ANN ARBOR HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION

Staff Report

ADDRESS: 510 Lawrence Street, Application Number HDC17-032

DISTRICT: Old West Side Historic District

REPORT DATE: April 20, 2017

REPORT PREPARED BY: Jill Thacher, Historic Preservation Coordinator

REVIEW COMMITTEE DATE: Monday, April 17, 2017

OWNER APPLICANT

Name:Michigan Rentals/Zaki AlawiRob FowlerAddress:414 Huntington5550 Fox RunAnn Arbor, MISaline, MI 48176Phone:(734) 327-0529(734) 476-4851

BACKGROUND: Per Polk City Directories, Katherine Seabolt, music teacher, occupied the house in 1894. It was built as a colonial revival with sidelights flanking the front door. The original roof shape is unknown. The rear wing was present by 1916. A third floor was added to the entire structure sometime between the early 1970s (per Sanborn) and 1987 (per site plan records). The shutters were added in the 1990s.

LOCATION: The site is located on the south side of Lawrence Street, between North Division and North State.

APPLICATION: The applicant seeks HDC approval to replace two double-hung windows with casement windows to meet egress requirements in two bedrooms.

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS:

From the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation:

(2) The historic character of a property will be retained and preserved. The removal of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces,

and spatial relationships that characterize a property will be avoided.

(9) New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize a property. The new work will be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportion, and massing to protect the



integrity of the property and its environment.

(10) New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

From the Secretary of the Interior's Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings:

Windows

<u>Recommended</u>: Identifying, retaining, and preserving windows--and their functional and decorative features--that are important in defining the overall historic character of the building.

<u>Not Recommended</u>: Removing or radically changing windows that are important in defining the historic character of the building so that, as a result, the character is diminished.

Introducing a new design that is incompatible with the historic character of the building.

District or Neighborhood Setting

<u>Not Recommended</u>: Introducing new construction into historic districts that is visually incompatible or that destroys historic relationships within the setting.

Removing or radically changing those features of the setting which are important in defining the historic character.

Doors

Not Recommended: Cutting new entrances on a primary elevation.

Building Site

<u>Recommended</u>: Identifying, retaining, and preserving buildings and their features as well as features of the site that are important in defining its overall historic character.

From the Ann Arbor Historic District Design Guidelines (other guidelines may apply):

Residential Porches

Appropriate: Installing a new porch and entrance on secondary elevations may be appropriate if it does not diminish the building's architectural character and the design and materials are compatible with the building and the site.

STAFF FINDINGS:

1. The vinyl window proposed to be removed and replaced with a full-lite fiberglass door is on the south elevation of the house. Though the window is not original, the opening is. An existing window well not used for egress would be filled in and replaced with a stoop, and

an air conditioning unit may need to be shifted a couple of feet back. The work would not be visible from the street or right-of-way, and a sidewalk along the east side of the house already exists for access.

- 2. This apartment building contains one efficiency, one one-bedroom, one three-bedroom, and two six-bedroom units. The maximum occupancy of the building is 18. The building appears to have been constructed as a single-family house, but a third floor and very large rear wing were added some time before 1987. Though included in the Old Fourth Ward Historic District as a contributing structure, staff would argue that the building does not contribute to the character of the district, though some of its features are compatible.
- 3. The HDC application states that interior alterations require fire suppression if more than one tenant shares a common entry, which a city building inspector has confirmed. It also says that the current water supply is not adequate for suppression. If the HDC's decision rests on whether suppression is possible or not, staff suggests requesting more documentation on the water situation and what would be required to suppress the building, which staff would have reviewed by the building official. There are very few situations in the City of Ann Arbor where residential suppression is not possible using existing water infrastructure.
- 4. Staff feels that altering the window into a door is acceptable since the work would not be visible from the street and the building has already lost its architectural integrity due to past changes. The work will be minimally visible from the public right of way, on the least character-defining side of the building. Therefore, staff believes the proposal meets the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines, and the Ann Arbor Historic District Design Guidelines and recommends approval on the condition that the opening is bricked in with at least a 1" recess to maintain a visual record of the opening.
- 5. The motion below states that the building is non-contributing. If the Commissioner making a motion disagrees, the motion should be changed back to "contributing property".

POSSIBLE MOTIONS: (Note that the motion is only a suggestion. The Review Committee, consisting of staff and at least two Commissioners, will meet with the applicant on site and then make a recommendation at the meeting.)

I move that the Commission approve the application at 510 Lawrence, a non-contributing property in the Old Fourth Ward Historic District, to remove a vinyl first-floor window on the south elevation and replace it with a full-lite door, on the following condition: that the window opening is bricked in with a minimum 1" recess from the face of the wall to maintain a visual record of the opening location. The work as conditioned is compatible in exterior design, arrangement, texture, material and relationship to the rest of the building and the surrounding area and meets *The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation* and *Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings*, in particular standards 2, 9 and 10, and the guidelines for windows, neighborhood setting, doors, and building site, and the *Ann Arbor Historic Guidelines* for porches.

ATTACHMENTS: application, drawings, door information

510 Lawrence (2014 Google Streetview)





HDC 17-032 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES — PLANNING 3/3/17 **City of Ann Arbor**

SERVICES

301 E. Huron Street P.O. Box 8647 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107-8647 734.794.6265 734.994.8312 planning@a2gov.org

ANN ARBOR HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION APPLICATION

Section 1: Property Being Reviewed and Ownership Information
Address of Property: 510 Lawrence
Historic District:
Name of Property Owner (If different than the applicant): MI Rental
Address of Property Owner: 414 Huntington Ann Arbor
Daytime Phone and E-mail of Property Owner: 734-327-0529
Signature of Property Owner: 2 1 Long Date: 3/11/7
Section 2: Applicant Information
Name of Applicant: Rob Fowler
Address of Applicant: 5550 Fo Run Saline, MI 48176
Daytime Phone: (734) 476-4851 Fax:()
E-mail: rfowler17@comcast.net
Applicant's Relationship to Property:ownerarchitectxcontactorother
Signature of applicant: 2. Sleeping Date: 3/1/17
Section 3: Building Use (check all that apply)
Residential Single Family Multiple Family Rental
Commercial Institutional
Section 4: Stille-DeRossett-Hale Single State Construction Code Act (This item MUST BE INITIALED for your application to be PROCESSED)
Public Act 169, Michigan's Local Historic Districts Act, was amended April 2004 to include the following language: "the applicant has certified in the application that the property where the work will be undertaken has, or will have before the proposed completion date, a a fire alarm or smoke alarm complying with the requirements of the Stille-DeRossett-Hale Single State Construction Code Act, 1972 PA 230, MCL 125.1501 to 125.1531."
Please initial here:



. Provide a brief summary of proposed cl	hanges. Change rear window of Apartment 1
to exterior door . Existing Win	NDOW & NEW DOOR TO REPLACE IT
ARE 100% NOT VISIBLE	FROM THE STREET.
EXISTING WINDOW IS NOT	HISTORIC WRIGINAL MATERIAL.
PROPOSED DOOR IS FULL	GLASS FIBERGIASS APPLICANT IS
OPEN FUR ALTERNATE SUC 2. Provide a description of existing conditi	GCESTIONS・ ions. Exsiting vinyl slider window
Apartment 1 entry door is in common cor	
2 What are the reasons for the proposed	changes? Level 2 Alterations require that building be
s, writat are the reasons for the proposed	Changes: 2010 2 1 110 2
fire surpressed if more than one tenant sha	ares common entry. Current water supply is not adequate
	changes to interior and exterior make surpression
for surpression. Cost of new main and the	changes to interior and exterior make surpression
for surpression. Cost of new main and the prohibitive. New door would be invisable from	changes to interior and exterior make surpression m street
for surpression. Cost of new main and the prohibitive. New door would be invisable from 4. Attach any additional information that we	changes to interior and exterior make surpression
for surpression. Cost of new main and the prohibitive. New door would be invisable from	changes to interior and exterior make surpression m street
for surpression. Cost of new main and the prohibitive. New door would be invisable from 4. Attach any additional information that we	changes to interior and exterior make surpression m street
for surpression. Cost of new main and the prohibitive. New door would be invisable from 4. Attach any additional information that we	changes to interior and exterior make surpression m street
for surpression. Cost of new main and the prohibitive. New door would be invisable from the Attach any additional information that we these attachments here. 5. Attach photographs of the existing property.	changes to interior and exterior make surpression m street
for surpression. Cost of new main and the prohibitive. New door would be invisable from 4. Attach any additional information that we these attachments here.	changes to interior and exterior make surpression m street will further explain or clarify the proposal, and indicate
for surpression. Cost of new main and the prohibitive. New door would be invisable from the Attach any additional information that we these attachments here. 5. Attach photographs of the existing property photos of proposed work area.	changes to interior and exterior make surpression m street will further explain or clarify the proposal, and indicate perty, including at least one general photo and details
for surpression. Cost of new main and the prohibitive. New door would be invisable from the Attach any additional information that we these attachments here. 5. Attach photographs of the existing property photos of proposed work area.	changes to interior and exterior make surpression m street will further explain or clarify the proposal, and indicate perty, including at least one general photo and detaile
for surpression. Cost of new main and the prohibitive. New door would be invisable from the Attach any additional information that we these attachments here. 5. Attach photographs of the existing property photos of proposed work area.	changes to interior and exterior make surpression m street will further explain or clarify the proposal, and indicate perty, including at least one general photo and detaile TAFF USE ONLY Application to Staff or HD
for surpression. Cost of new main and the prohibitive. New door would be invisable from the surpression of the existing proposed work area.	changes to interior and exterior make surpression m street will further explain or clarify the proposal, and indicate perty, including at least one general photo and detaile TAFF USE ONLY Application to Staff or HD
for surpression. Cost of new main and the prohibitive. New door would be invisable from the surpression of the existing property of the existing property of the existing property of the submitted. Signate Submitted Project NoHISC	changes to interior and exterior make surpression m street will further explain or clarify the proposal, and indicate perty, including at least one general photo and detaile TAFF USE ONLY Application to Staff or HD Fee Paid
for surpression. Cost of new main and the prohibitive. New door would be invisable from the prohibitive. New door would be invisable from the surpression of the existing property of the existing property of the existing property of the submitted. Solution of proposed work area. Solution of proposed work area. Project No. HDC Pre-filing Staff Reviewer & Date:	changes to interior and exterior make surpression m street will further explain or clarify the proposal, and indicate perty, including at least one general photo and detaile TAFF USE ONLY Application to Staff or HD Fee Paid
for surpression. Cost of new main and the prohibitive. New door would be invisable from the prohibitive. New door would be invisable from the surpression of the existing property of the existing property of the existing property of the submitted. Solution of proposed work area. Solution of proposed work area. Project NoHDC	changes to interior and exterior make surpression m street will further explain or clarify the proposal, and indicate perty, including at least one general photo and detaile TAFF USE ONLY Application to Staff or HD Fee Paid: Date of Public Hearing:



