

# City of Ann Arbor

301 E. Huron St. Ann Arbor, MI 48104 http://a2gov.legistar.com/C alendar.aspx

# **Meeting Minutes**

# **Public Market Advisory Commission**

Thursday, February 19, 2015 5:30 PM Larcom City Hall, 301 E Huron St,
Second floor, City Council Chambers

A CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 5:37 p.m.

B ROLL CALL

Present: 3 - Aimee Germain, Jillian Lada, and Karlene Goetz

Absent: 2 - David Santacroce, and Peter Woolf

C APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Approved as presented

- D FIRST PUBLIC COMMENTARY (AGENDA ITEMS ONLY) (3 Minutes per Speaker)
- **E APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS**
- **E-1** <u>15-0192</u> Public Market Advisory Commission Meeting Minutes of January 15,

2015

Approved by the Commission and forwarded to the City Council due back on

3/24/2015

E-2 15-0225 Public Market Advisory Commission Meeting Minutes of February 11,

2015

Approved by the Commission and forwarded to the City Council due back on

3/24/2015

- **F** PRESENTATIONS
- G REGULAR BUSINESS (AGENDA ITEMS)
- G-1 Architectural Firm Presentation Review

PMAC Architecture Firm Feedback for City Staff:

The Public Market Advisory Commission concluded that they would not make a recommendation of one architecture firm over the other - but rather felt that

given the appropriate guidance either firm would be capable of doing the job well

#### **Kohler Pros:**

- 1. If given same information and consultation on the needs/wants of the vendors and community, then presumably they would be more than willing to incorporate that same knowledge (had by Mitchell Mouat) into its site design proposal.
- 2. Agrarian design fits market well.
- 3. Cohesive project represented the market with new additions as a whole unit.
- 4. Could bring new ideas and new perspectives to the project since they are new to city projects.

### **Kohler Cons:**

- 1. Designs overlooked key elements such as traffic flow.
- 2. Solar panels were placed on north side of structure in design.
- 3. No history of projects with the City of Ann Arbor to date.

#### Mitchell Mouat Pros:

- 1. Strong community viewpoint.
- 2. Acknowledged complexity of the problem and seemed willing to engage in solutions.
- 3. More likely to guide a more inclusive process to yield a more useful space.
- 4. Have had experience in the space itself.

#### Mitchell Mouat Cons:

- 1. Caution against any preference to this firm because of their insider status within community and role in DDA.
- 2. No substantial design included for idea of what a new structure would look like.
- 3. Not as interested in the industrial/modern design that was suggested.

PMAC Recommended Project Information for City Staff (to be used in creating the Scope of Work to be given to the chosen architectural firm):

### **PMAC Overall Project Priorities:**

- 1. Increased stall space access for year-round market: summer and winter.
- 2. Creation of a building to serve as a solution for winterization.

#### **PMAC Project Function Priorities:**

- 1. Vendor stall space for winter and summer.
- 2. Ease of use by vendors and customers.
- 3. Community space.
- 4. Versatility throughout seasons, market and non-market days.
- 5. Potential to function as a rental space (this is not the primary desired function, but rather an added bonus).

### **PMAC Project Design Element Priorities:**

- 1. Agrarian theme blending with current market structures.
- 2. Open air space in the summer.
- 3. Warm and inviting building in the winter.
- 4. Transparency through use of clear materials (such as glass garage doors).
- 5. Natural lighting.

- 6. Highlighting the market as park space landscaping elements.
- 7. Choices for sustainable design.
- **G-2** 15-0223 Wednesday Evening Market 2015 Proposed Rule Updates

**Approved** 

## G-3 Budget Update

Market Manager Sarah DeWitt gave the commissioners a brief update on the budget, which will remain much the same for the upcoming 2016 fiscal year. More information will be provided at the next meeting.

## H NEW BUSINESS (NON-AGENDA ITEMS)

#### I REPORTS

## I-1 15-0193 Market Manager Report

Received and Filed

## J SECOND PUBLIC COMMENT (NOT LIMITED TO AGENDA ITEMS)

Market Vendor Jan Upston expressed interest in the process for vendor input regarding the winterization project and a desire for clarification on the process for new vendor applications.

Market Vendor Bruce Upston expressed concern about eliminating the turn around between the market and the sand lot as a part of the winterization project.

Market Vendor Nathan Lada discussed the need to update operating rules in conjuction with the winterization project and voiced the desire for a pedestrian focused design.

## L ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 6:55 p.m.