Ann Arbor City Council Special Session: November 5, 2020 Email Redactions List Pursuant to Council Resolution R-09-386

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G
1	Sent Time	Received Time	<u>TO</u>	From	<u>CC</u>	Redactions	Reason for Redaction
					_		
2	7:47 PM		City Council	Kai Petainen	Ryan Stanton	Email address	Privacy
3	7:52 PM		Kathy Griswold, Anne Bannister Anne Bannister, Vince	Vince Caruso		Email address, address	Privacy
4	8:17 PM		Caruso	Jacqueline Beaudry		Email address, address	Privacy
5	8:46 PM		Ali Ramlawi	Jacqueline Beaudry			
6	8:51 PM		Jacqueline Beaudry	Ali Ramlawi			
7	9:22 PM		Ali Ramlawi	Allison Buck		Email address	Privacy
8	9:24 PM		Ali Ramlawi	Debra Mexicotte		Email address	Privacy
9	10:51 PM		Ali Ramlawi	Jacqueline Beaudry			
10	10:58 PM		Jacqueline Beaudry	Ali Ramlawi			
11	11:42 PM		Jane Lumm	Kai Petainen		Email address	Privacy
12	12:01 AM		Jacqueline Beaudry	Jane Lumm	City Council		

From: Kai Petainen

Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2020 7:47 PM

To: CityCouncil
Cc: Ryan J Stanton

Subject: A huge thanks to all of you for your service.

This message was sent from outside of the City of Ann Arbor. Please do not click links, open attachments, or follow directions unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

CM Eaton. We did not agree on a lot of issues, but you are wickedly smart. There were times that you would be the logical voice of reason where you'd state something to the effect of: "it would be nice to do this, but we legally can't do that". You would step us back into reality and your perspective on that, was important. You are a leader (an opposition leader, but still a leader). And you are smart at thinking ahead – that is you were really good at strategizing. I admire your skillset and I would never want to play a game of chess against you, as I'm sure you'd destroy me. Before I became an American, you would always say something like... "Kai, you deliver comments in a nice Canadian way". I hope you can take the nice Canadian side of me that wishes a sincere thanks for your service.

CM Bannister. You care about people and you care about Ann Arbor. That is obvious. You worked hard and you were probably one of the most shocking CMs, as you weren't afraid to hold people accountable. You know from my endorsement how I wanted you to stay, and I am sad to see you go even though we disagreed at times. I remember one funny moment with you. I had to go to the police station for some matter and I had to walk around City Hall to get there. You saw me and you opened the door so I could take short-cut through City Hall, and you escorted me to the police station. I realized afterwards that you seemed a bit TOO HAPPY to send me to the police station.

CM Lumm. You are LOVED by my family. I would go to Canada and watch City Council and my family would gather around the computer each time you spoke! I think it's fair to say that my whole family is going to miss you at council. Personally, what I admired a lot about you, was for your prepared comments. You did the research, you thought through the issues, and you provided the historical perspective on those issues. And even if I disagreed with you, I understood why you felt the way you did on an issue. You brought vital thought-provoking skills to council and I admire you for that – correction, my family admires you.

CM Smith. Of everyone at council, you were the best at providing clear, concise, no BS comments. Some of my favorite moments with you would be right after the City Council meeting, and you would tell me in 5 words or less how you felt about the meeting. I loved those moments. You cut straight to the point and if meetings ran until 3am, I'm 100% certain that it was never your fault. You are your own individual person, but if anyone at council reminded me of CM Krapohl and his endearing qualities, it was you. I hope my observation is taken with the kindest and sincerest gratitude for the work that you did (and CM Krapohl) and of your fantastic character.

CM Ackerman. You amazed me in a good way. Your personal struggles went into the public spectrum in a very visible way. But how you responded to that, and as an extension how kindly you have treated others and how you have led at city council, you have demonstrated an incredible amount of honesty, humility, advocacy and courage. There have been times when CMs have belittled us 3-minute speakers and you have stood up and have defended us for speaking our mind – even if you politically disagreed with our opinions, you defended our position to speak up. Your personal character is absolutely refreshing, you are heartwarming and an inspiration to me. Out of all the CMs leaving City Council, I will miss you the most at that table.

Jack, Anne, Jane, Chip, and Zach – all of you were at my Naturalization Ceremony. I am going to remember ALL of you fondly for being there on that day with me. Of all the memories I have at City Council, my naturalization will always be

the dearest to me, and I am thankful for all of you for being there. A naturalization is similar to a wedding party, you never forget who was in it, and I'll never forget you.

Thankfully, my naturalization gave me the power to vote. I just voted in my first Presidential election. I felt empowered as I voted to remove a racist-immoral-bully out of the White House. Tonight, President Trump attacked Democracy and the voting process. It's very sad to see (based on the comments tonight) how Republicans have endorsed this Dictatorship, and how they have turned their back on the Constitution, Democracy and the voting process.

Needless to say, I'm looking forward to seeing President Biden in the White House.

Thank you all for your service, and I hope all of you stay engaged in local issues.

-just kai, Ann Arbor

BTW, a huge thanks to City Clerk, Jaqueline Beaudry, for what you and your team has done in these elections. A huge thanks. Ann Arbor is super lucky to have you here.

From:		Vince Caruso
Sent:		Thursday, November 05, 2020 7:52 PM
То:		Griswold, Kathy;Bannister, Anne
Subjec	t:	Re: Tried again and still not recognized Fwd: I was on line and not allowed to commer tonight
		outside of the City of Ann Arbor. Please do not click links, open attachments, or follow directions aree of this email and know the content is safe.
	ery happy.	and did press *6 to comment.
		PM Griswold, Kathy <kgriswold@a2gov.org> wrote:</kgriswold@a2gov.org>
	What number are you	u using to call in?
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	From: Vince Caruso	
	Date: Thursday, No	vember 5, 2020 at 7:44 PM
	• •	yCouncil@a2gov.org>
	·	and still not recognized Fwd: I was on line and not allowed to comment
		outside of the City of Ann Arbor. Please do not click links, open attachments, or follow directions arce of this email and know the content is safe.
	I called back and wa	as still not recognized!
	Sorry the phone sys	stem at City Hall is not working well.
	Thanks, Vince	
	Origi	nal Message
	From: Vince (Caruso <vrcaruso@comcast.net></vrcaruso@comcast.net>

To: City C	Council <citycouncil@a2gov.org></citycouncil@a2gov.org>
Date: 11/	/05/2020 7:30 PM
Subject: I	was on line and not allowed to comment tonight

I did call and was online pressed #6 and did not get a chance to talk

My comments below if I could have comment.

Thanks, Vince

Vince Caruso



Founder Coord'ing ACWG

Former Exe Comm Member SC HVG

Please vote DC 4 to start the petition EPA for Superfund status for the Gelman/Danaher Toxic waist site of 1,4-Dioxane which is a likely human carcinogen as designated by EPA. If you don't act to involve EPA, **taxpayers** will be forced to pay millions to clean up this Gelman Pollution site because of what Gelman has left us and refuses to clean up - an unacceptable large amount of the toxins still in our once pristine aquifer.

Gelman/Danaher is the Responsible Party for this cleanup and is a \$140 Billion company.

Danaher/Danaher is on the hook for this cleanup according to several comments by Mitch Adelman, head of the MDEQ/EGEL Jackson Office, in MDEQ public meetings when I, other CARD members and others asked.

City of Ann Arbor voted against this CJ, Ann Arbor Charter Township unanimous voted to reject, CARD Group Unanimously voted against, Sierra Club Huron Valley Group, Ann Arbor Environmental Commission, Allen's Creek Watershed Group all voted to reject this 4 th CJ.

<u>There have been any supportive comments</u> for this proposed CJ from constituent callers in all the recent past public hearings for the CJ thus far.

Economic research has shown that when you have **uncertainty** with a contaminated site, that is the **least protective** option for the economy of the community.

Dr. Rober Bailey a PhD inorganic chemist for over 40 years and CARD Member, has done Fate and Transport analysis of many chemicals including 1,4 Dioxane for a living, and has shown in an analysis that 29ppb may be a action level for VI into basements of homes and businesses. MDEQ/EGLE has evauated this analysis and stated, with some assumptions like wet basements year round which we have many of, it may be a accurate number.

We currently have 22 ppb in the near-surface groundwater or shallow groundwater at West Park in Ann Arbor, and going higher.

Hundreds of homes on Ann Arbor's West Side are clearly at risks of VI exposures.

Much less disruptive clean up methods are available like HiPOx Trailers at 50gpm that treat to non-detect.

Northrop Grumman – Bethpage, New York 1,4 Dioxane site is over eight times larger and is in an active and effective EPA Superfund cleanup as shown by Dan Bicknell and Roger Rayle's research.

2016 Loutenberg Act – Allowed **10 chemicals** to be considered for **Toxic Substances Control Act** (TSCA), **1.4 Dioxane was one** of the thousands they could have chosen from, to be included in the TSCA for a reason.

Senator Stabenow personally told me in 2016, after Donald Trump took office, that the US EPA will still be doing **polluter pay cleanups**. It's the **orphaned** sites that are a real problem. This is **not** an Orphaned Site. She is on US Senate Committees that oversee US EPA.

With a Biden Presidency we will have more support for clean water and safe communities.

With all the **significant hard work the CARD group**, city and county have done the EPA will have a head start on this effort. The EPA Score of the partial Superfund **Evaluation was very high** for Superfund Listing with a **minimal** evaluation. In this case, we have a Responsible Party that EPA will require to do and pay for cleanup as is happening now with other sites all over the country.

Elected officials in Lansing again working to adopt Polluter Pay Laws as we had years ago and we need to support this change in our state laws.

EPA Superfund will be the most effective cleanup and protect the economic status of the area. Clearly, **economic research** has shown that when you have **uncertainty** with a contaminated site, that is the **least protective** option for the economy of the community.

Michigan is the world's Saudi Arabia of clean fresh water. The native Michiganders revered and protected this wonderful resource, we should do no less, we need to do a lot better for us and future generations.

U of M, who employs some of our elected and city officials, does not seem to want **Superfund label** on Ann Arbor, yet they do little to help fix this mess, Ann Arbor and others in this region will need to deal with for generations to come.

Several facility have been advised by U of M to not get involved, including a former Dean of an University Environmental Department.

From:

Beaudry, Jacqueline

Sent:

Thursday, November 05, 2020 8:17 PM

To:

Bannister, Anne; Vince Caruso

Subject:

RE: I was on line and not allowed to comment tonight

Mr. Caruso:

I had your number listed as ending in

Is this not correct?

Thanks, Jackie

Jacqueline Beaudry, City Clerk

Ann Arbor City Clerk's Office | Guy C. Larcom City Hall | 301 E. Huron, 2nd Floor · Ann Arbor · MI · 48104 734.794.6140 (O) · 734.994.8296 (F) | Internal Extension 41401 jbeaudry@a2gov.org | www.a2gov.org



Think Green! Please don't print this e-mail unless absolutely necessary.

From: Bannister, Anne < ABannister@a2gov.org > Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2020 7:36 PM

To: Vince Caruso

CityCouncil <CityCouncil@a2gov.org>; Beaudry, Jacqueline

<JBeaudry@a2gov.org>

Subject: Re: I was on line and not allowed to comment tonight

Jackie -- Please see note below from Vince Caruso. Can we get him in?

From: Vince Caruso

Sent: Thursday, November 5, 2020 7:30 PM
To: CityCouncil < CityCouncil@a2gov.org>

Subject: I was on line and not allowed to comment tonight

This message was sent from outside of the City of Ann Arbor. Please do not click links, open attachments, or follow directions unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

I did call and was online pressed #6 and did not get a chance to talk My comments below if I could have comment.

Thanks,

Vince

Vince Caruso

Founder Board Member CARD,

Founder Coord'ing ACWG

Former Exe Comm Member SC HVG

Please vote DC 4 to start the petition EPA for Superfund status for the Gelman/Danaher Toxic waist site of 1,4-Dioxane which is a likely human carcinogen as designated by EPA.

If you don't act to involve EPA, **taxpayers** will be forced to pay millions to clean up this Gelman Pollution site because of what Gelman has left us and refuses to clean up - an unacceptable large amount of the toxins still in our once pristine aquifer.

Gelman/Danaher is the Responsible Party for this cleanup and is a \$140 Billion company.

Danaher/Danaher is on the hook for this cleanup according to several comments by Mitch Adelman, head of the MDEQ/EGEL Jackson Office, in MDEQ public meetings when I, other CARD members and others asked.

City of Ann Arbor voted against this CJ, Ann Arbor Charter Township unanimous voted to reject, CARD Group Unanimously voted against, Sierra Club Huron Valley Group, Ann Arbor Environmental Commission, Allen's Creek Watershed Group all voted to reject this 4 th CJ.

<u>There have been any supportive comments</u> for this proposed CJ from constituent callers in all the recent past public hearings for the CJ thus far.

Economic research has shown that when you have **uncertainty** with a contaminated site, that is the **least protective** option for the economy of the community.

Dr. Rober Bailey a PhD inorganic chemist for over 40 years and CARD Member, has done Fate and Transport analysis of many chemicals including 1,4 Dioxane for a living, and has shown in an analysis that 29ppb may be a action level for VI into basements of homes and businesses.

MDEQ/EGLE has evauated this analysis and stated, with some assumptions like wet basements year round which we have many of, it may be a accurate number.

We currently have 22 ppb in the near-surface groundwater or shallow groundwater at West Park in Ann Arbor, and going higher.

Hundreds of homes on Ann Arbor's West Side are clearly at risks of VI exposures.

Much less disruptive clean up methods are available like HiPOx Trailers at 50gpm that treat to non-detect.

Northrop Grumman – Bethpage, New York 1,4 Dioxane site is over eight times larger and is in an active and effective EPA Superfund cleanup as shown by Dan Bicknell and Roger Rayle's research.

2016 Loutenberg Act – Allowed **10 chemicals** to be considered for **Toxic Substances Control Act** (TSCA), **1.4 Dioxane was one** of the thousands they could have chosen from, to be included in the TSCA for a reason.

Senator Stabenow personally told me in 2016, after Donald Trump took office, that the US EPA will still be doing **polluter pay cleanups**. It's the **orphaned** sites that are a real problem. This is **not** an Orphaned Site. She is on US Senate Committees that oversee US EPA.

With a Biden Presidency we will have more support for clean water and safe communites.

With all the **significant hard work the CARD group**, city and county have done the EPA will have a head start on this effort. The EPA Score of the partial Superfund **Evaluation was very high** for Superfund Listing with a **minimal** evaluation. In this case, we have a Responsible Party that EPA will require to do and pay for cleanup as is happening now with other sites all over the country.

Elected officials in Lansing again working to adopt Polluter Pay Laws as we had years ago and we need to support this change in our state laws.

EPA Superfund will be the most effective cleanup and protect the economic status of the area. Clearly, **economic research** has shown that when you have **uncertainty** with a contaminated site, that is the **least protective** option for the economy of the community.

Michigan is the world's Saudi Arabia of clean fresh water. The native Michiganders revered and protected this wonderful resource, we should do no less, we need to do a lot better for us and future generations.

U of M, who employs some of our elected and city officials, does not seem to want **Superfund label** on Ann Arbor, yet they do little to help fix this mess, Ann Arbor and others in this region will need to deal with for generations to come.

Several facility have been advised by U of M to not get involved, including a former Dean of an University Environmental Department.

From:

Beaudry, Jacqueline

Sent:

Thursday, November 05, 2020 8:46 PM

To:

Ramlawi, Ali

Subject:

Amendment

Can you send me your amendment?

Jacqueline Beaudry, City Clerk

Ann Arbor City Clerk's Office | Guy C. Larcom City Hall |301 E. Huron, 2nd Floor • Ann Arbor • MI • 48104 734.794.6140 (O) • 734.994.8296 (F) | Internal Extension 41401 ibeaudry@a2gov.org | www.a2gov.org



Think Green! Please don't print this e-mail unless absolutely necessary.



EVERYWHERE EVERYONE EVERY DAY. a2gov.org/A2BeSafe

From:

Ramlawi, Ali

Sent:

Thursday, November 05, 2020 8:51 PM

To:

Beaudry, Jacqueline

Subject:

Re: Amendment

Do you still need it? It was voted down

Ali

Sent from my iPad

On Nov 5, 2020, at 8:45 PM, Beaudry, Jacqueline <JBeaudry@a2gov.org> wrote:

Can you send me your amendment?

Jacqueline Beaudry, City Clerk

Ann Arbor City Clerk's Office | Guy C. Larcom City Hall |301 E. Huron, 2nd Floor • Ann Arbor • MI • 48104 734.794.6140 (O) • 734.994.8296 (F) | Internal Extension 41401 jbeaudry@a2gov.org | www.a2gov.org



Think Green! Please don't print this e-mail unless absolutely necessary.

<image001.jpg>

From: Allison Buck

Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2020 9:22 PM

To: Ramlawi, Ali

Cc: Debra Mexicotte; Hupy, Craig; Harrison, Venita

Subject: Re: Passed 7-4

This message was sent from outside of the City of Ann Arbor. Please do not click links, open attachments, or follow directions unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

I was on the edge of my seat!

Thank you so much for your support and for pushing the bylaw change forward. Your words advocating for this change had a great impact and you represented our cause passionately. So glad to have you serving with us and to have been able to get this change passed! Your wisdom and support has benefitted the commission greatly and you will be missed if we receive a new liaison in the near future.

Thanks again!

Allison Buck

"Art enables us to find ourselves and lose ourselves at the same time." -Thomas Merton

On Thu, Nov 5, 2020 at 9:17 PM Ramlawi, Ali < ARamlawi@a2gov.org > wrote:

Yay!

Ali

Sent from my iPad

From:

Debra Mexicotte

Sent:

Thursday, November 05, 2020 9:24 PM

To: Cc:

Ramlawi, Ali

Allison Buck

Subject:

Re: Passed 7-4

This message was sent from outside of the City of Ann Arbor. Please do not click links, open attachments, or follow directions unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Thank you so much for your advocacy, and work on this, Ali - and Alison, great work! That was really strong support from the Council! And your point about holding the volunteer commissioners to the wrong standards for service brilliant!

This will really be a positive (and frankly, rarely used) bylaw moving forward!

Deb

Deb Mexicotte Managing Director, ArtsEngine Duderstadt Center, Suite 3360 University of Michigan | artsengine.umich.edu

Ann Arbor, MI 48109

phone

On Thu, Nov 5, 2020 at 9:17 PM Ramlawi, Ali <ARamlawi@a2gov.org> wrote:

Yay!

Ali

Sent from my iPad

From: Beaudry, Jacqueline

Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2020 10:51 PM

To: Ramlawi, Ali Subject: RE: Amendment

Yes, if you have it. I need it for my minutes.

Jacqueline Beaudry, City Clerk

Ann Arbor City Clerk's Office | Guy C. Larcom City Hall | 301 E. Huron, 2nd Floor - Ann Arbor - MI - 48104 734.794.6140 (O) · 734.994.8296 (F) | Internal Extension 41401 jbeaudry@a2gov.org | www.a2gov.org

Think Green! Please don't print this e-mail unless absolutely necessary.

From: Ramlawi, Ali <ARamlawi@a2gov.org> Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2020 8:51 PM To: Beaudry, Jacqueline < JBeaudry@a2gov.org>

Subject: Re: Amendment

Do you still need it? It was voted down

Ali

Sent from my iPad

On Nov 5, 2020, at 8:45 PM, Beaudry, Jacqueline < JBeaudry@a2gov.org > wrote:

Can you send me your amendment?

Jacqueline Beaudry, City Clerk

Ann Arbor City Clerk's Office | Guy C. Larcom City Hall | 301 E. Huron, 2nd Floor • Ann Arbor • MI • 48104 734.794.6140 (O) • 734.994.8296 (F) | Internal Extension 41401 jbeaudry@a2gov.org | www.a2gov.org



Think Green! Please don't print this e-mail unless absolutely necessary.

<image001.jpg>

From:

Ramlawi, Ali

Sent:

Thursday, November 05, 2020 10:58 PM

To:

Beaudry, Jacqueline

Subject:

Re: Amendment

Ms. Beaudry.

My failed amendment is as follows...

Resolution Regarding Traffic Calming Process
Resolution

Whereas, The City's Traffic Calming Program is a resident-driven process established in 1999 and is a valuable tool that empowers residents to improve safety on their neighborhood streets;

Whereas, City Council adopted an updated Traffic Calming Program on November 19, 2018 including affirming that City Council "maintains authority over Traffic Calming Program updates and approval of individual project plans before construction";

Whereas, The Traffic Calming Program is a 5-step sequential process that commences with a resident-initiated petition, includes two neighborhood meetings, and culminates with a vote on a Final Plan that reflects the neighborhood input received during the process;

Whereas, The Program stipulates that the initial petition identifies the area of interest and defines the Project Area as "all addresses adjacent to the area of interest and addresses 100 feet from where the project street intersects a local street";

Whereas, The Program stipulates that "cul-de-sac properties on streets intersecting the Project Area are notified for information, but are not provided a questionnaire or a final polling opportunity";

Whereas, The Program allows other corridor users beyond 100 feet on neighboring streets to attend the public meetings, but they are not notified or sent materials and do not have a vote on the Final Plan:

Whereas, Many neighboring residents who live beyond the currently defined Project Area frequently walk, bike and drive on the specific street being considered for Traffic Calming (as evidenced by 2/3 of the attendees at the Glenwood Meeting #2 being neighboring users, but not property owners in the Project Area), but they are excluded from the decision making in the current process;

Whereas, The limited Project Area definition has been a source of concern/frustration to neighboring residents in at least two Traffic Calming projects (Bluett; Glenwood) and likely others;

Whereas, For development projects and special exception property uses, the City utilizes a 500 foot standard for notification of impacted neighbors; and

Whereas, City Council believes the Traffic Calming Program would be improved by expanding the area of direct participation in decision making to include connecting cul-de-sacs and expanding the current 100 foot standard;

RESOLVED, City Council directs the City Administrator to revise the Traffic Calming Program as follows:

- Expand the Project Area definition to include properties 500 feet from where the project street intersects a local street
- Expand the Project Area definition to include cul-de-sac properties that intersect the project street where the traffic calming would occur
- Include the expanded Project Area properties in all notifications, mailings and votes RESOLVED. The City Administrator may present to Council suggested adjustments to this direction consistent with the intent of the resolution.

Sponsored by: Councilmembers Lumm and Bannister

Sent from my iPad

On Nov 5, 2020, at 10:50 PM, Beaudry, Jacqueline <JBeaudry@a2gov.org> wrote:

Yes, if you have it. I need it for my minutes.

Jacqueline Beaudry, City Clerk

Ann Arbor City Clerk's Office | Guy C. Larcom City Hall |301 E. Huron, 2nd Floor · Ann Arbor · MI · 48104 734.794.6140 (O) · 734,994.8296 (F) | Internal Extension 41401 jbeaudry@a2gov.org | www.a2gov.org



Think Green! Please don't print this e-mail unless absolutely necessary.

From: Ramlawi, Ali <ARamlawi@a2gov.org> Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2020 8:51 PM To: Beaudry, Jacqueline < JBeaudry@a2gov.org>

Subject: Re: Amendment

Do you still need it? It was voted down Ali

Sent from my iPad

On Nov 5, 2020, at 8:45 PM, Beaudry, Jacqueline < JBeaudry@a2gov.org> wrote:

Can you send me your amendment?

Jacqueline Beaudry, City Clerk

Ann Arbor City Clerk's Office | Guy C. Larcom City Hall | 301 E. Huron, 2nd Floor • Ann Arbor • MI

734.794.6140 (O) • 734.994.8296 (F) | Internal Extension 41401 jbeaudry@a2gov.org | www.a2gov.org



Think Green! Please don't print this e-mail unless absolutely necessary.

<image001.jpg>

From: Kai Petainen

Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2020 11:42 PM

To: Lumm, Jane Subject: *BIG HUGS*

This message was sent from outside of the City of Ann Arbor. Please do not click links, open attachments, or follow directions unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Big Hugs CM Lumm. Your final thoughts were lovely and beautiful. We may have disagreed at times, but my family loves you a lot.

-kai

From:

Lumm, Jane

Sent:

Friday, November 06, 2020 12:01 AM

To:

Beaudry, Jacqueline

Cc:

CityCouncil

Subject:

Re: Trying to rejoin

Can you admit me?

Sent from my iPhone

> On Nov 5, 2020, at 11:59 PM, Lumm, Jane <JLumm@a2gov.org> wrote:

>

_

> Sent from my iPhone