



Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** 18-2026      **Version:** 1      **Name:** 12/3/18 Resolution to Provide Support for Best-Value/Negotiated Agreements

**Type:** Resolution      **Status:** Passed

**File created:** 12/3/2018      **In control:** City Council

**On agenda:** 12/3/2018      **Final action:** 12/3/2018

**Enactment date:** 12/3/2018      **Enactment #:** R-18-479

**Title:** Resolution to Provide Support for Best-Value/Negotiated Agreements

**Sponsors:** Elizabeth Nelson, Kathy Griswold

**Indexes:**

**Code sections:**

**Attachments:**

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
12/3/2018	1	City Council	Approved	Pass

Resolution to Provide Support for Best-Value/Negotiated Agreements

The following resolution requests that the City Administrator provide additional detail for Council’s consideration when best-value/negotiated contracts are presented for Council approval. Council’s fiduciary responsibility to the public is best served when Council can review the criteria used to select a firm when the selection is not based solely on lowest price, and be able to confirm that best value for the expenditure of public funds is obtained.

**Budget and Fiscal Impact:** This resolution has no impact on the budget.

Reviewed by: Howard S. Lazarus, City Administrator

Whereas, The City of Ann Arbor frequently uses requests for proposals to solicit professional and other consultant services using a best value methodology;

Whereas, City staff employ a matrix of evaluation factors in determining which proposals best meet the needs of the procurement;

Whereas, Council relies on the professional experience of city staff to review, evaluate, and recommend contracts to Council; and

Whereas, Council is best served in exercising its fiduciary responsibilities by understanding the selection methodology and basis underlying staff’s recommendations, particularly when staff determines that the lowest-cost proposal does not provide the best value to the city and the public;

RESOLVED, That the City Administrator will ensure that in support of contract award recommendations, staff will provide as part of the Council resolution a discussion of method by which the best value determination was made, including the criteria that support of the recommendation, a summary of any numeric scoring used in the evaluation, the resulting rank-ordering of qualified

offerors, and the reasons any offerors were deemed to be non-responsive and/or non-responsible.

Sponsored by: Councilmembers Nelson and Griswold