

ATTACHMENT A

MAPLEWOOD AVENUE

2010 Annual Traffic Calming Survey, Constructed in July 2009

44 Annual Survey Postcards Mailed in June 2010

3 survey letters were returned to us: Vacant, Return to Sender

Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied Indicated on Response (2 of 17 cards = 11.76%)

- I do not notice any differences in the traffic speed.
- Undecided. While some traffic has slowed, people are still running the stop sign @ the corner of Maplewood & Norwood; as are they speeding down Maplewood & Norwood.

SATISFIED COMMENTS (9 of 17 cards = 52.94%)

- There are still a lot of cars cutting through, but they are a bit slower. Now there is a problem with semi trucks unloading in the night – (3:30am, 1am, 5am) very loud.
- Traffic is slower on the street, especially through the Norwood-Maplewood intersection. Thank you!
- Still surprised by how fast cars still go.
- Besides slowing down drivers, there's a lot less traffic cutting through.

DISSATISFIED COMMENTS (6 of 17 cards = 35.29%)

- The 4 corners at Maplewood/Norwood – is practically ignored. 3" does not deter traffic at intersection (stop signs there).
- They are not tall enough to slow traffic to a reasonable speed.
- Need one more hump between Norwood – Packard.
- They are an improvement, but I'd like to see them mounded higher (and more harsh).
- Humps not big enough. People still drive through too quickly.

DEVONSHIRE ROAD

2010 Annual Traffic Calming Survey, Constructed in July 2009

31 Annual Survey Postcards Mailed in June 2010

1 survey letter returned to us "Return to Sender"

Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied Indicated on Response (2 of 17 = 11.76%)

- I am not dissatisfied. It has passing grade.
- Impedes traffic flow. Uncomfortable at any speed over 5 mph.

SATISFIED COMMENTS (9 of 17 cards = 52.94%)

- My only concern is that drivers accelerate after the last bump between Arlington and Avon. We could use a 25 mph sign at 2211. Thank you!!
- Need more speed humps. At least 3 more to prevent speed up after momentary slowing.
- We are satisfied with the Traffic Calming devices but we wish we had 2 more between Belmont & Londonderry. Just Wed night while out walking I had to jump up on the grass as a car veered toward me while she was

talking on a cell phone. I wished I had a rock in my hand to throw at it & that was on the straight part by 2317.

- It seems to slow down the cars' speeds in this stretch of Devonshire.

DISSATISFIED COMMENTS (6 of 17 cards = 35.29%)

- They are a real nuisance when driving to & from work. Please remove. The cars do not drive any slower.
- I am unhappy that you placed the bump so close to the corner of my driveway. It should have been centered in the middle of both lots. Sorry I voted for it.
- Not necessary & the sound of acceleration by cars & trucks is annoying.
- I never wanted speed bumps they are an inconvenience & do not solve problems but create problems. But, they are in my life & I will deal with them.
- They should be higher (like Iroquois street) – most people just speed right over them.
- There are multiple speeders – still.

GLADSTONE AVENUE

2010 Annual Traffic Calming Survey, Construction in July 2009

57 Annual Survey Postcards Mailed in June 2010

Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied Indicated on Response (1 of 32 cards = 3.13%)

- It was a watered-down compromise that accomplished little. I think the City would've been better off not doing anything and saving the money. Maybe the rules should be changed to avoid such situations.

SATISFIED COMMENTS (18 of 32 cards = 56.25%)

- Raised platform is very low and does not slow cars very well.
- The traffic calming devices work pretty well. NO additional devices, please! Thank you.
- Don't put in speed bumps (humps) on road (Gladstone). Leave as is.
- But it needs to be higher as people still run the stop signs in both directions on Independence. Thanks.
- There is NO need for additional work or dollars spent.
- However, it would take a mountain to get people to slow down.
- Major improvement. Tx.
- People do seem to be more likely to stop at the stop sign.
- And very relieved that they consist only of one raised intersection at a 3-way stop!
- It's been good for Gladstone, but it hasn't changed problems on Independence. "Speed Bumps" sign helps.

DISSATISFIED COMMENTS (13 of 32 cards = 40.63%)

- Improved at intersection, but many cars still do not come to a full stop. No improvement on rest of Gladstone, where many cars exceed the 25 mph limit.
- Token traffic calming device has no effect on Gladstone traffic or speed. Too bad our neighborhood was so split on such an important initiative.
- Devices at stop sign are of no value – just an elevated road. Does nothing to induce stopping. Waste of money.
- Cars are still going too fast from Packard into N.B. Gladstone.
- It was my understanding that a main concern was that cars were driving too fast between Packard and Independence on Gladstone. The “raised intersection” at Gladstone and Independence does not address that concern. Since there is a stop sign at that intersection, a “raised intersection is redundant. People should STOP, not slow down. Seems like a waste of money! Enforce the stop sign.
- Traffic on Gladstone busy at times fast + approaching dense other times (rarely) quiet and safe.
- The intersection is a 3 way STOP not a “slowdown” for speed bumps – I don’t think people take it seriously = it is not raised enough.
- No traffic calming devices were needed on Gladstone. The one that was installed at the intersection was useless since it was at a 3-way stop. This was a waste of city money, unfortunately. Once debate started among residents on street – whole proposal should have been reassessed.
- Only 1 used and it’s @ a stop sign. Now most people come to a rolling stop.
- I think the device is totally unnecessary – especially at an intersection.
- Needs another speed hump at stop.
- Since the installment, I have seen cars glide across the intersection without stopping.
- I am disappointed in my neighbors for not approving devices along all of Gladstone Ave.

JEWETT AVENUE

2010 Annual Traffic Calming Survey, Construction in July 2009

54 Annual Survey Postcards Mailed in June 2010

2 Letter “Return to Sender” as a result of being Vacant

SATISFIED COMMENTS (14 of 18 cards = 77.78%)

- It seems to slow down traffic a bit.
- Great! Next best improvement would be parking on one side of the street only.
- Could there be a sign near Packard warning of speed bump? I live at the first speed bump and some cars don’t seem to realize it’s there and don’t slow down.
- They have slowed traffic considerably. Thank you!

- I have a driveway close to light @ Packard. Feel safer pulling out b/c cars aren't speeding to make the light.
- Seems to have reduced traffic. Definitely slowed it. Thanks!
- The "bumps" have been very effective. Thank you!
- I can get to my mailbox without getting run over.

DISSATISFIED COMMENTS (4 of 18 cards = 22.22%)

- The traffic is moving closer to the speed limit, but there are still way too many cars using our street as a cut through. I think that one end of the street needs to be blocked off. The Packard end.
- We still have the same amount of vehicular traffic on this side road.
- Waste of money – why don't you pave the streets that are still dirt – for example "Charing Cross" & by the school! Very low cars scrape the speed bumps!
- Did not slow traffic flow or speed!!