

Redacted emails:

From: Kevin McDonald
Time: 7:11 PM
To: City Council Members
Reason: Attorney/Client Privilege

From: John Sullivan
Time: 7:13 PM
To: Margie Teall
Reason: Information of a personal nature if public disclosure of the information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of an individual's privacy. MCL 15.243(1)(a)

From: Robert Klingler
Time: 7:39 PM
To: Mayor Hieftje
Reason: Information of a personal nature if public disclosure of the information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of an individual's privacy. MCL 15.243(1)(a)

From: Gil Omenn
Time: 7:55 PM
To: Carsten Hohnke
Reason: Information of a personal nature if public disclosure of the information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of an individual's privacy. MCL 15.243(1)(a)

From: Julie Roth
Time: 8:32 PM
To: City Council
Reason: Information of a personal nature if public disclosure of the information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of an individual's privacy. MCL 15.243(1)(a)

From: David Paris
Time: 8:57 PM
To: Mayor Hieftje
Reason: Information of a personal nature if public disclosure of the information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of an individual's privacy. MCL 15.243(1)(a)

From: Jill Carmen
Time: 9:52 PM
To: Leigh Greden
Reason: Information of a personal nature if public disclosure of the information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of an individual's privacy. MCL 15.243(1)(a)

From: Sandi Smith
Time: 10:42 PM
To: Michael Anglin
Reason: Information of a personal nature if public disclosure of the information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of an individual's privacy. MCL 15.243(1)(a)

From	To	Subject	Cc	Received	Size	Ca...
Rowena G...	Rowena Gono	Milwaukee Keynote Podcasts Are Ready		Mon 9/21/2009 5:24 PM	18 KB	
Ann Arbor ...	Teall, Margie	Weekly Event Calendar		Mon 9/21/2009 5:46 PM	89 KB	
John Sullivan	Michael I Gre...	FW: Robert Reich Explains the Public Option ...		Mon 9/21/2009 6:12 PM	36 KB	
mteall@a2...	Teall, Margie	[POSSIBLE SPAM] Enduring strength of a man		Mon 9/21/2009 6:42 PM	9 KB	
Bowden (Ki...	Anglin, Mike;...	final agenda and packet		Mon 9/21/2009 7:03 PM	31 KB	
Bowden (Ki...	Anglin, Mike;...	final agenda and packet		Mon 9/21/2009 7:03 PM	31 KB	
Bowden (Ki...	Anglin, Mike;...	final agenda and packet		Mon 9/21/2009 7:03 PM	31 KB	
Bowden (Ki...	Anglin, Mike;...	final agenda and packet		Mon 9/21/2009 7:03 PM	31 KB	
Bowden (Ki...	Anglin, Mike;...	final agenda and packet		Mon 9/21/2009 7:03 PM	31 KB	
Bowden (Ki...	Anglin, Mike;...	final agenda and packet		Mon 9/21/2009 7:03 PM	31 KB	
Bowden (Ki...	Anglin, Mike;...	final agenda and packet		Mon 9/21/2009 7:03 PM	31 KB	
Bowden (Ki...	Anglin, Mike;...	final agenda and packet		Mon 9/21/2009 7:03 PM	31 KB	
McDonald, ...	*City Council...	RE: PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL: City P...	Postema, ...	Mon 9/21/2009 7:11 PM	26 KB	✓ Atty
McDonald, ...	*City Council...	RE: PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL: City P...	Postema, ...	Mon 9/21/2009 7:11 PM	26 KB	dup
McDonald, ...	*City Council...	RE: PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL: City P...	Postema, ...	Mon 9/21/2009 7:11 PM	26 KB	dup
McDonald, ...	*City Council...	RE: PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL: City P...	Postema, ...	Mon 9/21/2009 7:11 PM	26 KB	dup
McDonald, ...	*City Council...	RE: PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL: City P...	Postema, ...	Mon 9/21/2009 7:11 PM	26 KB	dup
McDonald, ...	*City Council...	RE: PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL: City P...	Postema, ...	Mon 9/21/2009 7:11 PM	26 KB	dup
McDonald, ...	*City Council...	RE: PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL: City P...	Postema, ...	Mon 9/21/2009 7:11 PM	26 KB	dup
John Sullivan	Michael I Gre...	FW: Robert Reich Explains the Public Option ...		Mon 9/21/2009 7:13 PM	36 KB	✓
Kyle V. Ma...		REMINDER: Impact 2009		Mon 9/21/2009 7:16 PM	160 KB	not to be from Council
Kyle V. Ma...		REMINDER: Impact 2009		Mon 9/21/2009 7:16 PM	161 KB	dup
Kyle V. Ma...		REMINDER: Impact 2009		Mon 9/21/2009 7:16 PM	160 KB	dup
Kyle V. Ma...		REMINDER: Impact 2009		Mon 9/21/2009 7:16 PM	160 KB	dup
Kyle V. Ma...		REMINDER: Impact 2009		Mon 9/21/2009 7:16 PM	160 KB	dup
Kyle V. Ma...		REMINDER: Impact 2009		Mon 9/21/2009 7:16 PM	160 KB	dup
Amy Mayer	lowerburnsp...	[lowerburnsparkneighborassociation] Re: ano...		Mon 9/21/2009 7:21 PM	28 KB	not to be from Council
John Sullivan	Michael I Gre...	FW: Robert Reich Explains the Public Option ...		Mon 9/21/2009 7:22 PM	28 KB	?
robert klin...	Hieftje, John	near north project & city place project		Mon 9/21/2009 7:39 PM	13 KB	✓
Gil Omenn	Hohnke, Car...	Re: Matching Funds Policy	Peg Kohring	Mon 9/21/2009 7:55 PM	18 KB	✓
juliekayero...	Hieftje, John	Re: Near North council vote	Briere, Sabra	Mon 9/21/2009 8:32 PM	44 KB	✓
juliekayero...	Hieftje, John	Re: Near North council vote	Briere, Sabra	Mon 9/21/2009 8:32 PM	44 KB	dup
Patricia Nil...	Higgins, Marcia	Part-Time Job Offer		Mon 9/21/2009 8:41 PM	7 KB	✓ Sent 4:56 PM
parisda@c...	Hieftje, John	for the bicycle aficianado at city hall		Mon 9/21/2009 8:57 PM	12 KB	✓
Patricia Nil...	Teall, Margie	Part-Time Job Offer		Mon 9/21/2009 9:00 PM	8 KB	✓ Sent 4:55 PM?
Kirk Profit		FW: General Government Conference Commi...	profit.k@g...	Mon 9/21/2009 9:34 PM	60 KB	not to be from Council
Kirk Profit		FW: General Government Conference Commi...	profit.k@g...	Mon 9/21/2009 9:34 PM	60 KB	dup
Kirk Profit		FW: General Government Conference Commi...	profit.k@g...	Mon 9/21/2009 9:34 PM	60 KB	dup
Kirk Profit		FW: General Government Conference Commi...	profit.k@g...	Mon 9/21/2009 9:34 PM	58 KB	dup
Kirk Profit		FW: General Government Conference Commi...	profit.k@g...	Mon 9/21/2009 9:34 PM	60 KB	dup
Kirk Profit		FW: General Government Conference Commi...	profit.k@g...	Mon 9/21/2009 9:34 PM	60 KB	dup
Kirk Profit		FW: General Government Conference Commi...	profit.k@g...	Mon 9/21/2009 9:34 PM	58 KB	dup
Kirk Profit		FW: General Government Conference Commi...	profit.k@g...	Mon 9/21/2009 9:34 PM	59 KB	dup
Kirk Profit		FW: General Government Conference Commi...	profit.k@g...	Mon 9/21/2009 9:34 PM	60 KB	dup
Greden, Le...	Beaudry, Jac...	Resolution for printing		Mon 9/21/2009 9:42 PM	31 KB	✓
Greden, Le...	Beaudry, Jac...	USE THIS ONE INSTEAD		Mon 9/21/2009 9:43 PM	31 KB	✓
Congress.org	Hieftje, John	Renew the PATRIOT Act? Tell Congress		Mon 9/21/2009 9:50 PM	38 KB	✓ Sent 3:44
Jill Carmen	Greden, Leigh	"The Biggest Loser"	seciasophi...	Mon 9/21/2009 9:52 PM	22 KB	✓
Jill Carmen	Jill Carmen	RE: "The Biggest Loser"	seciasophi...	Mon 9/21/2009 9:56 PM	14 KB	not to be from Council
Greden, Le...	*City Council...	DC-3	Beaudry, J...	Mon 9/21/2009 10:01 PM	35 KB	dup? ✓

Before
7:06
Start

✓ Atty
dup
dup
dup
dup
dup
dup
dup

not to be from Council
dup
dup
dup
dup
dup

not to be from Council

✓
✓
dup

✓ Sent 4:56 PM
✓ Sent 4:55 PM?

not to be from Council
dup
dup
dup
dup
dup
dup
dup
dup

✓ Sent 3:44

not to be from Council

From	To	Subject	Cc	Received	Size	Ca...	✓
Greden, Le...	*City Council...	DC-3	Beaudry, J...	Mon 9/21/2009 10:01 PM	35 KB	dup	
Greden, Le...	*City Council...	DC-3	Beaudry, J...	Mon 9/21/2009 10:01 PM	35 KB	dup	
Greden, Le...	*City Council...	DC-3	Beaudry, J...	Mon 9/21/2009 10:01 PM	35 KB	dup	
Greden, Le...	*City Council...	DC-3	Beaudry, J...	Mon 9/21/2009 10:01 PM	35 KB	dup	
Greden, Le...	*City Council...	DC-3	Beaudry, J...	Mon 9/21/2009 10:01 PM	35 KB	dup	
Greden, Le...	*City Council...	DC-3	Beaudry, J...	Mon 9/21/2009 10:01 PM	36 KB	dup	
Greden, Le...	*City Council...	DC-3	Beaudry, J...	Mon 9/21/2009 10:01 PM	35 KB	dup	
Greden, Le...	*City Council...	DC-3	Beaudry, J...	Mon 9/21/2009 10:01 PM	36 KB	dup	
Greden, Le...	*City Council...	DC-3	Beaudry, J...	Mon 9/21/2009 10:01 PM	35 KB	dup	
Lisa McCle...	Hieftje, John	Save by Sept. 25th: Social Media for Govern...	ALI Confer...	Mon 9/21/2009 10:22 PM	37 KB	✓	
moderator...	PORTSIDE@...	Cuba Undertakes Reforms in Midst of Econo...		Mon 9/21/2009 10:25 PM	31 KB	Not in the Council	
moderator...	PORTSIDE@...	Cuban Peace Concert - three articles among ...		Mon 9/21/2009 10:26 PM	44 KB	"	
moderator...	PORTSIDE@...	You Have No Idea What Health Costs If You ...		Mon 9/21/2009 10:26 PM	38 KB	"	
moderator...	PORTSIDE@...	Day One March for Jobs in "The Hill"™ ...		Mon 9/21/2009 10:27 PM	42 KB	"	
moderator...	PORTSIDE@...	Zelaya's Return to Tegucigalpa Brings Coup C...		Mon 9/21/2009 10:28 PM	27 KB	"	
Smith, Sandi	Anglin, Mike;...	RE: DC-2		Mon 9/21/2009 10:42 PM	87 KB	✓	
Smith, Sandi	Anglin, Mike;...	RE: DC-2		Mon 9/21/2009 10:42 PM	87 KB	dup	
Smith, Sandi	Anglin, Mike;...	RE: DC-2		Mon 9/21/2009 10:42 PM	87 KB	dup	
Smith, Sandi	Anglin, Mike;...	RE: DC-2		Mon 9/21/2009 10:42 PM	87 KB	dup	
Smith, Sandi	Anglin, Mike;...	RE: DC-2		Mon 9/21/2009 10:42 PM	87 KB	dup	
Smith, Sandi	Anglin, Mike;...	RE: DC-2		Mon 9/21/2009 10:42 PM	87 KB	dup	
Smith, Sandi	Anglin, Mike;...	RE: DC-2		Mon 9/21/2009 10:42 PM	87 KB	dup	
Smith, Sandi	Anglin, Mike;...	RE: DC-2		Mon 9/21/2009 10:42 PM	87 KB	dup	
Smith, Sandi	Anglin, Mike;...	RE: DC-2		Mon 9/21/2009 10:42 PM	87 KB	dup	
Briere, Sabra		RE: City Place and Historic Districts		Mon 9/21/2009 10:57 PM	16 KB	✓	
hallmark.com	Higgins, Marcia	[POSSIBLE SPAM] You've received A Hallmar...		Tue 9/22/2009 12:19 AM	15 KB	✓	

King, Carol

From: McDonald, Kevin
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 7:11 PM
To: *City Council Members (All)
Cc: Postema, Stephen; Fraser, Roger; Rampson, Wendy; Miller, Jayne; Crawford, Tom
Subject: RE: PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL: City Place Developer Default

King, Carol

From: John Sullivan [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 7:13 PM
To: Michael I Green; [REDACTED]; Heinold Jim; jamie m abelson; JCBARNES; Jennifer Clapp; Jerry Rauch; Jerry Higgins [REDACTED]; Jim Wark; John Barrie; John Paul Narowski; john shea; John T. Clarke; Jon Kotila; Jrr Enterprises; Kate Higgins; Molini, Keith M.; Keith Moorman; Ken Mickey; [REDACTED]; liztatchell; "Lutz, Mark"; lou@dinagraphics.com; Teall, Margie; Manfred Franke; Mark Pinsky; Mark Remaley; mark@focal-plane.com; Mark Perry; mark_perry@mascohq.com; Michael Wolfe; n2000; [REDACTED]; Patti Milgrom; Peter Smith; [REDACTED]; Preston Murray; Randy Milgrom; Sean Laycock; Sibylle Allgaier; soarbooks; [REDACTED]; Margaret Sullivan; Thomas Hart; Tom Knauff; Tom Stewart; Tom Stowers; Tony Patterson Hillman; ys@focal-plane.com
Subject: FW: Robert Reich Explains the Public Option - Beyond the hype

Subject: FW: Robert Reich Explains the Public Option - Beyond the hype

There are 3300 insurance and drug company lobbyist working on our 525 Senators and Representatives to make sure the American People are misinformed about the public option. That's about 6 of "them" for every one of "ours". Watch this and see what the reality of the public option is REALLY all about....

Greetings to all:

With all the talk about what the "public Option" means and how it would work, I found this video from Robert Reich (a leading economist in our country) explaining how it would work and why it's so important to health care reform.

Robert Reich Public Option Video

Please take the 2:39 (not including the time to download it) to watch and to pass it along to your members. This is an important component to reform and we should all understand what it really means.

--

Lou
DinaGraphics

205-789-9455
visit my website at <http://www.Dinagraphics.com>

King, Carol

From: robert klingler [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 7:39 PM
To: Hieftje, John
Subject: near north project & city place project

Dear Mayor Hieftje:

I support the Near North project.
Affordable housing is very much needed in this city.

I oppose city place project.
It is bad action to tear down fine houses.

Thank-you,
Robert Klingler

King, Carol

From: Gil Omenn [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 7:55 PM
To: Hohnke, Carsten; Trocchio, Ginny; Ezekiel@aaps.k12.mi.us; michaelg@ecocenter.org; Jennifer Santi Hall; Irubin@hrwc.org; Denise Taylor-Moon; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] Tom Bloomer
Cc: Peg Kohring
Subject: Re: Matching Funds Policy

Thanks.

The Meeting Summary will have to capture the discussion about the detailed language. The first Resolved paragraph needs two typo corrections (as submitted) for "it's", which should be "its".

Best wishes

Gil
(Day 1 home from 4-vessel coronary surgery)

>>> "Trocchio, Ginny" <GLTrocchio@a2gov.org> 9/21/2009 4:03 PM >>>
All,

As a follow up to our last meeting and in preparation to the October meeting, I'm attaching a copy of the matching funds resolution that was passed by the Commission in 2007, as well as, the one proposed by Jennifer Hall at the last meeting.

Thanks,
Ginny

<<matchingfunds_resolution_2009.pdf>> <<Matching Funds Resolution2007.pdf>>

*Please note the new phone number below!
Ginny Trocchio
The Conservation Fund
Ann Arbor Greenbelt Project
100 N. Fifth Ave.
Ann Arbor, MI 48104
New! tel: 734-794-6210 X 42798
Cell: 734-646-3336
fax: 734-994-8312

The Conservation Fund -
Rated A+ The Nation's Top Environmental Nonprofit - by The American Institute of Philanthropy

Notice: This email message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the recipients to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is proprietary, privileged and/or confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and delete the original message without making any copies. If you are not the intended recipient, unauthorized review, copying, use, disclosure, or distribution is improper and may be subject to legal sanctions. Although this e-mail and any attachments are believed to be free of any virus or other defect that might affect any computer system into which it is received and opened, it is the responsibility of the recipient to ensure that it is virus free and no responsibility is accepted by The Conservation Fund for any loss or damage arising in any way from its use.

Electronic Mail is not secure, may not be read every day, and should not be used for urgent or sensitive issues

King, Carol

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 8:32 PM
To: Hieftje, John
Cc: Briere, Sabra
Subject: Re: Near North council vote

Dear Mayor Hieftje,
Thank you so much for your very thorough response.
I'd like to speak to just one point, because I think it is a very important one....

The map you enclosed does not differentiate between family housing and single unit low-income housing. In my experience and understanding, there is a very large difference with respect to associated crime with high density. Carrot way is family housing, correct? And Miller Manor is single unit, right?

My understanding is that this neighborhood is saturated when it comes to the number of single-unit low-income housing units, and possibly the most dense in the city. I think that is an important distinction.

Ms. Briere was kind enough to call me over the weekend to discuss these concerns as well. She talked about the pressure from funding sources to create higher density housing, which I understand. But there is density in regards to a building/site, and density or concentration in a neighborhood. And perhaps the latter is more under our control? Our neighborhood has not historically organized itself into a cohesive voice on these issues, and I am hopeful that now we will begin to do so. I just wish we didn't feel so cornered into it. I really do wish we could have looked at this single-unit density issue before approving this project.

Aside from that, I am thrilled to hear there is new management at Miller Manor. And I hope to hear more about that tomorrow night.

Thank you again,
Julie Roth

----- Original Message -----

From: "John Hieftje" <JHieftje@a2gov.org>
To: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 4:03:59 PM GMT -05:00 US/Canada Eastern
Subject: RE: Near North council vote

Dear Ms. Roth:

Thank you very much for writing and adding your voice to this conversation.
Please allow me to speak to some of your concerns.

In regard to the crime that has popped up in your area; the AAPD is hard at work investigating and dealing with these problems. They are fully committed to this effort as we all are and they will soon have a good handle on the situation.

I am aware of the number of affordable units in different neighborhoods and have consulted with staff on this point. After studying this map it appears that in comparison to several other areas of the city there is not a concentration of designated affordable or supportive housing in the area North of Miller and West of Main. If you have information that indicates otherwise please pass it along.

You mention Burns Park. From my life long experience in Ann Arbor including nearly 20 years in residential real estate (something I gave up shortly after becoming mayor) the reason this area has not been utilized for affordable housing has much more to do with land values than any perceived "clout." Houses sell for more in part because when homes that would otherwise be ideal for conversion to affordable housing go on the market they are snapped up by landlords for use as student rentals. Investors can move much faster and bring more cash to the table than affordable housing providers. This helps to keep the prices high. The high concentration of students who often do not lock their doors leads to a fair amount of crime in the area.

The available studies do not indicate that supportive housing creates problems in neighborhoods but we are looking for other studies that would shed further light on this question.

In the case of Miller Manor; the City recognized there was a problem some time back and began an investigation over a year ago. New management was put in place in August. This should begin to make a difference soon and senior city staff are watching this closely.

I should also point out that Miller Manor is not supportive housing but rather federally funded low income housing.

In the Near North proposal there are 14 units that will be managed by Avalon but the rest of the units are priced for working singles and couples. One of the complaints we have heard repeatedly over the past several months we have been talking about this has been that rents for the 25 units are too high, at or near market rate, even though they technically qualify as "affordable" for those making 50% of the median income.

There is synergy to be gained by having the 14 units in one location given that the supervision and oversight can be tighter. I would point to Carrot Way off Dhu Varren Rd. This complex of 30 affordable units is managed by Avalon and is often held up as a model community.

In my experience in the city Avalon has an excellent reputation for management. In nearly 10 years of public service I have heard very few complaints about Avalon owned housing.

There was a recent reduction at the PD but it did not impact the number of officers patrolling your neighborhood (the same number of officers is on patrol in the city as before) and there is an intense focus on solving the problems that have cropped up in recent months. A very few perpetrators; two or four, can be the cause of a large number of breaking and entering cases and it is only a matter of time before they are caught or driven from the area by increased pressure.

I have included a map of the affordable housing in the city. Please take a look at the distribution.

Over the many months that Near North has been before the city it has evolved from a larger proposal that was rejected by the nearby neighborhood association into something they can accept.

Thank you again for writing.

John Hieftje

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 11:04 PM
To: Hieftje, John; Briere, Sabra; Smith, Sandi; Rapundalo, Stephen; Derezinski, Tony; Greden, Leigh; Taylor, Christopher (Council); Higgins, Marcia; Teall, Margie; Anglin, Mike; Hohnke, Carsten
Subject: Near North council vote

Hello Mayor and Council members.

Some of you know, and perhaps some of you do not, that the Sunset/Brooks/Summit neighborhood has been hit with a major increase in break-ins, with something like 19 break-ins since May. My home was one of the ones hit. We recently had a neighborhood meeting held by one of the police lieutenants regarding the increase in crime that we are seeing in our area. Attendance at this meeting was very large, and an email list was generated from that meeting, as a sort of neighborhood watch.

I have been deeply concerned about what seems to be a saturation of low-income housing in our neighborhood, with Miller Manor being the most obvious and egregious example of this. This crime spree magnifies my concern. I am getting to the point where I do not want to let my kids walk to school or to our local park (Hunt) by themselves. This is unacceptable to me.

I have heard, through this neighborhood list, that there are many of us very concerned about the uptick in crime in our area, even before these break-ins.

I assume that you all know that low-income housing works best when done in low-density distribution. Low density both in terms of the site/building itself, as well as the neighborhood density. I hope that you all know this! It's considered Best Practices, and I hope that as people in charge of deciding on these projects, you would know this.

I would like to ask you all if you have looked at the crime reports coming out of Miller Manor? If not, I would like to request that you please do so before Monday's vote. This is another thing I would expect you to know before making this decision. Miller Manor is a disaster. Miller Manor, as I understand it, has 105 one-bedroom units. Near North will have 39 more one-bedroom units. We are not talking about family housing here. Please take

a moment to look at the rest of the single-person supportive housing we have in our area... I can think of 6-7 Avalon houses (maybe more), the shelter, several properties owned by Dawn Farms, the Ozone house, 701 Miller, Miller Manor.... and now you want to add more? My research tells me we have over 200 of these units in about a 5 square-block area! Where did Best Practices go???

We are not a sacrificial neighborhood, just because we don't have the wealth and clout of a Burns Park. Affordability does not automatically mean we will continue to be a quiet, compliant dumping ground for all the single-unit supportive housing you can fit in here. I am extremely concerned that in the face of all this increased crime in our neighborhood, and all this density, and cuts in numbers of police officers, and cuts in support services that include those that support public housing, that you actually know all of this, and yet think that putting more of this in our neighborhood is a good idea for this city.

For the council members that do not represent our wards.... please consider the fact that you DO represent our city. And just because this is not happening in your neighborhood does not mean that it's not a BAD idea to concentrate this much density in one small area! We are saturated here.

We will be meeting as a neighborhood on Tuesday night to discuss these issues. This meeting had to be rescheduled from Saturday due to Rosh Hashanna. It is extremely unfortunate that our meeting will be a day after you vote on Near North. I am asking you to please, please take a step back from this project and look at the bigger picture. The research is clear on density, and this is a bad idea.

Above and beyond Near North, we will be discussing Miller Manor at our meeting. The management of this city-owned property is abysmal. We want something to be done about it. And we no longer wish to be considered the sacrificial neighborhood in future housing decisions.

Julie Roth
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

King, Carol

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 8:57 PM
To: Hieftje, John
Subject: for the bicycle aficionado at city hall

Hello Mr Mayor,

You are probably a fan of The Huffington Post already, but in case you missed it, I've got a link to David Byrne's Blogging that I thought might interest you.

David Byrne is a New Yorker most famous as the leader of an old rock band called The Talking Heads, but he's also an avid bicyclist on the streets of New York City.

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/david-byrne/an-excerpt-from-embicycle_b_293402.html

Sincerely,

David Paris

[REDACTED]
Ann Arbor

King, Carol

From: Greden, Leigh
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 9:42 PM
To: Beaudry, Jacqueline
Subject: Resolution for printing



Resolution against
revenue (Di...

**RESOLUTION OPPOSING 2010 STATE OF MICHIGAN BUDGET
PROPOSED BY HOUSE SPEAKER ANDY DILLON AND SENATE
REPUBLICANS**

WHEREAS, Democratic House Speaker Andy Dillon has tentatively agreed to a State of Michigan Budget proposal for fiscal year 2010 that was developed by Republican leaders of the Michigan Senate;

WHEREAS, the proposed Dillon-Republican Budget would slash statutory revenue sharing to Michigan municipalities by 30%;

WHEREAS, the proposed Dillon-Republican Budget would result in a dramatic reduction in statutory revenue sharing for the City of Ann Arbor in the amount of approximately \$1,200,000 per year;

WHEREAS, the drastic cuts to statutory revenue sharing proposed in the Dillon-Republican Budget would have devastating consequences on Michigan municipalities – including the City of Ann Arbor – by forcing reductions in spending for vital public services, including police protection, fire protection, park operations, and human services;

WHEREAS, the State of Michigan has already slashed statutory revenue sharing to Michigan municipalities by millions of dollars in recent years;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ann Arbor City Council opposes the State of Michigan budget for fiscal year 2010 proposed by House Speaker Andy Dillon and Senate Republicans;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ann Arbor City Council urges Senator Liz Brater, Representative Pam Byrnes, and Representative Rebekah Warren to vote against the State of Michigan budget for fiscal year 2010 proposed by House Speaker Andy Dillon and Senate Republicans;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ann Arbor City Council urges Governor Jennifer Granholm to veto any proposal to cut statutory revenue sharing to Michigan municipalities;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Administrator shall provide a copy of this resolution to Governor Granholm, Senator Brater, Representative Byrnes, Representative Warren, and the president of all local unions representing City of Ann Arbor employees; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ann Arbor City Council urges Senator Brater, Representative Byrnes, and Representative Warren to provide the City Council with a written summary of their position on the Dillon-Republican budget proposal.

Sponsored by: Councilmembers Leigh Greden, Margie Teall, Marcia Higgins, and Carsten Hohnke

Date: September 21, 2009

King, Carol

From: Greden, Leigh
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 9:43 PM
To: Beaudry, Jacqueline
Subject: USE THIS ONE INSTEAD



Resolution against
revenue (Di...

**RESOLUTION OPPOSING 2010 STATE OF MICHIGAN BUDGET
PROPOSED BY HOUSE SPEAKER ANDY DILLON AND SENATE
REPUBLICANS**

WHEREAS, Democratic House Speaker Andy Dillon has tentatively agreed to a State of Michigan Budget proposal for fiscal year 2010 that was developed by Republican leaders of the Michigan Senate;

WHEREAS, the proposed Dillon-Republican Budget would slash statutory revenue sharing to Michigan municipalities by 30%;

WHEREAS, the proposed Dillon-Republican Budget would result in a dramatic reduction in statutory revenue sharing for the City of Ann Arbor in the amount of approximately \$1,200,000 per year;

WHEREAS, the drastic cuts to statutory revenue sharing proposed in the Dillon-Republican Budget would have devastating consequences on Michigan municipalities – including the City of Ann Arbor – by forcing reductions in spending for vital public services, including police protection, fire protection, park operations, and human services;

WHEREAS, the State of Michigan has already slashed statutory revenue sharing to Michigan municipalities by millions of dollars in recent years;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ann Arbor City Council opposes the State of Michigan budget for fiscal year 2010 proposed by House Speaker Andy Dillon and Senate Republicans;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ann Arbor City Council urges Senator Liz Brater, Representative Pam Byrnes, and Representative Rebekah Warren to vote against the State of Michigan budget for fiscal year 2010 proposed by House Speaker Andy Dillon and Senate Republicans;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ann Arbor City Council urges Governor Jennifer Granholm to veto any proposal to cut statutory revenue sharing to Michigan municipalities;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Administrator shall provide a copy of this resolution to Governor Granholm, Senator Brater, Representative Byrnes, Representative Warren, and the president of all local unions representing City of Ann Arbor employees; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ann Arbor City Council urges Senator Brater, Representative Byrnes, and Representative Warren to provide the City Council with a written summary of their position on the Dillon-Republican budget proposal.

Sponsored by: Councilmembers Leigh Greden, Margie Teall, Marcia Higgins, and Carsten Hohnke



Date: September 21, 2009

King, Carol

From: Congress.org [congressorg@capwiz.com]
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 9:49 PM
To: Hieftje, John
Subject: Renew the PATRIOT Act? Tell Congress



September 21, 2009



Renew the PATRIOT Act?

Congress will begin debating the renewal of the PATRIOT Act this week. Some sections of the landmark surveillance law, passed in the wake of 9/11, will expire by the end of the year unless Congress votes to reauthorize them. Congressional leaders haven't said what they want to do, but a group of Democrats who think the law gives government too much snooping power hope to use the renewal as an opportunity to scale things back.

Visit the Congress.org [home page](#) to see stories and documents from around the Web about this week's topic.

Talk Back to Congress

Three provisions of the PATRIOT Act are scheduled to expire at the end of the year. President Barack Obama wants Congress to renew them.

Add Us

Don't forget to add congressorg@capwiz.com to your address book to ensure prompt delivery of this newsletter each week.

Hot Issues and Actions

[Health](#)

[Energy](#)

[Transportation](#)

[More Issues and Actions](#)

Signed, Sealed, Hand-Delivered — It's Yours!

Your opinion, that is. Did you know that you can have your

Some Democrats, led by Sen. Russ Feingold of Wisconsin, want to use the renewal as an opportunity to make broader changes in the law because they think it gives the government too much power to snoop.

Proponents of the PATRIOT Act, including many Republicans and the head of the FBI, say the law gives law enforcement much-needed flexibility to track terrorist activity in the U.S.

The elements that are set to expire include one that lets law enforcement attach a court-approved wiretap on an individual as opposed to a particular phone line, another that lowers the court standard of suspicion for allowing law enforcement to collect records in a terrorism investigation and a third that says law enforcement doesn't have to show a suspected terrorist has a connection to a foreign power to set up surveillance.

House and Senate committees will begin working on the issue this week.

Tell your lawmakers what you think about this week's topic.

Click here to write a letter in support:

[Renew the PATRIOT Act as is](#)

Click here to write a letter in opposition:

[Reform the PATRIOT Act](#)

What Do You Think?

Rasmussen recently asked 1,000 likely voters around the country for their views on missile defense.

How would you have answered?

[Share your thoughts](#) or [see the results](#).

Last week, we asked for your thoughts about tort reform.

[Eighty-three percent](#) of Congress.org subscribers who answered the question said they

message [hand-delivered](#) to the door of your Members of Congress? For a small fee per letter, we can give your letter "Extra Impact" to help make your voice heard. Select that option when filling out your message on any topic.

[Soapbox Alerts — Put Your Cause Online](#)

Want to make your voice heard concerning issues important to you? Get on your [SOAPBOX](#) and make it happen! Our Soapbox feature allows you to give exposure to your issue and lets other Congress.org users take action on the alerts you create.

support limiting the amount of money they can collect if they win a lawsuit after being injured by bad medical care. By contrast, only 63 percent of respondents in a scientifically conducted national poll by the Washington Post felt the same way.

Remember that while Internet questions are a good test of the intensity of feelings on a subject, they are not valid measures of broader public opinion.

Bills of the Week

H.R. 3567 would repeal the Defense of Marriage Act.

Click [here](#) to tell your Members of Congress what you think of this bill.

H.Con.Res. 185 would express the position of Congress that hearings should be held, and President Obama should provide details about the authority of "czars" in his administration.

Click [here](#) to tell your Members of Congress what you think of this bill.

How They Voted

Transportation spending bill

The Senate approved a bill to fund the departments of Transportation and Housing and Urban Development.

Vote: 73-25 in the Senate. The bill now heads to the president.

Rebuke of Rep. Joe Wilson

The House approved a resolution expressing disapproval of Wilson's remarks during a presidential speech.

Vote: 240-179 in the House. There will be no other action on this resolution.

Want to track votes cast by your Members? [Sign up](#) for our MegaVote newsletter.

Congress.org is a publication of Roll Call Inc., an Economist Group Business. Scott Montgomery, Managing Editor

Contact us

If you know anyone who might be interested in this newsletter, please forward this email or [subscribe here](#).

If you no longer wish to receive mail from us, please unsubscribe [here](#).

Congress.org
c/o Capitol Advantage
2751 Prosperity Ave, Ste 600
Fairfax, VA 22031

Congress.org is a publication of Roll Call Inc., an Economist Group Business. Scott Montgomery, Managing Editor.

Contact us



King, Carol

From: Jill Carmen [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 9:52 PM
To: Greden, Leigh
Cc: [REDACTED], Maria Bohe
Subject: "The Biggest Loser"

Hi Leigh.

My name is Jill Carmen and I'm the NBC publicist for "The Biggest Loser." Secia forwarded me your email asking whether press could be invited to the upcoming filming of your local contestant's send-off. We appreciate the offer but wanted to let you know that we don't have press at these events because this season (season 9) won't actually start airing until next January, 2010. And season 8 just premiered last week and won't finish airing until December 8, and we won't announce the new cast for season 9 until after the season 8 finale in December, so it would be too early for stories to begin running now on season 9 of the show before we've had a chance to announce the cast ourselves.

We would be happy to set up interviews with your season 9 contestant and their hometown press in late December, closer to the season 9 premiere, and look forward to arranging those then. Thank you for your understanding.

Best regards,

Jill Carmen/NBC Publicity

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Greden, Leigh <LGreden@a2gov.org>
Date: Mon, Sep 21, 2009 at 2:49 PM
Subject: RE: The Biggest Loser in Ann Arbor
To: secia stephens [REDACTED]

Hello:
Has the local press been alerted (annarbor.com and A2Journal)? They would surely like to cover this event. Thanks.
-Leigh Greden, Ann Arbor City Council

From: secia stephens [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Mon 9/21/2009 4:28 PM
To: Smith, Sandi; Briere, Sabra; Rapundalo, Stephen; Derezinski, Tony; Taylor, Christopher (Council); Greden, Leigh; Higgins, Marcia; Teall, Margie; Hohnke, Carsten; Anglin, Mike
Subject: The Biggest Loser in Ann Arbor

Hello,

My name is Secia Stephens and I am the Production Coordinator for the NBC television show, "The Biggest Loser." Two of our contestants on the upcoming season of the show are from Ann Arbor, MI and we are planning on filming their send off on Wednesday, September 23, 2009 and would like to invite you all to attend. The event is at 3475 Charing Cross, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 at 4:00PM. Please pass the word along and we would love to have as much support as possible. Please feel free to call or email with any questions and we hope to see you there!

Thank you very much for your time.

Best regards,

--
Sécia Stephens

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

King, Carol

From: Greden, Leigh
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 10:01 PM
To: *City Council Members (All)
Cc: Beaudry, Jacqueline; Bowden (King), Anissa; Fraser, Roger; Dempkowski, Angela A; Postema, Stephen
Subject: DC-3



Resolution against
revenue (Di...

**RESOLUTION OPPOSING 2010 STATE OF MICHIGAN BUDGET
PROPOSED BY HOUSE SPEAKER ANDY DILLON AND SENATE
REPUBLICANS**

WHEREAS, Democratic House Speaker Andy Dillon has tentatively agreed to a State of Michigan Budget proposal for fiscal year 2010 that was developed by Republican leaders of the Michigan Senate;

WHEREAS, the proposed Dillon-Republican Budget would slash statutory revenue sharing to Michigan municipalities by 30%;

WHEREAS, the proposed Dillon-Republican Budget would result in a dramatic reduction in statutory revenue sharing for the City of Ann Arbor in the amount of approximately \$1,200,000 per year;

WHEREAS, the drastic cuts to statutory revenue sharing proposed in the Dillon-Republican Budget would have devastating consequences on Michigan municipalities – including the City of Ann Arbor – by forcing reductions in spending for vital public services, including police protection, fire protection, park operations, and human services;

WHEREAS, the State of Michigan has already slashed statutory revenue sharing to Michigan municipalities by millions of dollars in recent years;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ann Arbor City Council opposes the State of Michigan budget for fiscal year 2010 proposed by House Speaker Andy Dillon and Senate Republicans;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ann Arbor City Council urges Senator Liz Brater, Representative Pam Byrnes, and Representative Rebekah Warren to vote against the State of Michigan budget for fiscal year 2010 proposed by House Speaker Andy Dillon and Senate Republicans;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ann Arbor City Council urges Governor Jennifer Granholm to veto any proposal to cut statutory revenue sharing to Michigan municipalities;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Administrator shall provide a copy of this resolution to Governor Granholm, Senator Brater, Representative Byrnes, Representative Warren, and the president of all local unions representing City of Ann Arbor employees; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ann Arbor City Council urges Senator Brater, Representative Byrnes, and Representative Warren to provide the City Council with a written summary of their position on the Dillon-Republican budget proposal.

Sponsored by: Councilmembers Leigh Greden, Margie Teall, and Marcia Higgins
Date: September 21, 2009

King, Carol

From: Smith, Sandi
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 10:42 PM
To: Anglin, Mike; Beaudry, Jacqueline; *City Council Members (All); Fraser, Roger; Postema, Stephen
Subject: RE: DC-2
Attachments: DC 2 SLS Final.doc

Sandi Smith
Ann Arbor City Council
First Ward
734-302-3011

From: Anglin, Mike
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 10:42 AM
To: Beaudry, Jacqueline; *City Council Members (All); Fraser, Roger; Postema, Stephen
Subject: FW: DC-2

Hello all,

This is the final DC-2 Amended Resolution which will be presented this evening. Jackie would you kindly make paper copies for the entire Council ? They can be distributed when the resolution is introduced at the meeting.

Thanks to all.

Mike

Thank you
Mike Anglin
549 South First Street
Ann Arbor, Mi 48103
e-mail;
[REDACTED]

From: michael anglin [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 10:03 AM
To: Anglin, Mike
Subject: Re:DC-2

FYI

--
Mike Anglin
Councilman 5th Ward
Ann Arbor
549 South First Street
[REDACTED]

DC-2 Substitute Resolution

Resolution to Disclose Council Electronic Communications without Charge to the Public

Whereas, on September 8, 2009, City Council amended its rules to place limitations on electronic communications during Council meetings, and the routine disclosure of such communications in the future will help the public monitor compliance with the amended rules; and

Whereas, it is in the public interest to disclose all electronic communications to and/or from Council members during Council meetings for; and

Whereas, many members of the community want to view these electronic communications and rapid disclosure of all the electronic communications will help restore public confidence in City Government; and

Whereas, the disclosure of such communications should be done in an orderly way so as to minimize staff time and costs; and

Whereas, it is more cost-effective that the disclosure of such communications be done systematically, rather than in response to Freedom of Information Act requests that may be made by the public in the future;

RESOLVED, that, going forward and beginning with the Council meeting of September 21, 2009, the City Clerk shall append copies of all electronic communications defined as public records under the Freedom of Information Act sent to and/or from members of Council during a City Council meeting, with deletions authorized by that Act, to the official minutes of that meeting when published on the City's website.

RESOLVED, That City Council directs the City Administrator to provide an explanation of the basis under the Michigan Freedom of Information Act or other statute for the determination that the electronic communication or a portion thereof, is exempt from disclosure, including the statutory provision authorizing the withholding information from the public. If the City Administrator determines that the contents of an electronic communication, in whole or in part, is subject to a disclosure exemption under the Act, the email, including the header information, will be included in the materials disclosed with the exempt portions redacted.

Date: Monday, Sept. 21, 2009

Sponsored by: Councilmember Michael Daniel Anglin, Ward 5 and Councilmember Sabra Briere, Ward 1, City of Ann Arbor

Deleted: Whereas, over the past several months a large volume of Council electronic communications has been disclosed to the public in response to a variety of Freedom of Information Act requests, and therefore has already been subject to deletions by the staff authorized by that Act; and¶

Deleted: as far back as records of these communications still exist

Deleted: effective immediately

Deleted: RESOLVED, that by November 1, 2009, the City shall post on its website copies of all electronic communications to and/or from Council members that have already been disclosed to the public in response to Freedom of Information Act requests made on or after March 27, 2009 to this date.¶

¶
RESOLVED, that by December 1, 2009, the City shall post on its website copies of all electronic communications to and/or from Council members during Council meetings, with deletions authorized by that Act, from January 1, 2008 to this date that have not already been disclosed as above.¶

Deleted: RESOLVED, that by January 1, 2010, the City shall post on its website copies of all electronic communications to and/or from Council members during Council meetings, with deletions authorized by that Act, from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007 that have not already been disclosed as above.¶

¶
RESOLVED, that by the first day of each succeeding month, the same procedure shall be followed for each prior calendar year of such electronic communications until all such communications have been disclosed.¶

Deleted: :


Deleted: Sunday

Deleted: 0

King, Carol

From: hallmark.com [notifications@hallmark.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2009 12:08 AM
To: Higgins, Marcia
Subject: [POSSIBLE SPAM] You've received A Hallmark E-Card!

Importance: Low



A Friend has sent you a Hallmark E-Card.

If you recognize this name, click the link to see your E-Card.

http://www.hallmark.com/ECardWeb/ECV.jsp?a=EG0694272732475M245925860Y&product_id=

If this name is not familiar to you and you're concerned about online security, please use the following steps:

1. Visit <http://www.hallmark.com/getecard>
2. Enter your e-mail address in the Original Recipient's E-Mail Address box.
3. Enter EG0694262772475 in the Confirmation Number box.
4. Click Display Greeting.

Want to send an E-Card too ? Visit www.hallmark.com/ecards

To view Hallmark's privacy policy or for questions, visit www.hallmark.com, and click the links at the bottom of the page.

Hallmark Cards, Inc. 2501 McCee P.O. Box 419034, Kansas City, MO 64141