## PROPOSED FY15 PAYMENT STANDARDS FOR VOUCHER PROGRAMS

Payment Standard must be between 90\%-110\% of the FMR

| Proposed FY2016 Payment Standard Ann Arbor City Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Efficiency | One- <br> Bedroom | Two- <br> Bedroom | Three- <br> Bedroom | Four- <br> Bedroom |  |
| HUD FY2015 FMR | $\$ 675$ | $\$ 813$ | $\$ 964$ | $\$ 1,318$ | $\$ 1,707$ |  |
| HUD FY2016 PROPOSED FMR | $\$ 759$ | $\$ 841$ | $\$ 1,007$ | $\$ 1,380$ | $\$ 1,758$ |  |
| 2015 Payment Standard |  | $\$ 894$ | $\$ 1,060$ | $\$ 1,450$ | $\$ 1,878$ |  |
| 2016 Proposed PS | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\$ 925$ | $\$ 1,108$ | $\$ 1,518$ | $\$ 1,934$ |  |
| 2016 PS as a \% of 2016 FMR | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $110 \%$ | $110 \%$ | $110 \%$ | $110 \%$ |  |


| Proposed FY2016 payment Standard Washtenaw County (minus Ann Arbor city) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Efficiency | One- <br> Bedroom | Two- <br> Bedroom | Three- <br> Bedroom | Four- <br> Bedroom |  |  |
| HUD FY2015 FMR | $\$ 675$ | $\$ 813$ | $\$ 964$ | $\$ 1,318$ | $\$ 1,707$ |  |  |
| HUD FY2016 PROPOSED FMR | $\$ 759$ | $\$ 841$ | $\$ 1,007$ | $\$ 1,380$ | $\$ 1,758$ |  |  |
| 2015 PS |  | $\$ 813$ | $\$ 964$ | $\$ 1,318$ | $\$ 1,707$ |  |  |
| 2016 Proposed PS | n/a | $\$ 813$ | $\$ 964$ | $\$ 1,318$ | $\$ 1,707$ |  |  |
| 2016 PS as a \% of 2016 FMR | n/a | $97 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $97 \%$ |  |  |

Proposed FY2016 payment Standard Monroe County

| Year | Efficiency | One- <br> Bedroom | Two- <br> Bedroom | Three- <br> Bedroom | Four- <br> Bedroom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HUD FY2015 FMR | $\$ 475$ | $\$ 595$ | $\$ 798$ | $\$ 1,028$ | $\$ 1,216$ |
| HUD FY2016 PROPOSED FMR | $\$ 519$ | $\$ 596$ | $\$ 798$ | $\$ 1,091$ | $\$ 1,094$ |
| 2015 PS |  | $\$ 595$ | $\$ 798$ | $\$ 1,028$ | $\$ 1,216$ |
| 2016 Proposed PS | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\$ 595$ | $\$ 798$ | $\$ 1,091$ | $\$ 1,094$ |
| 2016 PS as a \% of 2016 FMR | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |


| Proposed FY2016 payment Standard Wayne County |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Efficiency | One- <br> Bedroom | Two- <br> Bedroom | Three- <br> Bedroom | Four- <br> Bedroom |
| HUD FY2015 FMR | $\$ 510$ | $\$ 648$ | $\$ 846$ | $\$ 1,128$ | $\$ 1,233$ |
| HUD FY2016 PROPOSED FMR | $\$ 526$ | $\$ 651$ | $\$ 853$ | $\$ 1,134$ | $\$ 1,220$ |
| 2015 PS |  | $\$ 648$ | $\$ 846$ | $\$ 1,128$ | $\$ 1,233$ |
| 2016 Proposed PS | $n / a$ | $\$ 648$ | $\$ 846$ | $\$ 1,128$ | $\$ 1,233$ |
| 2016 PS as a \% of 2016 FMR | $n / a$ | $100 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $101 \%$ |

\$586 Avg HAP expense as of 9/1/2015
Note: AAHC removed Wayne County from the HCV service area; howev analysis must be completed as long as tenants reside in the area.
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