

CITY OF ANN ARBOR – PARKS ADVISORY COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES September 16, 2008

The regular meeting of the Park Advisory Commission was held on Tuesday, September 16, 2008 at 4:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers located in City Hall, 100 N. Fifth Avenue, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

I. The meeting	. The meeting was called to order at 4:11p.m. by Chair, L. Berauer.		
II. Roll Call:			
Members Present:		(7)	L. Berauer, J. Lawter, G. Nystuen, S. Offer S. Rosencrans, T. Berla, J. Grand (left the meeting at 5:35 p.m.)
Ex-Officio Members Present:		(1)	M. Anglin
Ex- Officio Members Absent:		(1)	S. Kunselman
Members Absent:		(2)	B. Macomber, D. Barrett
Staff Present:		(7)	C, Smith, J. Straw, J. Dehring, A. Kuras, M. Warba, D. Borneman, L. Bowen
Cuanta		(4)	Maliana Mantanan

Guests: (1) Melissa Kesterson

APPROVAL OF AGENDA – Commissioner Berauer stated in reading the by-laws for the Commission, Robert Rules of Order specifies the elections (D-2) are to be held at the beginning of the meeting instead of the end of the meeting.

The second change would be the by-laws, which are to be reviewed every September.

After the elections are completed, the by-laws will be discussed.

The agenda was approved with the mentioned changes.

PUBLIC COMMENTARY - AGENDA ITEMS (3 Minutes per Speaker)

Being none the follow items were moved up on the agenda.

D-2 Elections for Chair and Vice-Chair

L. Berauer stated that every office is voted on separately and for every office all nominations are taken from the floor first before voting on the first nomination.

- Nominations will be taken for the position of "Chair", the commission will vote.

 Afterwards, nominations will be taken for the "Vice-Chair", the Commission will vote.
- **L. Berauer** stated a "second" is not necessary when nominations are given.

The election for the positions of Chair and Vice- Chair was as follows:

- S. Offen nominated Linda Berauer for the position of Chair.
- L. Berauer called for a vote from the Commission for the nomination of Chair.
- T. Berla asked if other nominations can be taken and if the Commission is allowed discussion.
- **L. Berauer** stated yes and asked for other nominations.
- **S. Rosencrans** nominated Brigit Macomber for the position of Chair.
- **T. Berla** asked when the terms expire for the Commissioners, and stated if L. Berauer and B. Macomber's terms expired in early 2009 he would not want to have two new positions to fill due to their terms expiring.
- **L. Berauer** stated one new person would be in the position of Vice-Chair; if the Commission agreed the more experienced person would hold the position of Chair for the Commission.
- **T. Berla** asked to be reminded of the status of the terms for both Linda Berauer and Brigit Macomber.
- L. Berauer stated both her and B. Macomber's terms expires in July and August 2009.
- **T. Berla** asked if there would be other Commissioners with terms that are soon expiring.
- **L. Berauer** stated there will be some continuity after her and B. Macomber's terms expire.
- **G. Nystuen** stated S. Offen's term will be the most senior term after L. Berauer and B. Macomber leave and S. Offen will serve another year on the Commission.
- **T. Berla** asked if S. Offen would be leaving in approximately two years.
- **S.** Offen stated he should be appointed for another term.
- **S. Rosencrans** asked if both nominees are interested in being Chair for the Commission.

L. Berauer stated she and B. Macomber have discussed the issue; both of them agreed they would accept the nomination of both positions. B. Macomber preferred not to accept the nomination of Chair due to her leadership role on the budget process, which will be very intense.

The fall of the year is very busy for her at her place of employment, but if L. Berauer did not want to accept the nomination of the position of Chair she would graciously accept.

- **G. Nystuen** expressed appreciation and commented on the very nice job L. Berauer has done as Chair for the Park Advisory Commission
- L. Berauer called for the vote for the position of Chair:

The vote for L. Berauer to serve in the position as the position of the Chair for the Parks Advisory Commission was as follows:

Ayes: (7) L. Berauer, G. Nystuen, T. Berla, J. Grand

J. Lawter, S. Rosencrans, S. Offen

Nays: (0) None.

Absent: (2) B. Macomber, D. Barrett

On a voice vote - **Motion Passed** - Unanimous

Linda Berauer will remain as Chair for the Parks Advisory Commission.

- **L. Berauer** called for nominations for the position of Vice-Chair for the Park Advisory Commission.
- **G. Nystuen** nominated John Lawter for the position of Vice-Chair stating he would be willing to accept the nomination for this and has experience on the Parks Advisory Commission.
- T. Berla nominated Scott Rosencrans for the position of Vice-Chair
- **L. Berauer** asked John Lawter and Scott Rosencrans if they would accept the nominations for the position of Vice-Chair, they responded yes.

The vote was in the order of nominations received.

- J. Grand asked if the Commission could hear comments from both nominees.
- **J. Lawter** thanked everyone for the kind comments and stated he would be happy to serve as Vice-Chair for this Commission. J. Lawter explained his role has been versatile on a number of different committees, which is what a vice-president's role would be as far as standing in for the Chair if needed.

- J. Lawter also stated he felt he had a rounded back round regarding the financial aspect of the Parks Department. Also stating he would not want to hold the position of Chair due to the time restraints.
- **S. Offen** asked J. Lawter if after a year if he would be willing to accept the nomination of Chair for the Commission.
- J. Lawter stated he would consider it.
- **S. Rosencrans** stated he is very interested in the Vice-Chair position and believes it ties in with the duties he is performing on the Task Force and primarily being liaison to City Council.
- S. Rosencrans explained he also has worked with staff regarding the Parks Planning process and the infrastructure issues with other Commissions that tie into the work that the Parks Advisory Commission is doing.
- **L. Berauer** stated the Commission would vote for the nominees for Vice-Chair in order, first being J. Lawter, second being S. Rosencrans.

The vote for J. Lawter to serve in the position as Vice-Chair for the Parks Advisory Commission was as follows:

Ayes: (5) L. Berauer, G. Nystuen, J. Grand J. Lawter, S. Offen

Nays: (2) S. Rosencrans, T. Berla

Absent: (2) B. Macomber, D. Barrett

On a voice vote – **Motion Passed** – Unanimous

John Lawter will hold the position of Vice-Chair for the Parks Advisory Commission.

- **G. Nystuen** stated she felt the Commission was very fortunate to have both nominees for the position of Vice-Chair; stating S. Rosencrans has taken the job of coordinating issues regarding the Parks Planning process and B. Macomber will continue to chair the work on the budget process.
- **L. Berauer** asked if there could be a brief discussion regarding the by-laws.
- **G. Nystuen** asked if there had been any difficulties encountered where the by-laws may be a problem.
- **L. Berauer** stated she has not had any problems with the by-laws except for when the Park Advisory Commission wanted to form a committee and invite outside members to the committee.

It is clearly stated in the by-laws that the Commission has the authority to do this, but there was resistance in some quarters and this effort was stalled to the point the idea was not feasible. If the need arises the Commission has the authority to set a committee on a particular subject and invite outside experts to sit on a committee.

- **S.** Offen stated there were City official jobs that were inaccurately stated in the by-laws. It would be appreciated if C. Smith reviewed the current positions and identified the current changes.
- **S.** Offen stated on the page 3, article 5, section 6 of the by-laws; the statement referring to The Leslie Science Center is no longer valid since they have their own Board and is now a separate non-profit. A representative being on their Board is a request of The Leslie Science Center and the Parks Advisory Commission does not have this as a rule.
- **L. Berauer** confirmed that a representative from the Parks Advisory Commission being on The Leslie Science Center's Board is a rule of this Commission.
- **S. Offen** suggested the wording be changed in the by-laws to reflect the current status of The Leslie Science Center.
- **J. Grand** stated this is a larger responsibility to sit on the Board of the Leslie Science Center.
- **S. Offen** stated he is a voting member on this particular Board.
- **L. Berauer** stated that S. Offen is the liaison.

- **S.** Offen stated yes that he has been the liaison since the summer of 2007.
- **L. Berauer** asked if changes to the by-laws should be presented in writing at the next meeting, waiting a month before the changes are voted on.
- **T. Berla** stated in recent years a representative of the Leslie Science Center that was not a member of the Park Advisory Commission came to the meeting, but S. Offen's position on the Board at the Leslie Science Center is different and seems to work better as is.
- T. Berla suggested the present wording be taken out of the by-laws all together due to not knowing what the formal arrangement will be in the future and there may not be a reason to keep them in the Commissions by-laws.
- **S. Offen** stated Leslie Science Center has the request of a representative from the Park Advisory Commission on their Board in their by-laws. And after his term has expired, the Leslie Science Center will request for another member of this Commission to be on their Board.
- **L. Berauer** asked if the decision of which Commissioner will be on their Board is to come from the Leslie Science Center.

247 248

249 250 251

253 254 255

256

252

266 267 268

269 270 271

272 273 274

284 285 286

287

288

283

289 290 291

G. Nystuen stated the City would maintain the property and a close connection should be kept.

A chart of our current organization positions is guite different and is not clear to many people.

- C. Smith stated there have been many changes and an organizational chart would be provided to explain the different facilities, the staff members and other areas that have new relationships such as the Leslie Science Center and the Community Centers.
- **G. Nystuen** stated the golf course has a separate committee and is temporary.
- L. Berauer stated the separate committee for the golf course being temporary is correct and asked if the issue regarding a Board member at the Leslie Science Center needed to be included in the by-laws.
- S. Offen stated he didn't think a reference was needed in the by-laws regarding a Board member at Leslie Science Center.
- S. Offen stated on page 5, Section 9 of the by-laws references the Leslie Science Center Advisory Committee as a standed committee of the Parks Advisory Commission and this is inaccurate.
- **L. Berauer** stated the revision of the by-laws should be brought to the next meeting.
- **C. Smith** stated he would review the changes in staff positions.
- L. Berauer stated she would like the Commission to consider the creation of a third office on the Commission.

This office would fulfill the function that B. Macomber has done in terms of the leadership role regarding the budget process; she has the background and experience to accomplish this task and has done so along with the position of Vice-Chair.

L. Berauer stated she did not think this should be a requirement of the Vice-Chair and should not be added to the duties of the Chair because it would be burdensome for one person.

The budget committee can be made a standing committee and always have that committee elect its Chair to fulfill that function.

If the third position is made into an actual office for the Park Advisory Commission, it would carry more weight with staff due to that individual working closely with the financial staff and Parks Manager. This position would carry a higher level of responsibility if this person were elected for this role by the entire body of the Parks Advisory Commission rather than a committee.

S. Rosencrans stated depending on who is nominated by City Council to serve on this Commission that individual may not have the financial background needed for this new position.

If there is an official office to fulfill this responsibility, would the Commission have to ask City Council to place someone that has the financial background required to serve on the Parks Advisory Commission.

L. Berauer stated she does not mean someone that is trained as a CPA, when she refers to someone having a back round in finance; she is referring to someone who is knowledgeable of how budgets and the accounting process works through the course of their jobs which is the case with B. Macomber.

It is also the case of two other members of this Commission, S. Offen and J. Lawter.

- L. Berauer continued to explain the person functioning as chair of the budget committee would be doing the same thing. The by-laws would state whomever is elected to this position would share the budget and finance committee.
- **S. Offen** stated this new position would be valuable and would be part of the executive committee, which would include to the Chair and Vice-Chair. This is a subject that has been discussed often. The finances of this department are critical and this person would need to understand the financial reports.
- **S. Offen** asked what this new position would be called.
- **L. Berauer** suggested the position be called "Chair of the budget/finance committee" but could be a Park Advisory Commission office elected rather than a committee office.

Very few of the Commissions within the City work with the departments with such a huge budget and have so much critical input into budget and millage issues. That in itself makes it a large responsibility of the Park Advisory Commission.

Giving it it's own position is very important, being on this Commission five years we have always struggled with budget issues, there is never enough time to fully understand. It is very important for our representation of the public interest in terms of the millage funds that we provide responsible oversight.

- **S.** Offen stated this new position would take the burden from the Chair and Vice-Chair from having to spend a lot of time tracking down budget issues.
- **J. Lawter** agreed that it is very important to have representation from the Park Advisory Commission that has an understanding of budgets. Having this office would help ensure the need for a finance person on the Commission.
- **T. Berla** explained this function was not done by the Park Advisory Commission and believes it is an on going problem with the public due to the budget being so confusing.

It is important the emphasis remain on the budget/finance issues, but stated his preference would be not to place this issue in the by-laws.

L. Berauer stated she would respond to the statements made regarding the Parks Advisory Commission not having the responsibility or charge regarding a position for someone to represent the Commission and oversee the budget/finance issue.

This point is mentioned in three different items in the list of the Park Advisory Commissions responsibilities in the by-laws.

The responsibilities include, providing recommendations to the Parks Manager, City Administrator and City Council regarding annual operating budget, annual capital improvement budget, capital improvement and acquisition funding including millages, bond issues, park foundation or related programs.

Per the by-laws the Commission has a responsibility to the public to oversee these issues.

- **T. Berla** explained his perception of the overseeing of the budget was at a different level and has increased over the years.
- **L. Berauer** explained the problem in the past was not working with staff closely on major projects. The Commission as well as the public can receive the information and it be easily reviewed. When this process is achieved it will not be as labor intensive.
- **J. Grand** asked who takes the lead as we move into the budget process.
- **L. Berauer** stated staff will take the lead.
- **J. Grand** asked if there was a member of the Parks Advisory Commission that is the point person during this process.
- **L. Berauer** stated staff works on the budget and there is no member of the Parks Advisory Commission involved, when staff is comfortable with the budget it is brought back to the Commission; it is a very labor intensive process. The Commission does not receive the budget very far in advance to when City Council receives it and needs to be approved.
- **S. Offen** stated approximately two years ago the Commission received the budget at the point of approval and had little time to comment.
- **L. Berauer** stated the budget committee will be working with staff for this issue to improve.
- **C. Smith** stated Kelly McKinnon (Financial Manager for Community Services) will start to have conversations regarding creating a schedule for the budget. Once this information is obtained it will be shared with the Commission.
- **L. Berauer** stated she and B. Macomber hope to have a better process in place before their terms expire.
- **G. Nystuen** stated she supports a third position on the Commission and feels for the foreseeable future this is a very important element for communicating with the public as well as internally. The budget of Parks is large and very complex due to the millages and different funds. A third position is appropriate allowing a point person to concentrate on the budget at this time. This can be changed in the future.

- **L. Berauer** stated she and C. Smith would work on language including title changes and the Leslie Science Center issue and will bring this information to the next meeting so that the Commission can vote on the amendments one by one.
- **S. Offen** stated that in article 4, section 2 under membership in the by-laws it mentions representation from the Ann Arbor Public Schools.
- **L. Berauer** explained there is still representation for the Ann Arbor Public Schools and she is welcome to attend the meetings at any time.

A - APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A-1 Commissioner Berauer moved, supported by **Commissioner Lawter** to approve the minutes of the August 19, 2008 meeting with corrections.

The minutes of August 19, 2008 were approved unanimously.

SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

Project Grow

- **M. Kesterson** spoke on Project Grow along with passing photos to the Commission and explained in detail regarding the pilot program that was discussed approximately 2 years ago.
- Last season a garden was opened at Buhr Park, pictures were explained to the Commission showing how nice the garden is. The season at Buhr Park was very good and only positive comments have been received.
- Guidelines were created due to concerned neighbors; these guidelines are to be followed by the gardener's in the community garden. This has been well received.
- Other sites are being considered, one being South Maple, the other is Hunt Park. This is a slow process and the neighbors are very involved with the decision making. If the neighborhood support is in tact then a community meeting will be held regarding the garden.
- **S. Offen** asked if all the space at the Buhr garden is used or if only what would be used is created.
- **M. Kesterson** stated it was important to the neighbors that the space for the garden was very definitive. The agreement was to have eight spaces; those are all 25 by 30 plots and there is a 2 -3 foot aisle down the middle.
- After the garden was full, there were more people calling wanting to participate. It would be nice to make the garden larger if possible.
- **S. Offen** asked if there were any plans to expand the garden at this time.

M. Kesterson stated not at this time.

- **S. Rosencrans** asked if there is a donor base within the membership and what other sources of funding is available.
- **M. Kesterson** stated yes, the majority of the monies come from the gardener's who rent plots for the season, which is a significant portion of the budget. The County provides money and Project Grow has been reinstated in the budget with the City for 2009 fiscal year.
 - **J. Lawter** asked if there was an outreach program and fundraisers.
 - **M. Kesterson** stated yes there are fundraiser's, letters are sent, plant sales and grants.
 - **G. Nystuen** asked how many people are participating in this endeavor.
 - **M. Kesterson** stated approximately 500 gardeners, if one person signs up there may be a family that is actually participating. This number does not include the donations to Food Gathers, neighbors and friends.
 - **S.** Offen asked C. Smith if Project Grow would be a part of the budget in the 2010 2011 fiscal year.
 - **C. Smith** stated all programs and facilities are evaluated during the budget process; and it is too early to be able to answer effectively.
 - **S.** Offen asked if the monies would come from the Parks budget as oppose to the Community Services budget.
 - C. Smith stated yes.
- T. Berla asked if there is a garden at the Church on Liberty.
 M. Kesterson stated ves. and there are many smaller sites to make up for the bigger
 - **M. Kesterson** stated yes, and there are many smaller sites to make up for the bigger site at the Church.
 - **T. Berla** asked if there was any way to know how many people would like to participate and have project grow gardens. Also asking what the City could do to help promote this project.
 - **M. Kesterson** expressed her thanks and stated the City is already helping by allowing people that are a part of the Parks Department to identify spots to place gardens. Part of the guidelines are to identify spots where people want to garden.
 - **T. Berla** asked if there has ever been a problem with neighbors that did not want a garden to be put in.
 - M. Kesterson stated no.
- **L. Berauer** asked how many plots there are.

- **M. Kesterson** stated approximately 200 plots and this number is subject to change. For example at Greenview Garden, the swamp is coming in and a few plots are lost due to this; the plots are approximately 25 x 30.
 - **L. Berauer** asked how many locations are there.
 - **M. Kesterson** stated approximately 12; two of those are discovery gardens which are gardens for children, seniors and handicap accessible plots.
 - **L. Berauer** asked if the guidelines for each location are customized.
 - **M. Kesterson** stated yes and explained the over arching guidelines which are the all organic gardening. Within each garden the guidelines are customized to fit the designated area.
 - **M.** Anglin thanked Jayne Miller for re-working and re-funding the budget to include Project Grow. City Council was in full support of Project Grow. The community is also in full support and it is very important and has been in existence for a long time.

PUBLIC HEARING

B - UNFINISHED BUSINESS

- **B-1** HRIMP Update
 - **S. Rosencrans** spoke on this issue, explaining the topic of discussion is whether to remove Argo Dam or if the Dam can be repaired. This topic will go to the Public in September 2008 in a series of meetings to get feedback on ideas concerning the Dam.

The State of Michigan found a deteriorating condition on the earth and berm section of the Argo Dam, which could compromise the Dam itself. The mechanical concrete and steel section which controls the flow with moveable gates, there is an island attached to it; that is where we are referring to the earth and berm.

There have been new developments with a possibility of altering the earth and berm section of the Dam that would facilitate increased recreational activities as well as maintain the Dam and preserve the rowing program that is in place.

There are five different rowing associations; there is a private rowing club and school teams including the University of Michigan who use that body of water for their rowing programs. There are hundreds of thousands of dollars invested in their boats and facilities; these are successful programs that are sending children to many of the Ivy League schools.

On one side of the earth and berm you have the flow of the river that comes out of the concrete and steel mechanical area and that is not the one that you canoe from when you go down the river.

There is a by-pass on the other side of the earth and berm where a short white water rafting run could be developed.

David Barrett is on this task force. The hard numbers are not available regarding what the cost would be to repair the Argo Dam. There are very broad estimates at this point.

The actual numbers need to be defined before a decision is made whether or not to remove or repair the Dam.

- **J. Lawter** asked if the silting, health of the river and the depth being lost are points of concern.
- **S. Rosencrans** stated wherever there is a Dam there is silt built up.
- **B. Delaney** explained at one point the Dam was drained, and they could cruise the river, pull firearms out of the river and re-condition them.
- **S. Rosencrans** stated the periodic drainage also facilitated some of the clearing of the silt.
- **G. Nystuen** stated another problem with the Dam would be the vegetation and the growth of the invasive waterweeds, which has gotten worse.
- **S. Rosencrans** explained there are different methods for management of vegetation being proposed.
- **L. Berauer** stated the Argo Dam question is the largest issue in terms of impact on recreational uses and potential expense.

The larger purpose of the study is exactly managing the water quality in terms of siltage and invasives.

- **S. Rosencrans** stated the investments and popular rowing programs are very large issues.
- **S. Offen** asked if the state has set a deadline that should be met.
- **S. Rosencrans** stated yes, the original deadline was January 2008.
- **S. Offen** asked if there would be any penalties imposed due to missing the deadline.
- **S. Rosencrans** stated he was not aware of any penalties.
- **T. Berla** stated when there is standing water the normal course of events is for vegetation to grow and turn into swamp.

The overall health of the river is a concern and generally Dams are not positive.

The rowing clubs cut off the top of the vegetation when it is in their way, which may not be the best solution; this could be spreading the plants or creating dead material at the bottom. If the Dam remains open, an agreement should be made with the rowing clubs, to consider having them pay for a portion of the work that is needed.

S. Rosencrans stated the removal of the Dam gives the opportunity of the direction of having the river system within the City limits work in a natural fashion. It would not be possible to maintain the City structures and infrastructure as we have them today if the Dams were removed.

It is not economically feasible to remove all of the Dams and restore it to a completely natural state. It would be a huge global change to what we've done.

The removal of the Argo Dam would wreak havoc on the rowing programs that are in place. Since this is a City wide problem it should be the City's responsibility to help whatever replacement those rowing clubs need.

- **T. Berla** stated the U of M women's club rows in different places.
- **S. Rosencrans** stated the U of M women's rowing club rows at Ford Lake.
- **T. Berla** asked if some of the Dams are producing electricity.
- **S. Rosencrans** stated yes, both Barton Dam and Superior Dam produce electricity.
- **C. Smith** explained the immediate concern is the Dam, but it is good to keep in mind that part of what this committee is dealing with is a long term vision for the rivers. Regardless of the results, there will be an impact both environmentally and recreationally.

The recreation aspect is a big part of the decision due to so many people using the river, whether it is for rowing or the canoe liveries which is also popular.

- **L. Berauer** asked if the idea has been discussed regarding the Parks Department assisting with the cost of the repairs to the Dam.
- **S. Rosencrans** stated the topic of the City assisting with the cost of repairs was mentioned in the meetings on the task force.
- **C. Smith** stated there were discussions pertaining to the possible increase of recreational opportunities, and whether this is something the Parks system would be interested in or able to fund.

B-2 Update on Charter Amendment regarding Voter Approval for Sale of Parkland

L. Berauer explained the Park Advisory Commission wrote a Resolution attempting to close the loop hole pertaining to the sale of parkland.

Retired Councilperson Robert Johnson took the Resolution to City Council and it failed.

Currently the ordinance requires the sale of parkland to go to the voter's for approval, there is a loop hole in the current language that was used successfully by the City of Novi.

Novi City Council severed a portion of land from the Parks system, thereby allowing that land to be sold simply by a majority of Council votes rather than having to go to the voters. The Park Advisory Commission vote was intended to say non-severability and the voter's would be involved with any parcel of land within the Park system.

Recently the Mayor sponsored a similar Resolution, took it to Council and it passed. L. Berauer asked Councilperson Mike Anglin to explain in further details.

Mike Anglin strongly suggested the Commission look at the videotape of that specific meeting where the actual resolution was passed.

The Resolution was re-written at City Council on the night of that particular meeting. It was a situation in which you are asked to support an issue that is extremely important. There is a possibility that changes as well as law suits will take place in a result of the Resolution.

Parks and parcels of land are defined differently with many people and could result in an endless discussion. The intent that I voted for was for the citizens due to it being an important item. Most issues that go to ballot are open to interpretation; this issue was one of those issues.

There were opinions that this issue was already protected by the State, that it was a redundancy and there was not a need to actually vote.

L. Berauer stated the language that Robert Johnson worked on with the Park Advisory Commission, the City Attorney's office and ultimately taking it to the Attorney General office to make sure it was approved at the State level because it is changed to an ordinance.

The language brought forth to City Council was different. The understanding is that the Resolution was changed further at the Council meeting.

M. Anglin stated there would be a better understanding if the tape from that particular meeting is viewed.

- **S. Rosencrans** stated there is existing legislation at the State level; the situation in Novi resulted in the parcels being re-designated as non-parkland and therefore were able to sell that land. This was in fact the loop hole.
- S. Rosencrans disagreed that the Resolution did not have a leg a stand on; people in this City are very passionate regarding parkland.
- **L. Berauer** stated the definition of a Park was clear in the original resolution that the Park Advisory Commission presented.
- **M. Anglin** stated we do not want to sell this land unless we as citizens are asked about it; this is a complicated issue that requires further investigation.
- **T. Berla** stated the political connection that we have with preventing the sale of parkland is not enough, we want a legal solution.

There is confusion regarding this issue. There is a parcel of land on Fuller Rd. that is used as a parking lot for the University of Michigan, the revenue goes to Parks; is it a Park or not.

This Resolution may make it harder to buy land if the millage money went to buy land.

- **L. Berauer** stated it would not make it impossible to do this, we should ask the voters.
- **B-3** Park Operation Budget & Updates from the Budget Committee
 - **G. Nystuen** explained a meeting will be set up in October to discuss the upcoming budget. We have not received very much information regarding the budget for Forestry and Park Operations.

The formula is of interest for the General Fund allocation and the plan for the upcoming two year cycle.

The Golf budget will be viewed closely.

- **J. Lawter** hopes the meetings with Kelly McKinnon will take place regularly.
- **C. Smith** stated there are plans to have regular meetings to explain the Cost Center details with the Commission.
- **S. Offen** asked if Kelly McKinnon was a Parks employee.
- **C. Smith** stated yes she is a Community Service employee and does not work solely for the Parks & Recreation Services.
- **C. Smith** explained the end of year reports pertaining to Field Operations and Park Operations. The reports are a three year running history, 2005 2008.

- **S. Offen** asked for an explanation of a line item called debt service.
- C. Smith stated he would confirm this information.
- **G. Nystuen** stated that line item could be the building debt for the new facility (The Wheeler Building).
- **M. Warba** stated one of the line items under maintenance athletic field is a large amount of money. This was the money City Council had ear marked for the Athletic Field project. As a result of the project not being underway at that time, the money could not be used for any other function.

This is why a large amount of unspent money is seen. The money is ear marked for the project that is under way now.

- **T. Berla** asked about the monies that were allocated for the ball diamonds maintenance.
- **M. Warba** stated the only part of maintenance the City does not currently do is line the fields for play. We drag the fields, water the fields, mow the fields, maintain the fences at the fields, we install the bases and the anchors.
- **T. Berla** asked where this item would be found on the report.
- M. Warba stated this item would be part of a millage function.
- **S. Offen** asked for an explanation of non park mowing.
- **M. Warba** stated we contract out some of the mowing of the boulevards, but there are some areas that are not contracted out and we are responsible for those areas.
- S. Offen asked why the budget was so low for mowing and non park mowing.
- **C. Smith** stated he would clarify and explain to the Commission.
- **M. Anglin** asked for the lighting at Veterans Memorial Park to be explained.
- **M. Warba** explained at the start of the season through the efforts with Rob Millett and Larry Dishman the new lighting system was installed and is an automated the system. We have the ability to call in changes to the schedules that Rec. & Ed. supplies us for the field usage.

We now have the ability to go on line if the weather is not feasible as well as call an 800 number that allows you to provide a user ID to have the lights continue if needed.

- **T. Berla** stated the new lighting system is better for the City, the players and staff.
- **L. Berauer** stated it was not an expensive purchase.
- **M. Warba** stated the total cost was approximately \$19,000.00 and has been well received.
- **S. Offen** asked if the lights were new or if the same lamps were being used.
- **M. Warba** stated he did not think the lights were changed.
- **M. Warba** explained the progress of the two fields at Fuller Park. The drainage work is complete on field #4; the drainage work is well on the way on field #5.

There will be additions made to the irrigation system and all of the irrigation pipes will have a GPS system. Once the earth work is complete on field #5 the project will be moved over to Olson Park.

- **T. Berla** asked if there is a timeline on when fields 4 and 5 will be open for play.
- **M. Warba** stated hopefully by late spring of 2009 or early summer of 2009.

The underground work will be done so the work that is complete will not be disturbed. It will be the same type of computer operated system that we have at Southeast Area Park and Veterans Memorial Park.

Seven new water fountains are being installed throughout the parks. We have the ability to customize the fountains to the needs of the park.

- **S. Offen** thanked M. Warba for the new water fountains in Gallup Park.
- **M. Warba** stated we now have the ability to have dog drinkers on all of the fountains.
- S. Offen asked if there are handicap accessible fountains in the parks as well.
- **M. Warba** stated based on the volume of the park the fountains are being installed and increase capacity and use of the fountains as well as the handicap fountains.
- **M.** Anglin thanked M. Warba for all of the efforts that are being made to improve the parks.

C - NEW BUSINESS

- C-1 415 West Washington Project as it relates to Greenway (**deferred to the next meeting**)
- C-2 Capital Project Prioritization and Capital Process (deferred to the next meeting)

D - <u>COMMISSION PROPOSED BUSINESS</u>

- **D-1** Carbon Neutral Concert Series
 - **S. Rosencrans** stated this is an idea that he was working with Brian Delaney to achieve.
 - **B. Delaney** explained that he was a full time musician here in Ann Arbor, and stated he uses the term "full time musician" very loosely. B. Delaney also explained a poster to the Commission in depth.

As you are probably already aware, in June of this year, 81 acre Brown Park was rededicated as Mary Beth Doyle Park, recognizing the late Mary Beth Doyle's long-standing commitment to environmentalism, around which she shaped her life.

Scott and I both being friends of Mary Beth, we were in attendance for the Dedication Ceremony, which was a beautiful event.

As the ceremony ended, a small group of musicians gathered to play a few songs as the crowd was dispersing. Later, as we cased up our instruments, it occurred to us that the site of the park could be a viable venue for the concept of an environmentally sound concert series.

In the ensuing months, I have taken the time to speak with numerous musicians about the concept of a "Green" concert series, (potentially in 2009) and received a very positive response.

But just what would an "environmentally sound" concert series look like in Ann Arbor? I tapped a talented friend, Mr. Eric Kelly to come up with a mock up poster to give you a visual idea, and here are the concepts.

First, the project would be absolutely carbon-neutral and environmentally friendly at a time when awareness of such issues is important and is being championed by the city. At a glance, this means a musical series completely devoid of the use of electrical amplification. You heard right- no traditional power sources- no generators, solar panels- no power whatsoever beyond the musicians and their creativity and ability to put their music across.

 How might this work?

For some it may be somewhat difficult to imagine, but history shows us that musicians have created art in public spaces for thousands of years without the use of external amplification. Only in the last 70 years has electricity been a tool harnessed for such a purpose.

Many of today's musicians rehearse and sometimes play in an acoustic manner and typically will only use amplification in a public setting to reach a vast cross-section of people.

- **S. Offen** asked if the performers would be paid and would people be required to pay admission.
- **B. Delaney** stated the intention is to solicit sponsorship from local businesses.
- **S. Rosencrans** stated it is against park regulations to solicit funds; this is why we are seeking sponsorship.
- **L. Berauer** asked if sponsorship is received, would there still be a charge for admission.
- **B. Delaney** stated the goal would not to charge admission
- **S. Offen** asked if there was proper space in the Mary Beth Doyle Park.
- **B. Delaney** explained the original idea came to mind at the dedication of the park.
- **L. Berauer** asked if there was anything specific that the Park Advisory Commission could do to help this project.
- **S. Rosencrans** stated the idea was brought to the Commission as informational purposes only.
- **C. Smith** there would not be a reason for the Park Advisory Commission to act on this idea; this would be discussed through the rental/special events application process.
- **D-2** Elections for Chair and Vice-Chair (**moved to the beginning of the meeting**)
- **D-3** Review of Park Advisory Commission Work Plan for 2008-09 (**deferred to the next meeting**)

E - REPORT FROM PARKS AND RECREATION MANAGER

C. Smith gave an update on the vacancies and the interviews that have taken place.

F - REPORT FROM MANAGER OF FIELD OPERATIONS

G - REPORT FROM RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION

T. Berla gave an update on the water main project that disturbed the volley ball courts at Forsythe School.

Recreation & Education has started to partner with a soccer team for disabled children.

H - REPORTS FROM RELEVANT COMMISSIONS, COMMITTEES, BOARDS AND/OR TASK FORCES

- **J. Lawter** stated there is good news regarding the Golf Courses, largely due to marketing, customer service and capital improvements.
- **C. Smith** stated Cheryl Saam will return to the Canoe Liveries and Jason Nealis will return to Veterans Memorial Park. There will be a transition period for training purpose with the new hires.
- **S. Rosencrans** stated the golf cart tunnel issue will be resolved for approximately \$10,000 \$20,000.00 which was far less than expected.
- **C. Smith** stated the cart lease will have to be re-bidded. One suggestion is to purchase carts that have canopies, and to have bumpers on both sides of the tunnels to assist in guiding the carts through the tunnels.
- I PUBLIC COMMENTARY GENERAL (3 Minutes per Speaker)
- J <u>CLOSED SESSION TO DEAL WITH LAND ACQUISITION ISSUES</u> (If Applicable)

K- TRANSMITTALS

- K-1 City Council Items Update
- K-2 Park Project Update
- **K-3** Park and Recreation Events for August September 2008
- **K-4** By-Laws of the Park Advisory Commission City of Ann Arbor, Michigan (moved to the beginning of the meeting)

There being no further business to come before the Commission, **Commissioner Berauer** moved, supported by Commissioner Rosencrans that the meeting be adjourned. The regular meeting was adjourned at 6:42 p.m.