

RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY

LANSING 2013 JAN 34 AM 8: 53

R. KEVIN CLINTON STATE TREASURER

January 24, 2014

Steve Powers, City Administrator City of Ann Arbor, Washtenaw County 301 N. Fifth, PO Box 8647 Ann Arbor, MI 48107

Dear Mr. Powers,

As you are aware, Tax Management and Associates recently conducted an Audit of Minimum Assessing Requirements (AMAR) for the State Tax Commission. The audit indicated that the City of Ann Arbor does not meet the minimum requirements in one or more of the following areas and will need to be corrected:

- 1. Policy regarding public inspection of records
- 2. Board of Review prepared minutes were not filed with the local unit clerk
- 3. Lack of documented Economic Condition Factor Determinations
- 4. Lack of Land Value Maps
- 5. Lack of documented Land Value Determinations

Please provide a corrective action plan no later than February 28, 2014 outlining the steps as well as the deadlines of when the City of Ann Arbor will be correcting the deficiencies.

For your review, enclosed is a copy of your 2013 AMAR. An electronic version of the form detailing the requirements is also available on the State Tax Commission's website.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 517-335-3429.

Sincerely,

Kelli Sobel, Executive Director

State Tax Commission

Cc: City Clerk

Enclosure: 2013 AMAR Review

## Michigan State Tax Commission Audit of Minimum Assessing Requirements AMAR Review Sheet

The State Tax Commission, per MCL 211.10f, has jurisdiction to determine substantial compliance with the requirements of the General Property Tax Act. The AMAR review reflects the minimum assessing requirements of a local unit of government based on statute and STC Rules, Policy, Bulletins and Publications. Local units of government that do not meet one or more of the minimum requirements must submit a corrective action plan detailing how and when the deficiencies will be resolved.

Failure to submit an acceptable corrective action plan, or failure to resolve the deficiencies as outlined within the corrective action plan that is approved by the State Tax Commission, will result in a determination of substantial non-compliance and may result in the State Tax Commission assuming jurisdiction of the assessment roll of the local unit of government. Failure to meet one or more of the minimum AMAR requirements does not automatically result in State Tax Commission assumption of jurisdiction of the assessment roll.

## **Local Unit Background Information:**

Year of Audit: 2013

Name of Local Unit: CITY OF ANN ARBOR

Name of County: WASHTENAW
Name of Assessor: DAVID PETRAK
Assessor Certification Level: MMAO 4

Name of City Manager or Mayor: STEVE POWERS Title: CITY ADMINISTRATOR

JOHN HIEFTJE MAYOR

Mailing Address for City Manager or Mayor: 301 N Fifth, PO Box 8647, Ann Arbor, MI 48107

What is the required certification level for this local unit? MMAO 4

What date did the assessor certify the assessment roll? <u>3/28/13</u> signed warrant – does not fill out the L4037 Pre Board or after BOR certification

Does the local unit have a policy regarding public inspection of records? YES: \_\_\_ NO:  $\underline{X}$  The office is open for regular business hours and all information is posted on the web site.

Did the March, July and December Boards of Review prepare minutes and file them with the local unit clerk in accordance with MCL 211.33 and State Tax Commission Board of Review Publications? YES: \_\_\_ NO:  $\underline{X}$  Minutes are all held in the assessing office. Assessor did not file with the clerk.

If the Board of Review made any taxable valuation changes to parcels, was Form L-4035a, Taxable Value Calculations Worksheet, properly completed and made part of the Board of Review Record? YES: X NO: \_\_\_

Were forms L-4021, L-4022 and L-4025 timely delivered to County Equalization per MCL 211.34d and Rule 209.26(6b)? YES: X NO: \_\_\_ All filed 3/28/13

## **Assessment Roll Analysis:**

1.	Does the local unit have properly calculated and appropriately documented Economic Condition Factors that meet State Tax Commission requirements per MCL 211.10e and
	STC ECF Publications?
	Requirement Met: YES: NO: $\underline{X}$ ECF study for RES and Comm. Utilizes appraisal study for the County Equalization for Industrial values.
2.	Does the local unit have accurate Land Value Maps that meet the State Tax Commission requirements per MCL 211.10e and State Tax Commission Land Value Map Publications?
	Requirement Met: YES: NO: X Unit has land tables and maps with market values listed. No vacant land sales posted. Has an older map with vacant land sales through 2010 but not kept up to date.
3.	Does the local unit have Land Value Determinations that are appropriately documented, properly calculated and meet State Tax Commission requirements per MCL 211.10e and State Tax Commission Land Value Determination Publications?
	Requirement Met: YES: NO: $\underline{X}$ Unit has 29,854 active real properties on the script. 1,474 parcels have a land adjustment. 333 parcels have no reason listed. 9 parcels have an inappropriate reason. Assessor indicated there are no vacant sales and is utilizing the allocation method to value vacant land.
4.	Does the true cash value on the local unit record cards agree with the true cash value indicated on the assessment roll with less than 1% overrides and less than 1% flat land values – excluding DNR PILT Property (STC Policy)?
	Requirement Met: YES: X NO: Unit has 0.00% (18 out of 29,990) flat values with no reason, excluding exempt parcels. 20 parcels have flat values. Unit has 0.5% (139 out of 29,900) overrides in the database. Out of the 116 commercial properties a spot check indicated some are apartments, offices, subsidized housing. Some parcels have MTT value settlements from the previous year. Out of 23 Residential class properties a spot check indicated MBOR reductions for overrides.
5.	Do the local unit appraisal record cards meet a 90% or greater accuracy rating? (Based upon a review of 1% of the improved properties in a local unit up to a maximum of 500 parcels)
	Requirement Met: YES: $\underline{X}$ NO: The local unit sample size was 239 parcels. TMA had to use six (6) different 11bs to accommodate all of the parcels. The local unit achieved 90% or better on each of the 6 11bs that are included with this report.

	local unit include new construction from the prior year?
	Requirement Met: YES: X NO: Unit has 5,443 permits in the database for active parcels. Some are permits for the same parcel for plumbing/electrical/building. After discounting the duplicates 3,430 permits remain. Based upon a sample, unit is auditing parcels with permits and adding/deducting for new and demolitions. Unit is utilizing reason codes for changes and work description in database. Appropriate new/loss for class changes. Many remodel/reroof/mechanical permits have no new/addn value added.
	Is the local unit in compliance with the requirement of uncapping the taxable value of property in the year following a transfer of ownership per MCL 211.27a within a less than 5% error rate?
	Requirement Met: YES: X NO: Unit has 0.00% (3 out of 3,111) questionable transfers input into the database. A total of 1,155 are not uncapped. 31 transfers have no reason coded into database but appear to be ok based upon a sample and further assessor notation. Based upon a sample, the local unit requested and documents exemptions for affiliated groups. Some exemption reasons are input incorrectly but have no adverse effect.
	Based on a sampling of known "sale" properties, is the local unit assessing all properties uniformly at 50% of true cash value as outlined within STC Bulletin 19 of 1997?
	Requirement Met: YES: X NO: Unit had 1,496 sales in the 2012 database. Per a sample of 204 sales the adjustments are appropriate and within the range of similar adjustments within the same ECF code. There was 1 sale with a higher than average adjustment than the others in the same ECF code. A sample of New/Addn and Loss/losses are appropriate on sale and non-sale parcels.
	nents: s MBOR adjustments to value but does not input comments.
	declare that the foregoing information submitted is a complete and true statement.
	Alfonso A Consiglio Signature
	12/30/13 Date
represer binding	By checking this box, I agree and confirm that the signature I have typed above is the electronic ntation of my original, handwritten signature when used on this document and creates a legally-contract. I further understand that signing this document using my electronic signature will have the gally-binding effect as signing my signature using pen and paper.

Unit: City of Ann Arbor

**ACD Recap Analysis Of Assessment Records Sampled** House Class As % of "C" Observed % Good Scoring % Calculation By Record **Property** ACD Local ACD Actual Weighted Local Accuracy # Class Parcel Code Field Staff Unit Variance Field Staff Unit Variance Agreement Accuracy 100% 401 09-08-24-102-004 100% 62.0% 62.0% 88.2% 95.0% 95.0% 2 401 09-08-24-200-021 100% 100% 64.0% 64.0% 82.4% 91.8% 97.1% 100.0% 401 09-08-24-308-004 100% 100% 60.0% 60.0% 100.0% 100.0% 3 98.0% 4 401 09-08-24-401-008 100% 100% 60.0% 60.0% 94.1% 94.1% 5 401 09-08-24-4056-013 100% 100% 64.0% 64.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 6 401 09-08-24-414-004 100% 100% 62.0% 62.0% 94.1% 100.0% 100.0% 09-08-24-415-013 100% 100% 7 401 61.0% 61.0% 82.4% 95.3% 95.0% 8 401 09-08-24-420-007 110% 110% 79.0% 79.0% 88.2% 94.5% 95.3% 100% 100% 9 401 09-08-24-420-017 62.0% 62.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 90% 401 09-08-24-421-005 90% 71.0% 71.0% 99.9% 10 82.4% 100.0% 95% 09-08-25-200-015 95% 58.0% 58.0% 11 401 88.2% 89.1% 94.0% 12 401 09-08-25-20-028 90% 90% 92.0% 92.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 09-08-25-202-004 110% 5% 401 115% 61.0% 61.0% 76.5% 13 88.1% 94.3% 09-08-25-209-012 95% 95% 14 401 61.0% 61.0% 76.5% 80.8% 86.5% 09-08-25-211-014 105% 105% 15 401 63.0% 63.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 1.6 401 09-08-25-213-013 90% 90% 60.0% 60.0% 70.6% 77.8% 86.0% 09-08-25-216-010 17 401 110% 110% 88.0% 88.0% 94.1% 94.1% 98.0% 09-08-25-219-003 18 401 95% 95% 61.0% 61.0% 94.1% 94.1% 99.0% 19 401 09-08-25-401-010 90% 90% 89.0% 89.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 20 21 09-08-25-409-003 110% 115% 5% 88.0% 88.0% 22 401 94.1% 99.7% 100.0% 23 401 09-08-36-400-011 105% 105% 81.0% 81.0% 94.1% 99.6% 99.7% 24 401 09-08-36-400-041 105% 105% 82.0% 82.0% 94.1% 99.9% 99.9% 110% 25 401 09-09-10-300-072 110% 83.0% 83.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 26 401 09-09-10-301-021 110% 110% 84.0% 84.0% 88.2% 97.0% 95.3% 27 401 09-09-11-302-042 110% 110% 88.0% 88.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 110% 28 401 09-09-11-305-018 110% 88.0% 88.0% 94.1% 99.4% 96.0% 401 09-09-14-204-026 105% 105% 63.0% 99.6% 29 63.0% 94.1% 99.7% 105% 401 09-09-14-300-050 105% 62.0% 62.0% 99.6% 30 94.1% 99.7% 31 401 09-09-14-301-022 105% 105% 68.0% 68.0% 100.0% 100.0% 94.1% 09-09-14-302-037 105% 401 105% 64.0% 64.0% 98.4% 32 94.1% 96.0% 401 09-08-24-418-009 105% 105% 33 81.0% 81.0% 94.1% 96.8% 96.0% 105% 34 401 09-08-25-212-019 105% 63.0% 63.0% 82.4% 86.0% 94.0% 35 401 09-08-25-404-042 121% 121% 77.0% 77.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 401 09-08-25-404-055 110% 115% 5% 77.0% 36 77.0% 94.1% 99.7% 100.0% 09-08-25-407-007 110% 115% 37 401 5% 77.0% 77.0% 94.1% 99.7% 100.0% 38 401 09-09-09-400-013 110% 110% 94.0% 94.0% 82.4% 100.0% 100.0% 93.0% 39 401 09-09-09-400-039 110% 110% 93.0% 94.1% 95.7% 96.0% 09-09-09-401-003 40 401 110% 115% 5% 88.0% 88.0% 76.5% 93.8% 98.8% 09-09-10-300-066 41 401 110% 110% 88.0% 88.0% 94.1% 94.1% 99.0%

			House C	House Class As % of "C"			rved %	Good	Scoring % Calculation By		
Record #	Property Class	Parcel Code	ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Variance	ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Variance	Agreement	Actual Accuracy	Weighted Accuracy
42	401	09-09-10-300-076	110%	110%		84.0%	84.0%		82.4%	99.0%	79.6%
43	401	09-09-10-302-011	110%	110%		86.0%	86.0%		76.5%	98.9%	98.4%
44	401	09-09-10-303-003	110%	110%		86.0%	86.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
45	401	09-09-10-303-015	110%	110%		85.0%	85.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
46	401	09-09-10-303-057	110%	110%		87.0%	87.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
47	401	09-09-11-302-037	110%	110%		88.0%	88.0%		82.4%	93.8%	98.2%
48	401	09-09-11-305-025	110%	110%		88.0%	88.0%		82.4%	93.8%	98.2%
49	401	09-09-11-306-002	110%	110%		85.0%	85.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
50	401	09-09-14-201-022	105%	105%		65.0%	65.0%		88.2%	100.0%	100.0%
		Mean	105%	105%	1%	75%	75%	District His	91%	97%	98%
		Median	105%	105%		78%	78%		94%	100%	100%
		Mode	110%	110%		88%	88%		94%	100%	100%
Categor	ry Range (	Of Percentage Calcul	lations F	or Indiv	idual Pa	arcels				respect	
	% Of Agree			No.					71%	to	100%
- No.	% Of Accu			MI 148				SHEE	78%	to	100%
By Weigl	hted % Of	Accuracy							80%	to	100%

	To a said		House C	lass As %	% of "C"	Obse	rved % (	Good	Scoring '	tion By	
Record #	Property Class	Parcel Code	ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Alt de la	ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Variance	Agreement	Actual Accuracy	Weighted Accuracy
1	401	09-09-14-201-027	105%	105%		65.0%	65.0%		82.4%	94.1%	99.0%
2	401	09-09-14-201-038	105%	105%	Dirailes.	67.0%	67.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
3	401	09-09-14-204-027	105%	105%		63.0%	63.0%		88.2%	99.9%	99.8%
4	401	09-09-14-213-010	105%	105%		66.0%	66.0%		70.6%	81.5%	86.9%
5	401	09-09-15-200-028	110%	115%	5%	74.0%	74.0%		88.2%	93.8%	99.0%
6	401	09-09-15-204-022	100%	100%		68.0%	68.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
7	401	09-09-15-206-005	105%	105%		69.0%	69.0%		88.2%	99.7%	99.8%
8	401	09-09-15-402-003	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%	in fixed	88.2%	93.6%	98.4%
9	401	09-09-15-402-031	100%	100%		63.0%	63.0%		94.1%	94.1%	99.0%
10	401	09-09-15-405-021	100%	100%		63.0%	63.0%		70.6%	82.3%	90.9%
11	401	09-09-15-405-042	100%	100%		63.0%	63.0%		88.2%	94.1%	99.0%
12	401	09-09-15-408-017	100%	100%		64.0%	64.0%		82.4%	98.9%	98.0%
13	401	09-09-16-100-009	110%	115%	5%	86.0%	86.0%		88.2%	99.1%	99.9%
14	401	09-09-16-100-011	110%	115%	5%	87.0%	87.0%		88.2%	98.3%	96.0%
15							,91E A 11				TEACH
16	401	09-09-16-101-011	110%	115%	5%	85.0%	85.0%		76.5%	99.3%	99.3%
17	401	09-09-16-300-005	95%	95%		60.0%	60.0%		70.6%	86.6%	90.1%
18	Regulate										
19	401	09-09-16-304-012	105%	105%		61.0%	61.0%		88.2%	99.5%	99.0%
20	401	09-09-16-304-020	105%	105%		61.0%	61.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
21	401	09-09-16-306-008	95%	95%		63.0%	63.0%		88.2%	97.8%	99.2%
22	401	09-09-16-312-009	95%	95%		64.0%	64.0%		82.4%	85.9%	91.6%
23	401	09-09-16-314-019	95%	95%		64.0%	64.0%		94.1%	100.0%	100.0%
24	401	09-09-16-315-002	110%	115%	5%	63.0%	63.0%		76.5%	82.1%	85.0%
25	401	09-09-16-318-016	95%	95%	1 Pabya	64.0%	64.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
26	401	09-09-18-201-003	135%	135%		82.0%	82.0%		94.1%	94.1%	99.0%
27	401	09-09-18-304-008	105%	105%		88.0%	88.0%		94.1%	100.0%	100.0%
28	401	09-09-18-304-013	105%	105%		88.0%	88.0%		76.5%	97.9%	95.4%
29	401	09-09-18-305-007	105%	105%		89.0%	89.0%		82.4%	99.1%	92.0%
30	401	09-09-19-102-003	100%	100%		65.0%	65.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
31	401	09-09-19-103-013	100%	100%		60.0%	60.0%		88.2%	88.2%	92.0%
32	401	09-09-19-110-004	100%	100%		60.0%	60.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
33	401	09-09-19-202-017	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		94.1%	98.0%	96.0%
34	401	09-09-19-202-032	95%	95%		60.0%	60.0%	k in the second	100.0%	100.0%	100.09
35	401	09-09-19-204-001	95%	95%		61.0%	61.0%		88.2%	96.8%	99.2%
36	401	09-09-19-205-017	95%	95%		60.0%	60.0%		82.4%	99.6%	99.4%
37	401	09-09-19-212-015	100%	100%		69.0%	69.0%	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	100.0%	100.0%	100.09
38	401	09-09-19-212-036	100%	100%		89.0%	89.0%		76.5%	95.9%	95.5%
39	401	09-09-19-214-064	105%	105%		60.0%	60.0%		94.1%	94.1%	99.0%
40	401	09-09-19-300-035	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		76.5%	95.5%	94.1%
41	401	09-09-19-304-013	100%	100%		60.0%	60.0%		94.1%	97.9%	96.0%

Sa Gw			House C	lass As %	% of "C"	Obse	rved % (	Good	Scoring % Calculation B		tion By
Record #	Property Class		ACD Field Staff	Local Unit		ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Variance	Agreement	Actual Accuracy	Weighted Accuracy
42											
43						,		ļ			
44	401	09-09-19-309-002	100%	100%		64.0%	64.0%		82.4%	88.1%	91.9%
45											
46										1123000	
47					V - V - 30						
48					<u> </u>				DELEGE.		
49							<u> </u>	ļ			
50											
		Mean	103%	103%	1%	68%	68%		88%	96%	97%
		Median	100%	100%		64%	64%		88%	98%	99%
Jane		Mode	100%	100%		60%	60%		88%	100%	100%
Categor	ry Range	Of Percentage Calcu	lations F	or Indi	vidual P	arcels					
	% Of Agree		Louisins	SASSI	S. C.				71%	to	100%
10.00	% Of Accu		unite la	M. Birth					81%	to	100%
	hted % Of	MONEY AND	THE STATE OF						85%	to	100%

			House C	lass As %	% of "C"	Obse	rved %	Good	Scoring % Calculation By		
Record #	Property Class	Parcel Code	ACD Field Staff	Local Unit		ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Variance	Agreement	Actual Accuracy	Weighted Accuracy
1	401	09-09-19-321-004	95%	95%		64.0%	64.0%		64.7%	73.4%	85.6%
2	401	09-09-19-321-006	95%	95%		61.0%	61.0%		94.1%	99.0%	99.8%
3	401	09-09-19-401-001	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	88.9%	92.3%
4	401	09-09-19-405-012	95%	95%		61.0%	61.0%		76.5%	80.6%	77.0%
5	401	09-09-19-406-020	100%	100%		60.0%	60.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
6	401	09-09-19-407-003	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	82.4%	96.0%
7	401	09-09-19-415-002	95%	95%	1000	60.0%	60.0%		94.1%	99.8%	99.9%
8	401	09-09-19-415-025	121%	121%		73.0%	73.0%		82.4%	99.0%	95.8%
9	401	09-09-20-301-049	100%	100%		60.0%	60.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
10	401	09-09-20-302-021	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
11	401	09-09-20-304-010	100%	100%		60.0%	60.0%		94.1%	100.0%	100.0%
12	401	09-09-20-304-011	105%	105%		81.0%	81.0%	RP 54	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
13											
14	401	09-09-20-305-033	95%	95%		59.0%	59.0%		88.2%	95.7%	95.3%
15	401	09-09-20-307-008	105%	105%	English	61.0%	61.0%		94.1%	99.3%	96.0%
16	401	09-09-20-309-018	105%	105%		61.0%	61.0%		88.2%	88.2%	97.0%
17	401	09-09-20-310-002	105%	105%		64.0%	64.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
18	401	09-09-20-312-012	105%	105%		70.0%	70.0%		94.1%	94.1%	99.0%
19	401	09-09-20-313-001	100%	100%		60.0%	60.0%		88.2%	94.0%	98.9%
20	401	09-09-20-404-005	74%	74%		60.0%	60.0%		94.1%	94.1%	99.0%
21	401	09-09-20-405-041	100%	100%		85.0%	85.0%		94.1%	94.1%	99.0%
22	401	09-09-20-407-001	100%	100%		60.0%	60.0%		76.5%	76.5%	88.0%
23	401	09-09-21-100-010	90%	90%		65.0%	65.0%		94.1%	100.0%	100.0%
24	401	09-09-21-100-026	90%	90%		61.0%	61.0%		94.1%	94.1%	99.0%
25	401	09-09-21-104-046	105%	105%		62.0%	62.0%		94.1%	94.1%	99.0%
26	401	09-09-21-200-002	95%	95%		60.0%	60.0%		82.4%	82.4%	93.0%
27	401	09-09-21-200-022	95%	95%	NBEAN	66.0%	66.0%		82.4%	82.4%	93.0%
28	401	09-09-21-200-030	95%	95%		66.0%	66.0%		88.2%	96.4%	95.0%
29	401	09-09-21-201-002	95%	95%		61.0%	61.0%		88.2%	96.5%	98.6%
30	401	09-09-21-202-002	90%	90%		60.0%	60.0%		82.4%	95.6%	94.7%
31	401	09-09-21-204-015	82%	82%		60.0%	60.0%		88.2%	95.1%	95.0%
32	401	09-09-21-208-030	105%	105%		80.0%	80.0%		94.1%	99.0%	96.0%
33	401	09-09-21-214-006	110%	115%	5%	61.0%	61.0%		64.7%	81.9%	90.5%
34	401	09-09-23-101-002	105%	105%	Herrich	63.0%	63.0%		94.1%	94.1%	99.0%
35	401	09-09-23-302-040	135%	135%		78.0%	78.0%		82.4%	96.9%	91.6%
36	401	09-09-23-400-017	105%	105%	i Guerra	67.0%	67.0%		76.5%	92.0%	94.3%
37	401	09-09-23-400-019	105%	105%		67.0%	67.0%		94.1%	96.9%	96.0%
38	401	09-09-23-403-046	105%	105%		70.0%	70.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
39	401	09-09-23-403-055	110%	110%	a Piggarya	77.0%	77.0%		82.4%	97.5%	95.5%
40	401	09-09-23-405-022	110%	110%	The state of	67.0%	67.0%	THE STATE OF	94.1%	99.0%	96.0%
41	401	09-09-23-407-002	105%	105%		68.0%	68.0%	I STATE OF THE	82.4%	82.4%	91.0%

to a silve			House C	lass As %	6 of "C"	Obse	rved %	Good	Scoring % Calculation By		
Record #	Property Class	Parcel Code	ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Variance	ACD	Local Unit	Variance	Agreement	Actual Accuracy	Weighted Accuracy
42	401	09-09-23-408-004	110%	110%		69.0%	69.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
43	401	09-09-23-408-027	121%	121%		74.0%	74.0%		82,4%	88.4%	93.5%
44	401	09-09-23-409-025	110%	110%		75.0%	75.0%		70.6%	90.8%	94.2%
45	401	09-09-27-301-018	135%	135%		63.0%	63.0%		88.2%	88.2%	92.0%
46	401	09-09-28-303-003	105%	105%		65.0%	65.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
47	401	09-09-29-115-025	105%	105%		60.0%	60.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
48	401	09-09-29-135-014	105%	105%		61.0%	61.0%		76.5%	84.2%	86.0%
49	401	09-09-29-209-051	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
50	401	09-09-29-208-006	105%	105%	L. Lanz	61.0%	61.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	PERM	Mean	103%	103%	0%	65%	65%		89%	94%	96%
		Median	THE SHOP IN	105%		61%	61%		94%	96%	96%
		Mode	The Market	105%		61%	61%		94%	100%	100%
Catego	rv Range (	Of Percentage Calcu		or Indi	vidual P	arcels					
	% Of Agree				VE Z. T.	Mark Inches	17		65%	to	100%
	% Of Accu							ELET !	73%	to	100%
	thted % Of							TO LO	77%	to	100%

			House Class As % of "C"			Obse	erved %	Good	Scoring % Calculation		
Record #	Property Class	Parcel Code	ACD Field Staff	Local Unit		ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Variance	Agreement	Actual Accuracy	Weighted Accuracy
1	401	09-09-29-209-024	110%	115%	5%	71.0%	71.0%		76.5%	92.4%	98.7%
2	401	09-09-29-211-005	100%	100%		64.0%	64.0%		88.2%	88.2%	92.0%
3	401	09-09-29-302-002	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		64.7%	68.3%	84.0%
4	401	09-09-29-309-015	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		70.6%	89.1%	93.6%
5	401	09-09-29-312-004	105%	105%		61.0%	61.0%		76.5%	86.0%	96.6%
6	401	09-09-29-314-025	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		88.2%	91.2%	98.5%
7	401	09-09-29-317-017	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		88.2%	99.7%	99.4%
8	401	09-09-29-322-022	105%	105%		61.0%	61.0%	1055	88.2%	99.8%	99.6%
9	401	09-09-29-334-002	110%	110%		64.0%	64.0%		82.4%	82.4%	96.0%
10	401	09-09-29-417-004	105%	105%		61.0%	61.0%		64.7%	89.6%	85.2%
11	401	09-09-29-420-008	105%	105%		61.0%	61.0%		94.1%	94.1%	99.0%
12	401	09-09-30-101-021	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%	Thins:	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
13	401	09-09-30-104-025	90%	90%		60.0%	60.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
14	401	09-09-30-111-017	105%	105%		62.0%	62.0%		82.4%	92.6%	88.5%
15	401	09-09-30-116-019	105%	105%		65.0%	65.0%		70.6%	87.8%	92.2%
16	401	09-09-30-119-014	105%	105%		62.0%	62.0%		70.6%	85.8%	96.0%
17											
18	401	09-09-30-122-003	100%	100%		72.0%	72.0%		88.2%	93.4%	79.0%
19	401	09-09-30-122-009	95%	95%	i jayan h	75.0%	75.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
20	401	09-09-30-123-016	95%	95%		62.0%	62.0%		88.2%	88.2%	94.0%
21	401	09-09-30-216-022	100%	100%		65.0%	65.0%		88.2%	91.8%	95.0%
22	401	09-09-30-223-007	100%	100%		62.0%	62.0%		94.1%	98.5%	99.8%
23	401	09-09-30-310-016	110%	110%		75.0%	75.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
24	401	09-09-30-317-015	121%	121%	i indicate	82.0%	82.0%		82.4%	94.0%	96.8%
25	401	09-09-30-400-011	105%	105%		65.0%	65.0%		88.2%	91.5%	95.0%
26	401	09-09-30-414-020	110%	115%	5%	65.0%	65.0%	Partiti	82.4%	87.9%	97.0%
27	401	09-09-30-417-023	110%	110%		68.0%	68.0%		70.6%	89.7%	85.6%
28	401	09-09-31-104-016	100%	100%		62.0%	62.0%		76.5%	88.5%	91.8%
29	401	09-09-31-10-009	100%	100%		62.0%	62.0%		94.1%	94.1%	99.0%
30	401	09-09-31-115-021	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		94.1%	94.1%	99.0%
31	401	09-09-31-117-014	100%	100%	e de la constante de la consta	60.0%	60.0%		88.2%	88.2%	93.0%
32	401	09-09-31-212-006	100%	100%		79.0%	79.0%		70.6%	89.5%	77.6%
33	401	09-09-31-214-046	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	91.7%	98.0%
34											
35							HEELET				
36	401	09-09-31-310-011	105%	105%		61.0%	61.0%		76.5%	92.5%	89.2%
37	401	09-09-31-314-008	105%	105%		61.0%	61.0%		88.2%	96.4%	95.3%
38	401	09-09-31-403-17	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%	l de Lone.	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
39	401	09-09-31-409-007	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		88.2%	91.8%	98.6%
40	401	09-09-31-413-014	100%	100%		60.0%	60.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
41	401	09-09-32-102-011	105%	105%		61.0%	61.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

			House C	lass As %	% of "C"	Obse	erved %	Good	Scoring % Calculation By		
Record #	Property Class	Parcel Code	ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Variance	ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Variance	Agreement	Actual Accuracy	Weighted Accuracy
42	401	09-09-32-104-003	105%	105%	4044	62.0%	62.0%		94.1%	97.1%	99.5%
43	401	09-09-32-202-002	105%	105%	Tak linu	61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	91.0%	94.8%
44	401	09-09-32-206-016	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		52.9%	65.8%	56.9%
45	401	09-09-32-210-010	110%	115%	5%	62.0%	62.0%		94.1%	99.7%	100.0%
46	401	09-09-32-216-010	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	96.6%	91.2%
47	401	09-09-32-221-003	100%	100%		63.0%	63.0%	MEDIEL	94.1%	100.0%	100.0%
48	401	09-09-32-227-004	100%	100%		68.0%	68.0%		70.6%	82.1%	89.5%
49	401	09-09-32-229-1-027	100%	100%		63.0%	63.0%		88.2%	88.2%	97.0%
50	401	09-09-33-105-017	110%	115%	5%	61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	87.9%	91.0%
		Mean	103%	103%	0%	64%	64%		85%	92%	94%
		Median	100%	100%		62%	62%	in Sin	88%	92%	97%
		Mode	100%	100%		61%	61%		88%	100%	100%
Categor	y Range (	Of Percentage Calcul	ations Fo	or Indiv	idual Pa	rcels					
By Item (	% Of Agree	ement					Type		53%	to	100%
By Item	% Of Accu	ıracy							66%	to	100%
y Weigh	nted % Of	Accuracy							57%	to	100%

HIER			House C	lass As %	6 of "C"	Obse	rved % (	Good	Scoring	% Calcula	
Record #	Property Class	Parcel Code	ACD Field Staff	Local Unit		ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Variance	Agreement	Actual Accuracy	Weighted Accuracy
1	401	09-09-33-208-007	105%	105%		60.0%	60.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2	401	09-09-33-210-013	100%	100%	FIRE F	70.0%	70.0%		88.2%	93.7%	98.7%
3	401	09-09-33-214-029	100%	100%		62.0%	62.0%		94.1%	97.1%	99.0%
4	401	09-09-33-216-006	100%	100%		63.0%	63.0%		70.6%	95.2%	89.9%
5	401	09-09-33-220-006	105%	105%	TEPEN.	63.0%	63.0%		82.4%	87.9%	91.8%
6	401	09-09-33-229-015	110%	115%	5%	61.0%	61.0%		88.2%	93.8%	99.0%
7	401	09-09-33-315-007	110%	115%	5%	61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	93.5%	98.7%
8	401	09-09-33-321-010	100%	100%		62.0%	62.0%		64.7%	92.4%	79.1%
9	401	09-09-33-329-003	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	98.7%	97.4%
10	401	09-09-33-400-003	105%	105%		61.0%	61.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
11	401	09-09-33-400-017	105%	105%	160-6412	61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	99.8%	99.6%
12	401	09-09-33-408-026	95%	95%		61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	82.4%	93.0%
13	401	09-09-33-429-007	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		94.1%	94.1%	99.0%
14	401	09-09-33-434-002	110%	110%		61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	95.0%	70.8%
15	401	09-09-34-100-009	121%	121%	Kin Kin	66.0%	66.0%		88.2%	97.1%	99.0%
16						7					1.57
17	401	09-09-34-116-014	110%	115%	5%	60.0%	60.0%		82.4%	90.9%	98.0%
18	401	09-09-34-202-005	110%	115%	5%	61.0%	61.0%	146	82.4%	93.8%	99.0%
19	401	09-09-35-302-072	135%	135%		83.0%	83.0%		94.1%	94.1%	99.0%
20	401	09-09-35-306-028	105%	105%		88.0%	88.0%		88.2%	99.4%	99.0%
21	401	09-12-02-209-039	100%	100%		79.0%	79.0%		70.6%	81.5%	90.1%
22	401	09-12-02-300-013	100%	100%		63.0%	63.0%		76.5%	90.9%	94.5%
23	401	09-12-02-301-006	95%	95%		61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	90.5%	94.0%
24	401	09-12-02-314-003	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		94.1%	98.0%	93.0%
25	401	09-12-02-322-012	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		94.1%	97.7%	96.0%
26	401	09-12-02-402-040	100%	100%		60.0%	60.0%		82.4%	93.6%	98.7%
27	401	09-12-03-204-010	110%	115%	5%	66.0%	66.0%	Talle	58.8%	95.7%	89.0%
28	401	09-12-03-212-016	95%	95%		60.0%	60.0%		88.2%	100.0%	100.0%
29	401	09-12-03-303-018	100%	100%		63.0%	63.0%		76.5%	80.3%	91.0%
30	401	09-12-03-304-021	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		64.7%	81.0%	88.5%
31	401	09-12-03-305-035	100%	100%		62.0%	62.0%		70.6%	92.2%	92.6%
32	401	09-12-03-307-003	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	94.0%	98.7%
33	401	09-12-03-401-041	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		64.7%	82.1%	90.7%
34	401	09-12-03-402-001	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	94.1%	98.9%
35	401	09-12-03-404-047	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	86.4%	94.0%
36	401	09-12-03-410-021	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		88.2%	93.8%	98.8%
37	401	09-12-04-106-005	95%	95%		61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	92.5%	94.6%
38	401	09-12-04-115-008	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		76.5%	88.8%	93.8%
39	401	09-12-04-204-033	105%	105%		73.0%	73.0%		64.7%	93.3%	79.8%
40	401	09-12-04-205-005	95%	95%		61.0%	61.0%		82.4%	82.4%	93.0%
41	401	09-12-04-406-012	105%	105%		66.0%	66.0%		70.6%	92.8%	98.6%

	D		House Class As % of "C"			Obse	rved % (	Good	Scoring % Calculation		tion By
Record #	Property Class	Parcel Code	ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Variance	ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Variance	Agreement	Actual Accuracy	Weighted Accuracy
42	401	09-12-04-408-060	100%	100%		67.0%	67.0%		82.4%	99.6%	99.3%
43	401	09-12-06-400-043	105%	105%		76.0%	76.0%		88.2%	96.2%	95.3%
44	401	09-12-06-105-014	110%	113%	3%	68.0%	68.0%		76.5%	98.6%	97.8%
45	401	09-12-06-108-004	110%	115%	5%	64.0%	64.0%		94.1%	99.7%	100.0%
46	401	09-12-09-105-024	105%	105%		65.0%	65.0%		88.2%	94.0%	87.0%
47											
48	401	09-12-10-102-039	95%	95%		64.0%	64.0%		70.6%	87.9%	93.1%
49	401	09-12-10-104-001	100%	100%		61.0%	61.0%		58.8%	74.7%	87.1%
50	401	09-12-10-105-022	95%	95%		61.0%	61.0%		64.7%	89.6%	93.5%
		Mean	103%	104%	1%	64%	64%		81%	93%	94%
		Median	100%	100%		61%	61%		82%	94%	96%
		Mode	100%	100%		61%	61%		82%	100%	99%
Categor	ry Range	Of Percentage Calcul	lations F	or Indiv	vidual Pa	arcels					
-	% Of Agre								59%	to	100%
By Item	% Of Acci	uracy							75%	to	100%
By Weig	hted % Of	Accuracy	Hotel Hill	ALC: SE					71%	to	100%

			House Class As % of "C"			Obse	erved %	Good	Scoring % Calculation By		
Record #	Property Class	Parcel Code	ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Variance	ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Variance	Agreement	Actual Accuracy	Weighted Accuracy
1	401	09-12-10-110-005	90%	90%		61.0%	61.0%		76.5%	79.4%	89.5%
2	401	09-12-10-110-007	95%	95%		61.0%	61.0%		76.5%	79.8%	87.0%
3				a comb					5150-15-5		oel id
4											
5	401	09-12-10-303-129	90%	90%		66.0%	66.0%		76.5%	82.4%	93.0%
6	401	09-12-11-201-050	100%	100%		64.0%	64.0%		76.5%	86.1%	87.0%
7											
8	401	09-12-11-207-032	95%	95%		63.0%	63.0%	ildfræ.	76.5%	85.3%	92.3%
9	401	09-12-11-209-025	100%	100%		64.0%	64.0%		76.5%	76.5%	89.0%
10	401	09-12-11-402-018	100%	100%		85.0%	85.0%		76.5%	98.1%	95.4%
11	A FACTOR										U PALLE
12								elf Laco			- TX
13	N Control						E PART				-40116
14											
15			I Nathana								
16							A TO				
17				E 1/2				X SA		I BIN	units.
18				UA E		IL OF		3625.6			
19	TEST OF										EN SE
20											7
21									i Evenerii		
22										ESCUL.	HAVE - 15
23	450					THE LES		The Control		i et e	
24	576) 386 9 5				MARKET IN			amia			
25						1000					
26					PASTO 1						
27						WELL IN			CHICAL SO		
28							THE PERSON				
29								Taken ti	Place II		
30			a chuit		THE ST		To Fig.	4 315			
31						burg	( ka sail			Her D.	
32	00 41 500						57 11.2				
33	No.										
34											pho A
35	History					Higgs					THE STREET
36						Selle S	The same				
37						THE REAL PROPERTY.		75 THE			
38	on these		SAUTE		STRIFT					RASTIS.	
39			1 1 1 1 1 1 1			BUT OF		[[882]	ES VENE		
40			A STATE		TOTAL STATE						
41											

Record #	Property Class	Parcel Code	House Class As % of "C"			Observed % Good			Scoring % Calculation By		
			ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Variance	ACD Field Staff	Local Unit	Variance	Agreement	Actual Accuracy	Weighted Accuracy
42											BOIL
43											
44										EVENT	
45											
46								Evit III			
47		<b>建筑线 1. 美尔里</b> 里							in a district		
48											
49						34475					
50											
		Mean	96%	96%		66%	66%		76%	84%	90%
		Median	95%	95%		64%	64%		76%	82%	90%
		Mode	100%	100%		61%	61%		76%	#N/A	#N/A
Categor	ry Range	Of Percentage Calcu	lations F	or Indi	vidual Pa	arcels					
By Item % Of Agreement									76%	to	76%
By Item % Of Accuracy									76%	to	98%
By Weighted % Of Accuracy									87%	to	95%