

# City Council

Meeting Time: 07-02-18 19:00


## eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
City Council	07-02-18 19:00	74	6	3	2	1

### Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

#### Overall Sentiment



# City Council


07-02-18 19:00

Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
CA-2 18-1008 Resolution to Approve Professional Services Agreement for Third Party Building, Rental and Planning Services with Carlisle/Wortman Associates, Inc. (RFP No. 18-16) (8 Vote Required)	1	0	1	0
DC-2 18-1141 Resolution to Order Election, Approve Charter Amendment of the Ann Arbor City Charter Sections to Establish Non-Partisan Nomination and Election for the Offices of Mayor and Council and Determine Ballot Language for this Amendment (7 Votes Required)	1	1	0	0
DC-3 18-1142 Resolution Regarding Citizen Input and Process for City Street-Related Improvement Projects	1	1	0	0
F-3 18-1134 Communication from Veronica Hannah regarding her Resignation to the Environmental Commission - Mayor's Office	1	0	0	1
DC-5 18-1149 Resolution to Approve the Explanatory Caption to the Ballot Question Concerning the Center of the City Charter Amendment and to Authorize Submission to the Governor and Attorney General for Approval for the November 6, 2018 Ballot	2	1	1	0

## Sentiments for All Agenda Items


The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

### Overall Sentiment



### Agenda Item: eComments for CA-2 18-1008 Resolution to Approve Professional Services Agreement for Third Party Building, Rental and Planning Services with Carlisle/Wortman Associates, Inc. (RFP No. 18-16) (8 Vote Required)

### Overall Sentiment



**Jeff Hayner**


Location:

Submitted At: 7:11pm 07-01-18

How long are we going to outsource these processes? Is it really in the best interests of our long-range planning and development to not have in-house staff?

Agenda Item: eComments for DC-2 18-1141 Resolution to Order Election, Approve Charter Amendment of the Ann Arbor City Charter Sections to Establish Non-Partisan Nomination and Election for the Offices of Mayor and Council and Determine Ballot Language for this Amendment (7 Votes Required)

#### Overall Sentiment



#### Jeff Hayner


Location:

Submitted At: 4:17am 07-02-18

Long overdue.

Agenda Item: eComments for DC-3 18-1142 Resolution Regarding Citizen Input and Process for City Street-Related Improvement Projects

#### Overall Sentiment



#### Jeff Hayner


Location:

Submitted At: 4:20am 07-02-18

A good start, there are many opportunities to improve public notice, and we should always look for them.

Agenda Item: eComments for F-3 18-1134 Communication from Veronica Hannah regarding her Resignation to the Environmental Commission - Mayor's Office

## Overall Sentiment



### Jeff Hayner


Location:

Submitted At: 4:28am 07-02-18

There is no attachment, where is the letter?

Agenda Item: eComments for DC-5 18-1149 Resolution to Approve the Explanatory Caption to the Ballot Question Concerning the Center of the City Charter Amendment and to Authorize Submission to the Governor and Attorney General for Approval for the November 6, 2018 Ballot

## Overall Sentiment



### Karen Hart

Location:

Submitted At: 2:58pm 07-02-18

Provide voters with facts, context, consequences and transparency by adding this explanatory caption. We are not deficient in parks; we are deficient in affordable and workforce housing. Revenues are growing more slowly than expenses and buildings generate revenues, while parks do not. There is not enough money for existing park maintenance, so funds are not feasible to be shifted away from existing parks for a new one (Parks Commission recognized this). Given the very, very large of expense incurred to engineer the Library Lane Garage to support a multi-story building, one could argue that to not build a building would be shirking city's fiduciary responsibility. A person would not have to support the proposed building -- just some building -- to vote against only a park. Please be transparent and include the caption.

### Jeff Hayner

Location:

Submitted At: 4:26am 07-02-18

Unnecessary, as the petitioner's ballot language has already been approved. The question is quite clear, presenting 2 choices, and not in need of explanation. Should the city use the land for Park, Yes, or No?