Micro-Mobility Committee Meeting Notes February 14, 2020

Prepared by: Molly Kleinman

In attendance:

Committee Members: Lisa Solomon, Molly Kleinman Staff: Kayla Coleman, Eli Cooper, Raymond Hess

Public: David Diephuis, Jesse Halfon

Survey administration

- Work with Spin to push it out to the email addresses of those who are scooter users?
- University student life?

of Spin scooters

- What is the right # to minimize clutter but serve the community desire
- Double and go from 300 to 600? Or, increase incrementally (add 100 at a time)
- If Spin is the only provider and they jump to a higher #, then if someone else comes to town, do we have to allow them the same number? Or do we also start them at 200 the same way that Spin started out and then allow them to increase incrementally if they prove to be a responsible partner.

Health risks

- UD-10 (Traffic Report) doesn't have a field to indicate e-scooter as a vehicle type, just depends if the officer wrote something in the narrative
- Could check ER visits? Or other input from the health system

Spin contract renewal

- Increase #?
- Could add to the contract agreement that Spin has to notify us anytime a scooter is involved in a crash

Ordinance revision

- Ordinance revisions were discussed, feedback was shared with staff for consideration. Topics included:
 - o Autonomous delivery vehicles?
 - o Definition of city service for blocking bike lane exception
 - o Speed limit on sidewalk?

Public comment

- David Diephuis -- Sustainability level of scooters, appropriate charge. Challenges of addressing speed on sidewalks. Interest in RFP process for scooter provider.
- Jesse Halfon Permitting process for charging station (Swift Mile). Spin survey process, results from other University towns.