

City of Ann Arbor

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	09-0	466	Version:	1	Name:	6/15/09 Ann Arbor Connector Information	Background
Туре:	Rep	Report or Communication			Status:	Filed	
File created:	6/15	/2009			In control:	City Council	
On agenda:	6/15	/2009			Final action:	6/15/2009	
Enactment date	:				Enactment #:		
Title:	Ann Arbor Connector Background Information						
Sponsors:							
Indexes:							
Code sections:							
Attachments:							
Date	Ver.	Action B	у		Act	ion	Result
6/15/2009	1	City Co	uncil		Received and Filed		

Ann Arbor Connector Background Information

StafbthefourpartneragenciesAnnArborDowntownDevelopmentAuthority(AADDA)AnnArborTransportation Authority(AATA),CityofAnnArbor(City)andtheUniversityofMichigan(UM),hasagreedtomoveforwardwith the execution of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with each party committing to a share of the project. Initially, equals hare sevolved as an approach to funding the effort. In the times ince the preliminary understanding much has changed. Both the nature and cost of the project have emerged overtime. This memodes cribes the evolution of the current funding concept.

First conceived in 2006, the cost of the feasibility study was envisioned to be in the \$250,000.00 range. Roger Hewitt, AADDA, and EliCooper, Citystaffmetwith GregCook, former Executive Director of AATA, and discussed this undertaking. Greg committed the AATA to funding up to \$200,000.00 of the project from AATA's Federal TransitAdministration (FTA) funds. It was thought at that time there maining three entities would share equally in the difference.

The project finally moved into the RFP phase in summer of 2008. It was agreed by all entities an MOU was desirableforthiseffort. It was understood the MOU would serve as a mechanism to define each entities' share of funding of this effort.

ThefourpartnersinitiallyanticipatedfundingaPhaseleffortat\$250,000.00.AATAwasseenascommittingupto \$100,000.00 of that amount from their FTA funds and the other partners equally sharing the remaining \$150,000.00. In spite of staff's belief the work could be accomplished for a lesser amount the three proposals received were well in excess of the amount anticipated.

In light of the increased costs discovered through the selection process, the partner agencies' staff met and decided to proceed with the process. The procurement has been conducted by AATA's procurement office to assure compliance with Federal contracting requirements. The procurement has followed there quirements of the FTA. Review of the three proposals and reliance on FTA's procedural requirements, the selection committee arrived upon a preferred team, URS, Inc. Formal negotiations ensued and ultimately, a bestand final offerwas received. At this time as are sult of negotiations and procedural requirements the project's Cost became known,

File #: 09-0466, Version: 1

\$640,000.00.

Inthelatefall2008, the staffs of the four entities preliminarily agreed to proceed with the feasibility study based on equal shares. This resulted in the language of an earlier MOU and equal shares of approximately \$160,000.

During the period between that initial understanding and today a few issues have arisen that have resulted in a revised cost-sharing defined in the proposed MOU Most significant was AATA identifying an additional amount of FTA funding and committing to support ing the project at the \$320,000.00 level. The UM remains committed at the \$160,000.00 level, based on the earlier equals hares basis. The balance is to be divided between the City and the AADDA at \$80,000.00 each. It is important to note, the UM staff understand sthe increased AATA commitment changes the "equal shares" understanding and result in revised commitments for the City and the AADDA.

There has been no discussion of a credit towards the City's share reflecting the city's commitment of a project manager. Atthistime the AATA and UM have indicated agreement with the draft MOU. Combined this represents 3/4 of the total cost for the project. The AADDA and City are being asked to approve funding for their respective shares. The DDA staff has this items cheduled for their Transportation Committee's consideration on May 27, 2009.

Prepared By: Eli Cooper, Transportation Program Manager

Reviewed by: Sue F. McCormick, Public Services Administrator

Approved by: Roger W. Fraser, City Administrator