ANN ARBOR HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION

Staff Report

ADDRESS: 202 East Washington Street, Application Number HDC14-140

DISTRICT: Main Street Historic District

REPORT DATE: August 14, 2014

REPORT PREPARED BY: Jill Thacher, Historic Preservation Coordinator

REVIEW COMMITTEE DATE: Monday, August 11, 2014

	OWNER	APPLICANT
Name:	Cameron Holdings, LLC	Same
Address:	300 N. Fifth Ave, Suite 100	
	Ann Arbor, MI 48104	
Phone:	(734) 627-1600	

BACKGROUND: 200-202 East Washington was constructed as the Ypsi-Ann Building in 1927-28 and first occupied in 1928. The Betty Shop at 200 East Washington is prominently displayed in the 1928 City Directory. This seven-story commercial vernacular was designed by Ralph S. Gerganoff, a prolific Ypsilanti architect who designed several Ann Arbor commercial buildings, such as the Beer Depot (before it was altered almost beyond recognition), the elegant art-deco Kingsley Apartments, and St. Nicholas Greek Orthodox Church on North Main (recently demolished). The building features red tapestry brick on floors two through seven, and stone on the first floor and cornice. The architect's signature inset limestone diamonds are prominent. At some point the building became known as the Wolverine Building, and in the 1980s, the upper story windows and the East Washington Street fixed canopy were replaced and the building was renamed Washington Square.

In May, 2014 the Historic District Commission denied an application to replace the existing storefronts with a new aluminum storefront system.

LOCATION: The site is on the southeast corner of East Washington Street and South Fourth Avenue.

APPLICATION: The applicant seeks HDC approval to install four (4) 36" x 52" sign brackets, three on South Fourth Avenue and one on East Washington Street.

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS:

From the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation:



- (9) New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.
- (10) New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

From the Secretary of the Interior's Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings (other SOI Guidelines may also apply):

Storefronts

Not Recommended: Introducing a new design that is incompatible in size, scale, material, and color; using inappropriately scaled signs and logos or other types of signs that obscure, damage, or destroy remaining character-defining features of the historic building; using new illuminated signs.

From the Ann Arbor Historic District Design Guidelines:

Design Guidelines for Signs

Appropriate: Attaching signage through masonry joints, not masonry units, or through materials that can be easily repaired, such as wood, when the signage is removed.

Installing signage that is subordinate to the overall building composition.

Placing signs to align with others along the commercial block face.

Attaching signage through masonry joints, not masonry units, or through materials that can be easily repaired, such as wood, when the signage is removed.

STAFF FINDINGS

- 1) The four sign brackets are 1 1/2" twisted steel with a 52" arm extending out and a 32" bracket against the wall. There is a diamond detail that picks up on the building's diamond motif, and two rings from which to hang the sign. The largest storefront at the corner would have one sign on each street, and the two smaller storefronts would each have one sign. This would be the primary signage for businesses occupying these spaces Additional wall signs would not be allowed. This is a creative solution to the problem of where to hang signage on this building. The owner wants to prevent drilling into the stone band above the transom to mount new signs.
- 2) The sign brackets are mounted in the brick immediately above the stone on the first floor storefronts. Staff recommends conditioning the motion to limit the size of the signs so that they are located only in front of the stonework, and do not extend beyond the end of the bracket, or in front of the transom windows. This will allow a sign that is roughly the same size as the existing Sottini's sign on the building. Also, the bracket must be mounted in mortar joints, not through the brick.
- **3)** If this application is approved, the round Sottini's sign will be re-hung from one of the new steel brackets.

4) Staff recommends approval of the application. The sign brackets end the need to drill into the stone above the transom, and the signs themselves will be easy to change when tenants turn over. The bracket design is compatible and complimentary to the building, and will result in more uniform signage.

POSSIBLE MOTION (Note that the motion is only a suggestion. The Review Committee, consisting of staff and at least two Commissioners, will meet with the applicant on site and then make a recommendation at the meeting.)

I move that the Commission issue a certificate of appropriateness for the application at 202 East Washington Street, a contributing structure in the Main Street Historic District, to install four sign brackets on the following conditions: the brackets must be mounted through masonry joints, not masonry units; and the signs hanging from the brackets may not extend beyond the end of the bracket nor over the transom glazing. The work as conditioned is compatible in exterior design, arrangement, materials, and relationship to the building and the surrounding area and meets *The City of Ann Arbor Historic District Design Guidelines* for signs, and *The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation* and *Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings,* in particular standards 9 and 10, and the guidelines for storefronts.

MOTION WORKSHEET

I move that the Commission issue a Certificate of Appropriateness for the work at <u>202 East</u> <u>Washington Street</u> in the <u>Main Street</u> Historic District

Provided the following condition(S) is (ARE) met: 1) STATE CONDITION(s)

The work is generally compatible with the size, scale, massing, and materials and meets the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation, standard(S) number(S) *(circle all that apply)*: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10

ATTACHMENTS: application, drawings.

202 East Washington Street (2014)



HOC14-140

	PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES — PLANNING SERVICES
CHIGP	301 E. Huron Street P.O. Box 8647 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107-8647 p 734.794.6265 f 734.994.8312 planning@a2gov.org
	ANN ARBOR HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION APPLICATION
Section	1: Property Being Reviewed and Ownership Information
Address	s of Property: 202 E Washington ported
Historic	District: Main Street Historic District
Name o	f Property Owner (If different than the applicant):
Address	s of Property Owner: Cameron Holdings, LLC
Daytime	Phone and E-mail of Property Owner: 134) 627-1600 Janeuanse Cameronholdin
Signatu	re of Property Owner:Date:
Section	a 2: Applicant Information
Name o	f Applicant: <u>Cameron Holdings, LLC</u>
Address	s of Applicant: 300 N STR AVE, Sorte 100 A2
Daytime	Phone: (134) 627-1600 Fax: (134) 585-5150
E-mail:	Janevans @ cameron holding. net
Applica	nt's Relationship to Property: <u></u> ownerarchitectcontactorother
Signatu	re of applicant: Date:
Section	n 3: Building Use (check all that apply)
F	Residential Single Family Multiple Family Rental
<u>/</u> (Commercial Institutional
Section	4: Stille-DeRossett-Hale Single State Construction Code Act (This item MUST BE INITIALED for your application to be PROCESSED)
anguag <i>underta</i>	Act 169, Michigan's Local Historic Districts Act, was amended April 2004 to include the following je: "the applicant has certified in the application that the property where the work will be ken has, or will have before the proposed completion date, a a fire alarm or smoke alarm ing with the requirements of the Stille-DeRossett-Hale Single State Construction Code Act, 1972

Section 5: Description of Proposed Changes (attach additional sheets as necessary) 1. Provide a brief summary of proposed changes. Brackets retar SIGNAGE total Space, Street ashing or 2. Provide a description of existing conditions. Current signs are directl into stonework bolted 3. What are the reasons for the proposed changes? Corrent stone work 15 and 100 1)0 Some pina reolaced m main tocmi SIGNS 10 and onewor nes Pu 20 4. Attach any additional information that will further explain or clarify the proposal, and indicate these attachments here. 5. Attach photographs of the existing property, including at least one general photo and detailed photos of proposed work area.

n/	FF USE ONLY			
Date Submitted: 723-14	Application	toSt	aff orHDC	
Project No.: HDC 14-140	Fee Paid: _	10000		
Pre-filing Staff Reviewer & Date:	Date of Pub	Date of Public Hearing:		
Application Filing Date:	Action:	HDC COA _	HDC Denial	
Staff signature:		HDC NTP	Staff COA	
Comments:				















