



Legislation Text

File #: 13-1263, **Version:** 2

Resolution to Waive Attorney-Client Privilege

Whereas, Questions have arisen about whether the September 16, 2013 vote to appoint Al McWilliams to the DDA can be challenged, on the grounds that the confirmation motion should have required 8 votes;

Whereas, The public resolution of this question will not expose the City to material legal risk or unduly constrain future Council actions; and

Whereas, The public will be best served by the public resolution of these questions;

RESOLVED, That City Council requests that the City Attorney draft an opinion by October 16, 2013 for the benefit of the public that answers whether the September 16, 2013 vote to appoint Al McWilliams to the DDA can be challenged, on the grounds that the confirmation motion should have required 8 votes; and

RESOLVED, That the City Attorney file this opinion with the City Clerk.

Sponsored by: Councilmembers Briere and Warpehoski