tony; Fraser, Roger; Hieftje, John; Higgins, Marcia; Hohnke, Carsten; Kunselman, Stephen; Postema, Stephen; Rapundalo, Stephen; Satterlee, Joanna; Schopieray, Christine; Smith, Sandi; Taylor, Christopher (Council); Teall, Margie; Wondrash, Lisa

**Subject:** March 7 Packet Update  
**Sent:** 3/4/2011 6:25 PM

was read on 6/20/2011 7:36 PM.

## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** Rapundalo, Stephen  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 7:36 PM  
**Subject:** Read: January 18 Action Minutes

Your message

**To:** \*City Council Members (All); Bahl, Sumedh; Barber, Janet (Barth); Beaudry, Jacqueline; Bowden (King), Anissa; Crawford, Tom; Dempkowski, Angela A; Fraser, Roger; Harrod, Scott; Higgins, Sara; Uden, Julie; McCormick, Sue; Postema, Stephen; Rampson, Wendy; Schopieray, Christine; Wondrash, Lisa  
**Subject:** January 18 Action Minutes  
**Sent:** 1/20/2011 4:15 PM

was read on 6/20/2011 7:36 PM.

## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** Congress.org [congressorg@capwiz.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 7:39 PM  
**To:** Hieftje, John  
**Subject:** Five Bugs in the U.S. Constitution

Get informed, get involved  
**Congress.org** update  
This Week in Congress

June 20, 2011



More than 110 new faces on the Hill.  
And that's just Congress.

## Five Bugs in the Constitution



Scholars who've studied the Constitution say the Framers got most things right: separation of powers between three equal branches, protection of basic civil rights and an amendment process that would allow the country to fix any mistakes down the road.

But they also say there are a few quirks the Framers didn't catch — small oversights of how the new government would function that could have very real consequences.

Some are minor and haven't caused much trouble. Others have already been addressed by constitutional amendments. And one nearly tore the country apart.

[Read five bugs in the U.S. Constitution.](#)

---

### Get on Your Soapbox

Want to make your voice heard concerning issues important to you? Get on your soapbox and make it happen! Our Soapbox feature allows you to give exposure to your issue and lets other Congress.org users take action on the alerts you create. Registered users are now allowed four free soapbox alerts per month!

---

### Track Your Lawmakers

Want to track votes cast by your Members of Congress? [Sign up](#) for our regular MegaVote newsletter.

---

**Signed, Sealed, Hand-Delivered!**

---

---

## Following in their Fathers' Footsteps



Twenty-six current Members of Congress followed their fathers' footsteps into the Capitol.

Growing up around Congress had its perks — children of Members often had free rein in their father's offices, the Capitol grounds and, sometimes, the White House.

But despite the fun, most say they didn't plan on entering politics — and their fathers weren't always encouraging.

When they did run, though, Congressional fathers often had advice on how to do it.

[Read more about Congressional fathers.](#)

---

## What Voters Don't Know About the Deficit



With Washington tied in knots over the budget deficit, pollsters have been trying to find out what voters think about the issue.

---

Did you know that you can have your message hand-delivered to the door of your Members of Congress? For a small fee, we can give your letter "Extra Impact" to help make your voice heard. Select that option when filling out your message on any topic.

---

### Add Us

Don't forget to add [congressorg@capwiz.com](mailto:congressorg@capwiz.com) to your address book to ensure prompt delivery of this newsletter each week.



What they're finding would not be terribly helpful to Congress.

That's because Americans' perception of how federal money is spent is just plain wrong. In fact, if their answers about the federal budget were even close to correct, slashing the deficit would be a breeze.

[Read more about what's in the federal budget.](#)

## What's Happening in California — Or Your State?



It can be hard to keep track of California's lawmakers.

Sen. Barbara Boxer defending the federal budget. Rep. Darrell Issa launching investigations. Rep. Jane Harman retiring.

That's why we created a Facebook page where you can track all the news about the Golden State's delegation — [CongressCA](#).

In fact, we started 50 pages, one for each state in the union. Simply find your state in the list below, go to the Facebook page and click the thumb's up "Like" button at the top of the page.

[Alabama](#) | [Alaska](#) | [Arizona](#) | [Arkansas](#) | [California](#) | [Colorado](#) | [Connecticut](#) | [Delaware](#) | [Florida](#) | [Georgia](#) | [Hawaii](#) | [Idaho](#) | [Iowa](#) | [Illinois](#) | [Indiana](#) | [Kansas](#) | [Kentucky](#) | [Louisiana](#) | [Maine](#) | [Maryland](#) | [Massachusetts](#) | [Michigan](#) | [Minnesota](#) | [Mississippi](#) | [Missouri](#) | [Montana](#) | [Nebraska](#) | [Nevada](#) | [New Hampshire](#) | [New Jersey](#) | [New Mexico](#) | [New York](#) | [North Carolina](#) | [North Dakota](#) | [Ohio](#) | [Oklahoma](#) | [Oregon](#) | [Pennsylvania](#) | [Rhode Island](#) | [South Carolina](#) | [South Dakota](#) | [Tennessee](#) | [Texas](#) | [Utah](#) | [Virginia](#) | [Vermont](#) | [Washington](#) | [Wisconsin](#) | [West Virginia](#) |

## Twenty Thousand Fans Can't Be Wrong



This month, Congress.org added its 20,000th fan on Facebook.

Our community of users gets the latest news on Congress and national activism every time they log in to the world's most popular social networking site.

They can also write Members of Congress on the issue of the week through our new "Tell Congress" feature — in less than a minute.

So what are you waiting for? Go to [facebook.com/congressorg](https://facebook.com/congressorg) and click the "Like" button" today!

If you know anyone who might be interested in this newsletter, please forward this email or [subscribe here](#).

[Congress.org](#)  
50 F St. NW Suite 700  
Washington, DC 20001

[Congress.org](#) is a publication of CQ Roll Call. Ryan Teague Beckwith, Editor.

[Contact us](#) | To unsubscribe or change your email address, click [here](#).

powered by  
capwiz **xc**

## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** Briere, Sabra  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 7:42 PM  
**Subject:** Read: June 20 Packet Update

Your message

**To:** Anglin, Mike; Beaudry, Jacqueline; Bowden (King), Anissa; Briere, Sabra; Crawford, Tom; Dempkowski, Angela A; Derezinski, Tony; Hieftje, John; Higgins, Marcia; Hohnke, Carsten; Kunselman, Stephen; Postema, Stephen; Rapundalo, Stephen; Satterlee, Joanna; Schopieray, Christine; Smith, Sandi; Taylor, Christopher (Council); Teall, Margie; Wondrash, Lisa

**Subject:** June 20 Packet Update  
**Sent:** 6/20/2011 7:22 PM

was read on 6/20/2011 7:42 PM.

## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** Kent [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 7:54 PM  
**To:** sabra.briere@gmail.com; Briere, Sabra  
**Subject:** Aggressive moves to create activity  
**Attachments:** Housing Inspection.BMP; Housing Inspections.BMP

Sabra:

Sorry to miss you this evening.

Here's a couple emails I planned to show you...

I, too, felt that Sue McCormick was unfair- and for the same reasons - this past week when addressing some supposed "sidewalk violations"



Kent  
[REDACTED]

Copyright © 2008 Webex Inc. All rights reserved.

---

**Kent W Burkhart** [REDACTED]

Sat, Jun 18, 2011 at 8:49 AM

To: jhieftje@a2gov.org

John:

I am trying as best as I can to just keep away from all the what seems continuous drama being actively created at City hall.

However, it just seems that I am continually drawn into completely non-nonsensical situations.

In this case, I trying to continue to provide above average apartment rentals for the so-called working people market at lower than market rates.

Here attached, is my response to a recent inspection of one of our duplexes.

Look, John, I am involved and have been involved for most of my life in rentals in numerous jurisdictions and, frankly, almost all of the time, the suitability of the rental is left up to the insurance company.

Now, that said, I do notice here that the insurance companies do not inspect resident rentals as often here and that's probably due to the fact that that the City is so involved and we do, probably, as a direct result, get lower insurance fees. However, NO WAY, I think it safe to say, is the lower insurance rate any way equal to the \$ 200/ year per unit the City is now, in my mind, extorting from duplex owners.

So, too, it might make some sense to be more actively involved in a City where young, under 21 years old college students are commonly being stuffed to capacity into buildings. However, that is NOT our situation... We rent exclusively to 1-2 people, exclusively to working people, and charge something in the order of \$900 per month not the \$1800 per month people get for building stuffing.

So, in the end, if the City wants to inspect housing, I'd say keep it to undergraduate student housing.

And, if you want to balance the budget, then PLEASE do so not my extorting monies from people, but by JUST DROPPING THE INSPECTIONS and the people associated with them.

Sincerely,

Kent

[Quoted text hidden]

---

## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** Taylor, Christopher (Council)  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 7:55 PM  
**Subject:** Read: June 20 Packet Update

Your message

**To:** Anglin, Mike; Beaudry, Jacqueline; Bowden (King), Anissa; Briere, Sabra; Crawford, Tom; Dempkowski, Angela A; Derezinski, Tony; Hieftje, John; Higgins, Marcia; Hohnke, Carsten; Kunselman, Stephen; Postema, Stephen; Rapundalo, Stephen; Satterlee, Joanna; Schopieray, Christine; Smith, Sandi; Taylor, Christopher (Council); Teall, Margie; Wondrash, Lisa

**Subject:** June 20 Packet Update  
**Sent:** 6/20/2011 7:22 PM

was read on 6/20/2011 7:55 PM.

## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** Teall, Margie  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 7:56 PM  
**Subject:** Read: June 20 Packet Update

Your message

**To:** Anglin, Mike; Beaudry, Jacqueline; Bowden (King), Anissa; Briere, Sabra; Crawford, Tom; Dempkowski, Angela A; Derezhinski, Tony; Hieftje, John; Higgins, Marcia; Hohnke, Carsten; Kunselman, Stephen; Postema, Stephen; Rapundalo, Stephen; Satterlee, Joanna; Schopieray, Christine; Smith, Sandi; Taylor, Christopher (Council); Teall, Margie; Wondrash, Lisa

**Subject:** June 20 Packet Update

**Sent:** 6/20/2011 7:22 PM

was read on 6/20/2011 7:56 PM.

## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** Derezinski, Tony  
**To:** Beaudry, Jacqueline  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 8:21 PM  
**Subject:** Read: June 20 Packet Update

Your message

**To:** Anglin, Mike; Beaudry, Jacqueline; Bowden (King), Anissa; Briere, Sabra; Crawford, Tom; Dempkowski, Angela A; Derezinski, Tony; Hieftje, John; Higgins, Marcia; Hohnke, Carsten; Kunselman, Stephen; Postema, Stephen; Rapundalo, Stephen; Satterlee, Joanna; Schopieray, Christine; Smith, Sandi; Taylor, Christopher (Council); Teall, Margie; Wondrash, Lisa

**Subject:** June 20 Packet Update

**Sent:** 6/20/2011 7:22 PM

was read on 6/20/2011 8:21 PM.



**Greenshields, Rachel**

---

**From:** Paul Sowers [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 8:34 PM  
**To:** Hieftje, John  
**Subject:** Police?!?

You wanted a new office (apparently)...Now you're cutting services?!? **FUCK YOU!!!** I PAY MORE THAN MY PARENTS DO FOR MY, LESS THAN AN ACRE, THAN THEY DO FOR THEIR, MORE THAN AN ACRE!!! YET THEIR COUNTY SERVICES HAVE BEEN BETTER THE PAST TWP YEARS AT LEAST!!! YOU **FUCKING SUCK!!!** YOU TOOK A CITY IN THE GREEN AND **FUCKED IT!!!** I HAVE A 13 YEAR OLD WHO I AM SURE COULD HAVE DONE A BETTER JOB...

If you need help, then call someone, but for **FUCK SAKE!** **DON'T** cut the police. I am already having issue with a police force that seems to have disappeared since you took office.

p.s.-the appearance of impropriety is as bad as impropriety itself in public affairs...get your house in order!

**Greenshields, Rachel**

---

**From:** Odile Hugonot Haber [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 9:03 PM  
**To:** annarborwilpf [REDACTED]; Hieftje, John  
**Subject:** Fwd: [NucNews] US Conference of Mayors unanimously adopts Mayors for Peace resolution

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Jackie Cabasso <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 20, 2011 at 8:50 PM  
**Subject:** [NucNews] US Conference of Mayors unanimously adopts Mayors for Peace resolution  
**To:** [nucnews@yahoogroups.com](mailto:nucnews@yahoogroups.com), [disarm@wilpf.org](mailto:disarm@wilpf.org), nnn <[no-new-nukes-yall@yahoogroups.com](mailto:no-new-nukes-yall@yahoogroups.com)>

***Another victory!*** The **US Conference of Mayors**, the national association of cities with populations over 30,000 today unanimously adopted a resolution calling on President Obama to work with the leaders of the other nuclear weapon states to implement the United Nations Secretary-General's 5-point plan to negotiate the elimination of nuclear weapons, by the year 2020, as urged by Mayors for Peace, and calling on Congress to terminate funding for modernization of the nuclear weapons complex and nuclear weapons systems, to slash spending on nuclear weapons well below Cold War Levels, and to redirect those funds to meet the urgent needs of cities. The resolution was introduced in the final plenary by International Affairs Committee Chair, Mayor Jean Quan of Oakland, CA. Unlike the Bring Our War Dollars Home resolution adopted later, the Mayors for Peace resolution was not pulled for discussion and a vote, and therefore was not debated.

In an historic speech to the USCM yesterday, United Nations Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon praised Mayors for Peace and its Cities Are Not Targets campaign, with over 1 million signatures. The SG also thanked the UN for its resolution supporting his 5-point plan for nuclear disarmament. Ban was greeted enthusiastically by the American mayors, who gave him standing ovations at the beginning and conclusion of his speech. Linking the global and the local, the SG told the USCM: "The road to peace and progress runs through the worlds cities and towns."

**I urge you to use and promote the Mayors for Peace resolution in your education and advocacy along with the Bring Our War Dollars Home resolution.** They are mutually reinforcing and complimentary. Following his speech to the USCM on Saturday, the Mayor of Kabul Afghanistan joined Mayors for Peace. He told me that his city had been 90% destroyed by war, like Hiroshima and Nagasaki. I think this little story nicely ties the two resolutions together. Many thanks to everyone who helped get co-sponsors.

**Next step:** Mayors for Peace currently has more than 4,700 members in 150 countries; over 175 in the US. We've launched a new recruiting drive with the goal of reaching 5,000 member cities by the August 6th anniversary of the US atomic bombing of Hiroshima. At that point Mayor Matsui, the new Mayor of Hiroshima, will be able to announce that Mayors for Peace represents the wishes of more than one billion

people around the world. I hope you'll help us reach our goal.

The resolution, as adopted, with the list of co-sponsors, is below. Also below is an Information Brief on U.S. nuclear weapons spending. (You may be shocked!)

*More to come...* -- Jackie Cabasso, North American Coordinator, Mayors for Peace

---

INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

Resolution No.

Submitted by:

The Honorable Donald L. Plusquellic  
Mayor of Akron

The Honorable Matthew T. Ryan  
Mayor of Binghamton

The Honorable Susan M. Osborne  
Mayor of Boulder

The Honorable Rodger Holm  
Mayor of Clinton

The Honorable Franklin T. Cownie  
Mayor of Des Moines

The Honorable Kitty Piercy  
Mayor of Eugene

The Honorable Joy Cooper  
Mayor of Hallandale Beach

The Honorable Paul Soglin  
Mayor of Madison

The Honorable Andre Pierre  
Mayor of North Miami

The Honorable Frank C. Ortis  
Mayor of Pembroke Pines

The Honorable Jennifer Hosterman  
Mayor of Pleasanton

The Honorable Gayle McLaughlin  
Mayor of Richmond

The Honorable Ardell Brede

Mayor of Rochester

The Honorable Stephen Cassidy  
Mayor of San Leandro

The Honorable Helene Schneider  
Mayor of Santa Barbara

The Honorable Laurel L. Prussing  
Mayor of Urbana

The Honorable John Duran  
Mayor of West Hollywood

The Honorable James Baker  
Mayor of Wilmington

The Honorable Joseph C. O'Brien  
Mayor of Worcester

**CALLING ON THE PRESIDENT TO WORK WITH LEADERS OF OTHER NUCLEAR WEAPON STATES  
FOR ELIMINATION OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS BY 2020 AND CALLING ON CONGRESS TO CUT  
FUNDING FOR NUCLEAR WEAPONS  
AND TO REDIRECT THOSE FUNDS TO MEET THE NEEDS OF CITIES**

**WHEREAS**, more than two decades after the end of the Cold War, nearly 23,000 nuclear weapons, over 95% of them in the arsenals of the United States and Russia, continue to pose an intolerable threat to cities and people everywhere;

**WHEREAS**, recent studies have shown that a nuclear war involving no more than 100 Hiroshima-sized bombs about 0.3% of the global nuclear arsenal could have catastrophic, long-lasting effects on the global climate leading to a drop in average surface temperatures, reduction of the ozone layer, a shortened agricultural growing season resulting in a global famine of unprecedented proportions;

**WHEREAS**, the 2010 U.S. Nuclear Posture Review did not lead to substantial changes in the U.S. nuclear force structure, only marginally reduced the role of nuclear weapons in national security policy, explicitly rejected reducing the high-alert status of Intercontinental Ballistic Missiles and Submarine Launch Ballistic Missiles, and retained the capability to deploy U.S. nuclear weapons on tactical fighter-bombers and heavy bombers, including at NATO bases in Europe, while proceeding with a modification of the bombs carried on those planes;

**WHEREAS**, a plan submitted to Congress by President Barack Obama projects investments of well over \$185 billion by 2020 to maintain and modernize U.S. nuclear weapons systems, including construction of three new nuclear warhead production facilities and an array of new delivery systems;

**WHEREAS**, although the U.S. stockpile contains one-fifth as many warheads as it used to, the Administration's Fiscal Year 2012 budget request is the largest ever for maintenance and modernization of nuclear warheads, and after accounting for inflation, the \$7.63 billion request is 21 percent more than President Ronald Reagan's largest nuclear weapons budget;

**WHEREAS**, reflecting President Obama's commitment to modernize all three legs of the strategic triad of nuclear weapons delivery systems, the FY 2012 budget request also includes \$197 million for research and development on a new Air Force long-range nuclear bomber, \$2.6 million to study a future Intercontinental Ballistic Missile, and \$1.07 billion to develop a new replacement ballistic missile submarine slated to be in operation through 2080, all of which will lead to far greater expenditures if production follows;

**WHEREAS**, with the economic downturn forcing mayors and cities to make deep cuts in critical public services, and with more than 100 metropolitan areas projected to have double-digit unemployment by the end of this year, the budget deal worked out between the Administration and Congress contains a 16.2 percent reduction in Community Development Block Grant formula funding – a \$647 million cut for the current year, eliminates Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grants, and includes huge reductions to other domestic programs of importance to mayors and cities, the size of which have not been seen in recent times;

**WHEREAS**, Mayors for Peace membership has grown to over 4,700 cities in 150 countries and regions, including half of the world’s capital cities, with more than 170 U.S. members;

**WHEREAS**, the United States Conference of Mayors unanimously adopted resolutions in 2004, 2006 and each year since, expressing its strong support for Mayors for Peace, its demand for negotiations for the global elimination of nuclear weapons by 2020, and its Cities Are Not Targets campaign;

**WHEREAS**, Mayors for Peace has been endorsed by national mayoral associations in Europe, Latin America, Asia and Africa;

**WHEREAS**, the final document of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Congress of United Cities and Local Governments, adopted in Mexico City November 20, 2010 expresses “our support for the call of the Mayors for Peace Campaign for a world free of nuclear weapons by 2020 through a new international Convention”;

**WHEREAS**, United Nations Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon, in Hiroshima for the 65<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the U.S. atomic bombing on August 6, 2010 expressed his strong support for the Mayors for Peace 2020 Vision Campaign for the global abolition of nuclear weapons by 2020, declaring, “The 2020 vision is a perfect vision”; and the United Nations, on March 24, 2011 recognized the importance of Mayors for Peace by inaugurating a permanent installation at its New York headquarters exhibiting more than 1 million signatures on the Mayors for Peace Cities Are Not Targets petition;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the U.S. Conference of Mayors reaffirms its call on President Obama to work with the leaders of the other nuclear weapon states to implement the United Nations Secretary-General’s Five Point Proposal for Nuclear Disarmament forthwith, so that a Nuclear Weapons Convention or a related framework of mutually reinforcing legal instruments can be agreed upon and implemented by the year 2020, as urged by Mayors for Peace; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the U.S. Conference of Mayors calls on the U.S. Congress to terminate funding for modernization of the nuclear weapons complex and nuclear weapons systems, to slash spending on nuclear weapons programs well below Cold War levels, and to redirect those funds to meet the urgent needs of cities; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the U.S. Conference of Mayors, in its contacts with national associations of local authorities of the other nuclear weapon states, calls upon them to also press their governments to enter into negotiations for the establishment of a nuclear-weapon-free world and to sharply curtail expenditures on nuclear arms; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the U.S. Conference of Mayors expresses its continuing support for Mayors for Peace, pledges to assist in recruiting new U.S. members in order to help reach the goal of 5,000 member cities by the August 6, 2011 Hiroshima anniversary, at which time Mayors for Peace will represent one billion people; and, as since 2005, supports USCM representation at the international Mayors for Peace 2020 Vision Campaign Executive Committee and General Meetings later this year; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the U.S. Conference of Mayors agrees to take up this matter at its 80<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting in June 2012, and that mayors shall remain engaged in this matter until our cities and citizens, and cities and

citizens throughout the world, are no longer under the threat of nuclear annihilation and catastrophic climate change.

Projected Cost: Unknown

-----

WESTERN STATES LEGAL FOUNDATION  
Information Brief - Fall 2010

***“A nation that continues year after year to spend more money on military defense than on programs of social uplift is approaching spiritual death.” – Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.***

**Military Spending vs. Real Security**

Despite the global economic crisis, global military spending – money for killing – is rising at an alarming rate, at the expense of addressing urgent human needs. Arms races in many parts of the world are escalating. More military bases are being built, outer space is being used for war, and nuclear arsenals are being modernized. U.S. military spending has doubled during the same period that its economy has shrunk from 32 to 23 percent of global output.

The Stockholm Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) estimates that total global military spending in 2009 rose to \$1531 Billion – an increase of 49% in real terms since 2000. In 2009, the 10 largest military spenders accounted for 75% of world military spending, with the U.S. alone (at over \$700 Billion) accounting for 43% -- more than 9 times larger than the military budget of China, the second largest spender.

Noting that the global economic crisis has had little impact on world military spending, SIPRI reports that rapidly rising revenues from oil and other natural resources appear to be a significant driver of military expenditure in many developing countries. Describing how growing competition for natural resources may fuel a vicious cycle of arms racing, they warn: “This may lead to increased military spending as a means of protecting resources from internal or external threats, while resource revenues are often a source of funding for arms purchases.”

The National Priorities Project reports that the cost of U.S. wars since 2001 is well over \$1 Trillion. The war in Iraq is approaching \$800 Billion, and the Afghanistan war tops \$300 Billion. The human cost of these wars is incalculable: nearly 5700 U.S. troops killed, over 50,000 wounded, and more than 1 million civilians killed in Iraq and Afghanistan. His nuclear disarmament rhetoric notwithstanding, a plan submitted to Congress by President Obama earlier this year projects an investment of \$180 Billion by 2020 to maintain and modernize U.S. nuclear weapons systems.

These staggering amounts of money are nearly impossible for the human mind to comprehend. A Billion dollar bills laid end to end would circle the globe at the equator four times. A Trillion dollar bills laid end to end would stretch from the Earth to the Sun.

***“The budget is the skeleton of the state stripped of all misleading ideologies.” – Joseph Schumpeter***

A study by the Brookings Institution found, as a conservative estimate, that the U.S. spent \$5.5 Trillion dollars on nuclear weapons from 1940–1996 (in constant 1996 dollars). Nuclear weapons spending during this period exceeded the combined total federal spending for education; training, employment, and social services; agriculture; natural resources and the environment; general science, space, and technology; community and regional development, including disaster relief; law enforcement; and energy production and regulation.

As calculated by the National Priorities Project, taxpayers in California will pay \$21.6 Billion for proposed total Iraq and Afghanistan war spending in FY2011. For the same amount of money:

- 6,418,584 people could receive low-income healthcare for one year, *or*
- 231,434 Police or Sheriff's Patrol Officers could be paid for one year, *or*
- 280,546 Elementary School Teachers could be paid for one year.

Taxpayers in Detroit will pay \$31.7 million for proposed nuclear weapons programs FY2011. For the same amount of money:

- 26,415 households could be provided with renewable electricity-wind power for one year, *or*
- 4,459 children could be funded for Head Start for one year, *or*
- 4,133 military veterans could receive VA medical care for one year.

Bringing it down to the individual level, a person paying \$5,000 in federal income taxes in 2009 contributed \$1,325 to the military – plus \$270 for military debt, but only \$100 to education and \$65 to transportation.

The United States Conference of Mayors has demonstrated how cities can take leadership in making the transition from militarism to human security. In June, it unanimously adopted a resolution which directly challenges the military budget. Noting that *“cities have been hard hit by the recent recession which has left them with rapidly rising unemployment and declining revenues, forcing them to make severe cuts in critical public services such as police officers, fire fighters, teachers, medical and emergency workers and bus drivers,”* the resolution declares: *“The U.S. Conference of Mayors calls on the U.S. Congress to terminate funding for modernization of the nuclear weapons complex and nuclear weapons systems, to reduce spending on nuclear weapons programs well below Cold War levels, and to redirect funds to meet the urgent needs of cities.”*

***All of this military spending is justified in the name of “national security,” yet as jobs, housing, healthcare, education and even food become more precarious, are you and your family more secure?***

Ordinary people in the United States and around the world have the same basic needs, and have more in common with each other, than with the corrupt institutions and elites that benefit from the “national security” war system. Real human security cannot be brought about through military might. It can only be ensured through the equitable distribution of adequate food, shelter, clean water and air, health care, and education.

Real security requires an environmentally sustainable, socially equitable world, empowering the majority to live a healthy, dignified, and productive life. As an added benefit, if funding was shifted from armaments to fulfilling basic human needs, some of the root causes of violence would at the same time be addressed, thus reducing the excuses for military actions around the world.

**BEYOND WAR, A NEW ECONOMY IS POSSIBLE • CUT MILITARY SPENDING, INVEST IN REAL SECURITY!**

***“It is irrefutably clear to us that if we do not make substantial cuts in the projected levels of Pentagon spending, we will do substantial damage to our economy and dramatically reduce our quality of life.... the notion that American taxpayers get some benefit from extending our military might worldwide is deeply flawed. And the idea that as a superpower it is our duty to maintain stability by intervening in civil disorders virtually anywhere in the world often generates anger directed at us and may in the end do more harm than good.”*** -- Representatives Barney Frank (Democrat) and Ron Paul (Republican)

Western States Legal Foundation 655 13th St. Suite 201 Oakland, California 94612  
Tel: (510) 839-5877 Fax: (510) 839-5397 [www.wslfweb.org](http://www.wslfweb.org) [disarmamentactivist.org](http://disarmamentactivist.org)

[Reply to sender](#) | [Reply to group](#) | [Reply via web post](#) | [Start a New Topic](#)

[Messages in this topic \(1\)](#)

RECENT ACTIVITY:

New Members 2

Visit Your Group

\* See also: NucNews Links and Archives (by date) at <http://nucnews.net> \* (Posted for educational and research purposes only, in accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. section 107) \*

Switch to: [Text-Only](#), [Daily Digest](#) • [Unsubscribe](#) • [Terms of Use](#)



## Greenshields, Rachel

---

**From:** elyakin [elyakin@mac.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2011 10:04 PM  
**To:** kossoudj [redacted] ginaamalfitano [redacted] Bridget; Lisa Schwartz; jackiweismant [redacted] David Palan; Kim Perlman; Karen Hart; Rick Leyschock; Keefauvers; Martin Shichtman; Jessica Alexander; Bruce Kutinsky; Jeffrey Bernstein; winkelhope; Larry Siden; Gustav Cappaert; Pam Lippitt; Joan Lowenstein; jculbertson [redacted] Gloria Helfand; Carsten Hohnke; David Shtulman; Hannan Lis; Lisa Lis; Spiroff, JULIE; Adam Eichner; Holly Heaviland; Bob Galardi; Yael Zoldan; Smith, Sandi; Jesse Bernstein; Andre Wilson; Victor Turner; Linda Winkler; Mohamad Issa; Leslie Stambaugh; Mararry [redacted] bhupendra desai; alangela [redacted] Jyoti Gupta; BenevicT; Avram Kluger; Kate Lowry; Anne James Boyd; kestenbaum [redacted]; Harvey and Deborah Juster; Laurie Jones; klaver [redacted] Tony Derezinski; Eric P. Helber; Carol Feldman; Malcolm Cohen; Judy Cohen; Wendy Woods; Mark Bernstein; Rachel Bendit; Jeffrey Bernstein; Alan / Angela Harris; Lonnie Sussman; Ron Sussman; Sam Zwetchkenbaum; Steven Norton; Glenn Nelson; Amy Pachera; Donna Lasinski; Michael - Ruth Appel-Kraut; Lori Bennett; Shelly Kovacs Berman; Chuck & Sharon Newman; Ned Staebler; davidnacht [redacted] Nacht; Daniel Cutler; Sandra Finkel; Lisa Danielson; Adam Suber; Irwin Weingarten; James Woodhams; partington04 [redacted]; Eyal Dolev; William Miller; John Austin; Ben Shapo  
**Subject:** From Neal - I love a parade!!!

Hi friends

Wouldn't you just love to join me in the Ann Arbor Fourth of July Parade?!!!!

I would love it if you could join me on Monday, July 4th starting at 10:00 in downtown Ann Arbor. We'll have a few convertibles (Irwin, can I count of yours?), some little goodies to hand out, balloons, and lots of fun marching with me, the candidate for Ann Arbor City Council - Fifth Ward !!!!!

Oh, it'll be a great time!!

Bring the kids - if you don't have any, borrow some!!

Wear your reddest/whitest/bluest outfit !!!!!

Let me know if you're able to join us - there'll be some cool prizes for the early responders !!!!!

So, let me know that you're joining us, and I'll get more specific info as we get closer - it will be so much fun!

Neal

(future City Council member!!)

Neal Elyakin  
[elyakin@mac.com](mailto:elyakin@mac.com)