Development Options

	Highest 60% AMI units or less Lowest 60% AMI units o			
	Highest transparency/control			Lowest transparency/control
	121 N Catherine 404 N Ashley 353 S. Main 2000 S. Industrial Platt/Springbrook 1501 E Stadium (if not used for AAHC offices)	350 S 5th (Old Y)	415 W. Washington 721 N Main	S Ashley (Kline)
Best Use	Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) projects with minimal non-residential use. Or affordable housing revenue bond financed projects	Mixed-use projects with significant portion affordable housing	Difficult to develop properties with other public use goals such as greenway	High value or difficult to develop property that can be sold and proceeds used to develop other properties as affordable housing
Owner	City transfer property to AAHC or land lease to AAHC subsidiary nonprofit	City land lease to AAHC nonprofit, private developer, AAATA with separate condos	City land lease to private developer	City sells property to highest bidder and owned by privat sector
Primary Developer	AAHC subsidiary nonprofit	Private developer selected through City RFP/RFQ process	Private developer selected through City RFP/RFQ process	Private developer
Co-Developer	AAHC selected private developer	AAHC subsidiary nonprofit	None	None
Property Management	AAHC	Shared private property management or separate property management	Private property management	Private property management
Affordable Housing	100% @ 60% AMI or less, or average of 60% AMI	25% to 50% of the units at 60% AMI or less, and 50% - 75% market rate	20% - 25% of the units at 60% AMI or less, and 75% - 80% market rate	Unknown if affordable housing included in development but sales proceeds go toward affordable housing on other sites
Predevelopment	AAHC/City shared costs with co-developer	AAHC/City shared costs with co-developer	Private Developer	Private Developer
Zoning & Site Plan Approval Process	AAHC & Co-developer	City pre-approval entitlements prior to RFP/RFQ	City pre-approval entitlements prior to RFP/RFQ	Private Developer
Construction Loan Guarantee	Private Developer	Private Developer	Private Developer	Private Developer
Construction Oversight	Private Developer	Private Developer	Private Developer	Private Developer
Construction Guarantee Lease-Up Guarantee	Private Developer AAHC nonprofit	Private Developer AAHC nonprofit & Private Developer	Private Developer Private Developer	Private Developer Private Developer
Operating Guarantee	AAHC nonprofit	AAHC nonprofit & Private Developer	Private Developer	Private Developer
Pros	Utilizes private expertise and guarantee on construction. City Union Property Management Employees. Screen tenants in and enhanced property management. Able to secure grant funding available to govt agencies and nonprofits	Utilize private sector expertise and guarantee on construction. Mixture of private and public financing. Mixture of PILOT, tax revenue and lease payments	Utilizes private sector expertise and resources to subsidize affordable housing. Affordable units could be master leased to AAHC. Tax revenue and lease payments.	Funding source for affordable housing development at other locations (publicly owned or private acquisition)
Cons	Higher property management costs with City union employees. Lowest tax revenue.	More complicated financing and control with co- ownership and development.	Private property management screen tenants out instead of screening tenants in. Opportunity for city-controlled waitlist.	Might not end up with any affordable housing on the site.