9		Reason for Redaction	Privacy	Privacy					Privacy	Privacy	Privacy	Privacy		Privacy	Privacy					
T		Redactions	Email	Email					Email	Email	Email	Email		Email	Email					
Ε		임		Craig Hupy	Jacqueline Beaudry			Brian Weisman, Grace L.												
Q		From	Nancy Meader	Steve Powers	Christopher Taylor		Jacqueline Beaudry		Michiel van Nieuwstadt van Nieuwstadt	Raymond Detter	Ethel Potts	Phyllis Ponvert	Stephen Kunselman	Jane Lumm	Jane Lumm					
3		임	Jane Lumm	Margie Teall	All City Council Members	Jacqueline Beaudry	Sabra Briere		Sally Petersen	Multiple recipients	Sabra Briere	Sabra Briere	Jacqueline Beaudry, all City Council Members	Jane Lumm	Jane Lumm					
В	Received	Time																		
A	NAME OF	Sent Time	7:19 PM	8:08 PM	8:53 PM	9:46 PM	9:48 PM		10:12 PM	11:23 PM	11:48 PM	11:50 PM	11:58 PM	12:30 PM	12:31 PM					
		П	2	3	4	2	9		7	∞	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18

From:

Nancy M

Sent:

Tuesday, January 21, 2014 7:19 PM

To:

Lumm, Jane

Subject:

Re: School Crossing Waldenwood and Penberton

Wonderful. I knew there was a reason I voted for you. Thank you. Nancy On Jan 21, 2014, at 6:13 PM, Lumm, Jane wrote:

Dear Nancy, Thank you for writing, and very sorry for the belated reply! The school crossing will not be moved — the AAPS Transportation Safety Cte. met this a.m. at King School and this question was discussed and the consensus recommendation at this juncture is to not more the school crossing. I have sent a separate message to our transportation engineers re: the icy conditions at the intersection and so they are aware of the concerns you raise regarding sliding, south to north at this intersection. The AAPS has endorsed completion of the sidewalk along Waldenwood adjacent to King School, but children will still be assisted in crossing mid-block at the entrance.

Hope this is helpful, very sorry for my belated reply, and thanks so much for taking the time to share your concerns.

My best, Jane

From: Nancy M

Sent: Fri 1/17/2014 11:58 AM

To: Warpehoski, Chuck; Taylor, Christopher (Council); Kunselman, Stephen; Kailasapathy, Sumi; Petersen, Sally; Briere,

Sabra; Anglin, Mike; Teall, Margie

Cc: Eaton, Jack; Lumm, Jane; Hieftje, John

Subject: School Crossing Waldenwood and Penberton

My name is Nancy Meader. I have lived on Waldenwood for 45 years. I was told this morning that there may be a vote to move the school crossing from directly in front of the school to the corner of Penberton and Waldenwood.

My concern is the safety of the children. I am a rather slow cautious driver and have slid into that intersection many times. Waldenwood slopes at that location from south to north therefore it is slow to melt. Even knowing that it is a problem corner, and going slowly and carefully, when my car hits the ice I can't stop.

The thought of hitting a child because of icy road conditions is too horrible to think of. Please take this into consideration when deciding if the school crossing should be changed.

From:

Powers, Steve

Sent:

Tuesday, January 21, 2014 8:08 PM

To: Cc: Teall, Margie Hupy, Craig

Subject:

RE: Packard Streetlights

The streetlights are on between Jewett and Pine Valley. DTE crew was out Saturday fixing the Packard lights from Pine Valley to Stone School.

SP

From: Teall, Margie

Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2014 4:40 PM

To: Hupy, Craig; Powers, Steve **Subject:** FW: Packard Streetlights

Hi Craig,

Can we please have this taken care of quickly? Thanks for whatever you can do. (I know, it's a tough time for crews right now, but this might be something that needs attention from DTE?)
-Margie

From: Susan Tait

Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2014 4:11 PM

To: Teall, Margie

Subject: Packard Streetlights

Dear Councilwoman Teall,

At the end of November I wrote you seeking your assistance is fixing the streetlight issues on Packard. I am sad to report that those issues are still not resolved.

In mid-December, some of the lights did come back on, but that was short-lived. They are again not functioning. It is dark and with all of the snow and ice, very dangerous.

I live at and own a business just a couple of blocks away. I walk to work at 6am every day, but that has been a very scary commute this winter. It is too dark to see well and it's easy to fall. In fact, my first client in the morning likes to start her workouts by walking outdoors. We go most mornings and we have taken to carrying flashlights just to try to watch our steps. Even then, our focus is on exercising. Unfortunately but predictably, each of us has fallen several times over the past month, specifically because we could not see the ice quick enough to avoid it.

I know you told me in follow up emails that the city was attempting to work with DTE to resolve the streetlight problem, but it has now been months. Can you please help this process happen ASAP.

Sincerely,

Susan Tait

From:

Taylor, Christopher (Council)

Sent:

Tuesday, January 21, 2014 8:53 PM

To: Cc: *City Council Members (All)

Beaudry, Jacqueline

RESOLVED, That the City Council, after reviewing the consultant's and Planning Commission's recommendations and considering comments presented at public hearings, directs the Planning Commission to begin the process of implementation of their recommended changes to City Code and the Zoning Map, reporting back to Council on these changes by October 20, 2014, save (4) with respect to which Council requests that the Planning Commission review and consider methods to achieve compliance with core design guidelines in a manner that achieves Design Review Board support

From:

Briere, Sabra

Sent:

Tuesday, January 21, 2014 9:46 PM

To:

Beaudry, Jacqueline

Subject:

Fwd: proposed amendments for DB-1 on Tuesday's agenda

Attachments:

D1 zoning & character district amended resolution.docx; ATT00001.htm

I think this is what you want.

Sabra Briere First Ward City Council Ann Arbor 734-995-3518 734-277-6578 (cell)

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Briere, Sabra" < SBriere@a2gov.org > Date: January 19, 2014 at 10:44:33 AM EST

To: "*City Council Members \(All\)" < CityCouncilMembersAll@a2gov.org>

Cc: "Powers, Steve" < SPowers@a2gov.org>, "Rampson, Wendy" < WRampson@a2gov.org>

Subject: proposed amendments for DB-1 on Tuesday's agenda

Dear Colleagues,

Members of the Planning Commission were reluctant to exceed the boundaries of the March and April Council resolutions directing them to consider specific changes to D1 zoning areas. However, the community discussions and the consultant's report recommended some changes that the Council hadn't initially considered.

I've attached my (draft) proposed amendments to the resolution under DB-1. One significant addition: a clear date by which recommendations should be back to Council for action (the second meeting in October).

Please call me to discuss any concerns you might have with these proposed amendments.

Sabra Briere First Ward Councilmember (734)995-3518 (home) (734)277-6578 (cell)

Emails received and sent to me as a Councilmember regarding City matters are generally subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

Whereas, Downtown zoning amendments were adopted in 2009 as a result of the Ann Arbor Discovering Downtown initiative;

Whereas, The City Planning Commission and City Council recognize the value of evaluating these zoning changes to determine if the resulting development is consistent with the goals and recommendations of the Downtown Plan;

Whereas, City Council passed resolutions R-13-078 and R-13-093 in March and April 2013 that requested the City Planning Commission to address the following issues:

- (i) Whether D1 zoning is appropriately located on the north side of Huron Street between Division and S. State and the south side of William Street between S. Main and Fourth Avenue:
- (ii) Whether the D1 residential FAR premiums effectively encourage a diverse downtown population;
- (iii) Whether a parcel on the south side of Ann St. adjacent to City Hall that is currently zoned D1 should be rezoned to the appropriate zoning for this neighborhood;

Whereas, ENP & Associates was hired by the City in July 2013 to conduct an evaluation of the downtown zoning changes;

Whereas, ENP & Associates provided a variety of opportunities for the public to comment on the effects of the 2009 amendments and offer suggestions for changes and used this feedback to develop a final report with recommendations, dated October 10, 2013;

Whereas, The City Planning Commission reviewed the ENP & Associates final report and received additional comments from the public at meetings on October 8, October 15, November 6, November 12, November 19 and December 3, 2013; and

Whereas, ENP & Associates recommended extending the East Huron 1 Character District to Fourth Avenue; and

Whereas, ENP & Associates also recommended further study to consider whether D1 zoning is appropriate on other sensitive properties not identified in the City Council resolution, including some areas of South University and Thayer St.; and

Whereas, The City Planning Commission, at its meeting of December 3, 2013, recommended the following changes to City Code and the Zoning Map:

(1) Rezone the parcel located at 336 E. Ann from D1 (Downtown Core) to D2 (Downtown Interface).

- (2) Reduce the maximum height in the East Huron 1 Character District to 120 feet, include a tower diagonal maximum and consider a step-back requirement to reduce the shading of residential properties to the north.
- (3) Rezone the parcel at 425 S. Main from D1 (Downtown Core) to D2 (Downtown Interface) and establish a maximum height of 60 feet for D2 zoning in the Main Street Character District.
- (4) Revise the premium conditions to require mandatory compliance with core design guidelines for a project to receive any premium in the D1 or D2 districts.
- (5) Reduce the residential premium with the goal of encouraging the use of other existing or proposed premiums to compensate for this reduction, such as increased energy efficiency certification, open space with landscape, active ground floor use, balconies and workforce housing.
- (6) Review options in D1 and D2 districts, with the Housing and Humans Services Advisory Board, for providing additional affordable housing within mixed income projects or through other funding mechanisms.
- (7) Eliminate the affordable housing 900% FAR "super premium".
- (8) Evaluate the downtown real estate market to determine the effectiveness of premium incentives every 2-5 years.

RESOLVED, That the City Council, after reviewing the consultant's and Planning Commission's recommendations and considering comments presented at public hearings, directs the Planning Commission to begin the process of implementation of the above their recommended changes to City Code and the Zoning Map, reporting back to Council on these changes by October 20, 2014; and

RESOLVED, That the City Council directs the Planning Commission to consider rezoning that portion of Huron Street from Division to Fourth Avenue to conform with the East Huron 1 Character District, reporting back to Council on their recommendation by October 20, 2014; and

RESOLVED, That the City Council directs the Planning Commission to consider whether other D1-zoned areas which do not have buffering from adjacent residential neighborhoods, including some areas of South University and Thayer Street, should be rezoned to D2, reporting back to Council on their recommendation by October 20, 2014; and

RESOLVED, That this resolution completes the downtown zoning review and report to City Council requested in Resolutions R-13-078 and R-13-093.

From:

Beaudry, Jacqueline

Sent:

Tuesday, January 21, 2014 9:48 PM

To:

Briere, Sabra

Subject:

RE: proposed amendments for DB-1 on Tuesday's agenda

Thanks!!

Jacqueline Beaudry, City Clerk

City Clerk's Office | Guy C. Larcom City Hall |301 E. Huron, 2nd Floor • Ann Arbor • MI • 48104 734.794.6140 (0) • 734.994.8296 (F) | ibeaudry@a2gov.org | www.a2gov.org



Think Green! Please don't print this e-mail unless absolutely necessary.

From: Briere, Sabra

Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2014 9:46 PM

To: Beaudry, Jacqueline

Subject: Fwd: proposed amendments for DB-1 on Tuesday's agenda

I think this is what you want.

Sabra Briere First Ward City Council Ann Arbor 734-995-3518 734-277-6578 (cell)

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Briere, Sabra" < SBriere@a2gov.org> Date: January 19, 2014 at 10:44:33 AM EST

To: "*City Council Members \(All\)" < CityCouncilMembersAll@a2gov.org>

Cc: "Powers, Steve" < SPowers@a2gov.org>, "Rampson, Wendy" < WRampson@a2gov.org>

Subject: proposed amendments for DB-1 on Tuesday's agenda

Dear Colleagues,

Members of the Planning Commission were reluctant to exceed the boundaries of the March and April Council resolutions directing them to consider specific changes to D1 zoning areas. However, the community discussions and the consultant's report recommended some changes that the Council hadn't initially considered.

I've attached my (draft) proposed amendments to the resolution under DB-1. One significant addition: a clear date by which recommendations should be back to Council for action (the second meeting in October).

Please call me to discuss any concerns you might have with these proposed amendments.

Sabra Briere First Ward Councilmember (734)995-3518 (home) (734)277-6578 (cell) Emails received and sent to me as a Councilmember regarding City matters are generally subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

From:

Michiel van Nieuwstadt

Sent:

Tuesday, January 21, 2014 10:12 PM

To:

Petersen, Sally

Cc: Subject: Brian Weisman; Grace; L. van Nieuwstadt Re: FW: City-Schools Transportation Comm.

Sally,

could you please send me the safety report you referred to earlier this evening.

thank you.

Michiel

On Tue, Jan 21, 2014 at 7:01 PM, Petersen, Sally < SPetersen@a2gov.org > wrote:

From: Powers, Steve

Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2014 5:25 PM

To: Petersen, Sally; Lumm, Jane **Cc:** Higgins, Sara; Hupy, Craig

Subject: City-Schools Transportation Comm.

The City-School Transportation Committee met today. The summary below was prepared by Pat Cawley who is a committee member.

- 1. Approved previous minutes.
- 2. Deb Mexicotte was not able to join the meeting. Committee charge review postponed.
- 3. Seventh and Madison crossing issue: actually concerns is regarding crossing Madison at 4th and 5th. The intersection at 5th presently has a crossing guard. Agreed to meet on site with Brad Mellor (AAPS), Jamie Adkins (AAPD) and Pat Cawley (City) to evaluate if issues / changes needed.
- 4. Added King School to agenda. Three items of discussion, one sidewalk proposal support, two the relocation of crosswalk / elimination of crossing guard and third circulation issues and request to examine crossing guard relocation to north driveway
 - a. Committee still supports sidewalk gap construction

- b. Some additional crossing at Waldenwood / Penberton expected (SW of school) and crosswalk at south driveway okay to remain for walkers from the north. No plan to remove crossing guard, AAPS still sees need. Cannot be guaranteed but demand is not decreasing.
- c. Traffic count data just finished by the City and the relocation of the crossing guard will need to be jointly evaluated. Number of conflicts appear to be higher at north driveway.
- 5. Tracking log items were reviewed for status.

In short staff has viewed the sidewalk as a safety improvement albeit with marginal impact (serves walkers from the SW).

SP

From: Sent: Raymond Detter

Tuesday, January 21, 2014 11:23 PM

To:

Detter, Ray; Jeff Crockett; Chris Graham; Chris Crockett; Tyler, Norm (DGT); Tom Petiet; llene Tyler; Brummerceb Brummer; John Nystuen; Nystuen, Gwen (PAC); steve belloc; Widd Schmidt; Carolyn Fahey; Chuck Gelman; Cyril Hufano; Eaton, Jack; Briere, Sabra; Borset, Lynn; Lindsey Benson; Gary Supanich; Nathan Etu; Rita Rita; Betsy Price; David Olson; John Sullivan; Stefan Trendov Ibenson; Lis Knibbe; Peter Nagourney; Steve Kaplan; Barbara

Murphy; Don Duquette; Tom Whitaker; C. Robert Snyder; Eckstein; Linda Binkow; Lars Bjorn; Susan Wineberg; Eleanor Linn; Eleanor Pollack; Bethany Osborne; Barbara Bach; Barbara Hall; Ellen Ramsburgh; Tom Stulberg; Ann Schriber; Alice Ralph; Hugh Sonk; Sonia Schmerl; Stupka, Allison; M Hathaway; Kelbaugh, Doug (DGT);

Heather Khan; Anthony Pinnell; Eppie Potts; Stephan Koller; Julie Ritter; Chamberlin, Marsha; John Chamberlin; Joan French; Herbert Kaufer; james kern; Kathleen Nolan;

Lumm, Jane; Pollay, Susan; Deanna Relyea

Anne Eisen; Ted Annis; Piotr Michalowski; Vivienne Armentrout; Simon Baseley; Sabra Briere;

Mercedes Pascual

Subject:

City Council Approval

To All:

Congratulations to all of you, with your presence, your talks, and your continued support at tonight's City Council meeting which, after a lot of intelligent discussion, led to a unanimous support for moving forward the eight zoning change recommendations of the Planning Commission that were submitted to City Council. Sabra Briere, Jane Lumm, and Jack Eaton deserve a special thanks for their work in adding amendments to the City Council resolution that made it possible for our recommendations to go back to the Planning Commission for further development. The recommendations are expected to be acted upon by October of 2014.

I suggest you go to the Michigan Chronicle and the Ann Arbor News for specific information about the meeting. Of course, it's not a done deal. We will all be needed in the future as discussions and public hearings continue at various levels. It won't be easy, but the results will make it worthwhile.

Good work, and good night!

Ray

From:

Ethel Potts

Sent:

Tuesday, January 21, 2014 11:48 PM

To: Subject: Briere, Sabra
Re: Downtown Zoning Recommendations

Sabra - I'm home from Council now. I wish you the same.

I agree with all of your review process statements. To bad the developers never show preliminary plans, well beyond the sketchs that the DRB and the public would like. Could it be required some way?

Very interesting meeting tonight. I'll turn it on to see if you are still there, working. Eppie

On Jan 21, 2014, at 6:04 PM, Briere, Sabra wrote:

Right now, the stop at Design Review is directly after the stop at Citizen Participation - first that meeting is held, then the developer submits preliminary plans and, before staff work on the project, the Design Review Board looks at the plans.

What I've heard is that they'd like to see them AT LEAST TWICE. That way, they can provide feedback on whether the developer took their advice.

They don't want to be the gatekeeper, though. They want to offer advice to the developer, and then pass their report (hit the mark or didn't) on to the Planning Commission.

Before we get too far into this, though, the ordinance governing design review guidelines must be re-written. Specific core guidelines must be identified, and some mechanism for determining whether those guidelines have been met must be established.

We want to do this right.

Sabra

Sabra Briere First Ward Councilmember (734)995-3518 (home) (734)277-6578 (cell)

Emails received and sent to me as a Councilmember regarding City matters are generally subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

----Original Message----

From: Ethel Potts

Sent: Tue 1/21/2014 5:37 PM

To: Peter Nagourney

Cc: Briere, Sabra; Raymond Detter; Sabra Briere; Jeff Crockett; Chris Graham; Chris Crockett; Tyler, Norm (DGT); Tom Petiet; Ilene Tyler; Brummerceb Brummer; John Nystuen, Gwen (PAC); steve belloc; Widd Schmidt; Carolyn Fahey; Chuck Gelman; Cyril Hufano; Eaton, Jack; Borset, Lynn; Lindsey Benson; Gary Supanich; Nathan Etu; Rita Rita; Betsy Price; David Olson; John Sullivan; Stefan Trendov Ibenson; Lis Knibbe; Steve Kaplan; Barbara Murphy; Don Duquette; Tom Whitaker; C. Robert Snyder; Eckstein; Linda Binkow; Lars Bjorn; Susan Wineberg; Eleanor Linn; Eleanor Pollack; Bethany Osborne; Barbara Bach; Barbara Hall; Ellen Ramsburgh; Tom Stulberg; Ann Schriber; Alice Ralph; Hugh Sonk; Sonia Schmerl; Stupka, Allison; M Hathaway; Ted and Pat Ligibel; Kelbaugh, Doug (DGT); Heather Khan; Anthony Pinnell; Stephan Koller; Julie Ritter; Chamberlin, Marsha; John Chamberlin; Joan French; Herbert Kaufer; james kern; Kathleen Nolan; Lumm, Jane; Pollay, Susan; Deanna Relyea; Anne Eisen; Ted Annis; Piotr Michalowski; Vivienne Armentrout; Simon Baseley; Mercedes

Pascual

Subject: Re: Downtown Zoning Recommendations

Peter - The Design Review Board, itself, in discussion, said they would like to review preliminary sketches to make comments, than see the final plans to see whether or not the comments were incorporated into the plans.

Based on long-ago discussions, I would like us to consider that it be required that alternative plans and designs be shown at the early stage. The DRB would really have something to work with and so would the public.

I've written this to someone already. Sabra? Eppie

On Jan 20, 2014, at 11:43 AM, Peter Nagourney wrote:

```
> Hi Sabra,
> The fourth suggestion from the Downtown and Near-Downtown
> neighborhoods Group identified a possible procedure for how the
> Design Review Board might better work with developers. The key idea
> was that the developer presents initial and not final designs to the
> DRB, so that the developer has an opportunity to incorporate DRB and
> community input before investing in final design drawings. As we
> have seen, once the developer and its architects put together formal
> drawings, they have already invested heavily in their design and are
> reluctant to incur the additional cost of creating revised drawings.
> In addition, looking at designs in the final stage makes it much
> more difficult for the DRB to suggest the significant revisions that
> may be appropriate and necessary.
> The two-stage process that was identified, with initial and
> eventually final drawings that now incorporate DRB and community
> input, if adopted will benefit both the community and developers.
> The outlined process also suggests that a member of the DRB attend
> Planning and Council discussions to answer any questions about their
> process and recommendations.
> I'm concerned that the significant advantages of this outlined
> procedure may not be incorporated in any recommendation that comes
> from Planning Commission, resulting in the city once more having to
> deal with a developer's expensive final drawings, and missing
> opportunities to provide early input to help developments conform to
> what is best for the city.
> Peter Nagourney
> On Mon, Jan 20, 2014 at 10:29 AM, Briere, Sabra <SBriere@a2gov.org>
> wrote:
> Dear Ray,
> I can always be wrong, and am open to different ideas. But I think
> the resolution should not tell the Planning Commission what the
> process will be or should be. Delegating the discussion back to
> Planning, so Planning can listen to public input on the process,
> talk with staff about the process, discuss best possible outcomes
> with the Design Review Board - these things take a collaborative
> attitude, and one that might be damaged by too much Council
```

> management before there is a recommendation from Planning.

```
>
> The ideal should be that the recommendation from Planning (on the
> process, by an amendment to the ordinance) reflects community
> concerns, staff capacity and Design Review Board responsibilities.
> As I understand it, the best outcome would be the Design Review
> Board identifies 'core guidelines' with which they expect
> compliance. As the DRB reviews a development proposal, they concern
> themselves with whether the core guidelines have been followed.
> They make their recommendation to the Planning Commission (premiums
> should/should not be granted); Planning Commission offers advice to
> the Council; the Council decides whether premiums - and therefore, a
> larger building - are approved.
> Of course, I don't know whether that will actually happen, or what
> the eventual process will be. But the process I've just outlined
> would leave the responsibility for the final decision where it
> should be - with Council.
> Them's my thoughts. But I want to leave room for other thoughts on
> procedure to develop.
> Sabra Briere
> First Ward City Council
> Ann Arbor
> 734-995-3518
> 734-277-6578 (cell)
> Sent from my iPad
> On Jan 20, 2014, at 10:13 AM, "Raymond Detter"
> wrote:
>
>> Sabra,
>>
>> I think your recommended changes to the resolution are very good.
>> How does our response fit into this on the recommendation to
>> "Revise the premium conditions to require mandatory compliance with
>> core design guidelines for a project to receive any premium in the
>> D1 or D2 districts."? Our position is to support that
>> recommendation with the further recommendation to "Revise and
>> increase the role of the Design Review Board in the design review
>> process. City Council shall consider their recommendations in
>> giving premiums."
>>
>> Norm Tyler and others will be talking about the change in process
>> we recommend. Should the resolution include a reference to the way
>> that this item will need to be taken up by city government in the
>> future?
>>
>> Many thanks.
>>
>> Rav
>> On Jan 19, 2014, at 6:04 PM, Sabra Briere wrote:
>>> I've attached my proposed amendments to the resolution.
>>>
>>> Sabra
>>>
>>>
>>> From: Raymond Detter
```

```
>>> Sent: Sunday, January 19, 2014 5:20 PM
>>> To: Raymond A. Detter; Jeff Crockett; Chris Graham; Chris
>>> Crockett; Norm Tyler; Tom Petiet; Ilene Tyler; Brummerceb Brummer;
>>> John Nystuen; gnystuen@umich. edu; steve belloc; Widd Schmidt;
>>> Carolyn Fahey; Chuck Gelman; Cyril Hufano; Jack Eaton; Sabra
>>> Briere; Lynn Borset; Lindsey Benson; Gary Supanich; Nathan Etu;
>>> Rita Rita; Betsy Price; David Olson; John Sullivan; Stefan Trendov
>>> Ibenson; Lis Knibbe; Peter Nagourney; Steve Kaplan; Barbara
>>> Murphy: Don Duquette: Tom Whitaker: C. Robert Snyder:
>>> Eckstein; Linda Binkow; Lars Bjorn; Susan Wineberg; Eleanor Linn;
>>> Eleanor Pollack; Bethany Osborne; Barbara Bach; Barbara Hall;
>>> Ellen Ramsburgh; Tom Stulberg; Ann Schriber; Alice Ralph; Hugh
>>> Sonk; Sonia Schmerl; Allison Stupka; M Hathaway; Ted and Pat
>>> Ligibel; Cc: Doug Kelbaugh; Heather Khan; Anthony Pinnell; Eppie
>>> Potts; Stephan Koller; Julie Ritter; Marsha Chamberlin; John
>>> Chamberlin; Joan French; Herbert Kaufer; james kern; Kathleen
                                Jane Lumm; Susan Pollay; Deanna Relyea
>>> Nolan;
>>> ); Anne Eisen; Ted Annis; Piotr Michalowski; Vivienne Armentrout;
>>> Simon Baseley; Sabra Briere; Mercedes Pascual
>>> Subject: Fwd: Downtown Zoning Recommendations
>>>
>>> To All:
>>>
>>> Yes, this coming Tuesday, January 21, 7:00 pm., City Council will
>>> consider a resolution to approve, modify, or reject Planning
>>> Commission Recommendations for changing Downtown D1 Zoning. It
>>> will be the first item on the agenda--a public hearing.
>>> Council will vote on the recommendations later on in the
>>> session in response to a resolution. The recommendations are due
>>> to your involvement in the three month Perdu consultants process.
>>> Many of you participated.
>>>
>>> We are urging all of you to attend Tuesday's meeting. The Near
>>> Downtown and Downtown Neighborhoods Group has sent our attached
>>> memorandum to Mayor Hieftje and all City Council members. It lists
>>> all our recommendations for support and desired changes in
>>> particular zoning, design guidelines and premiums. These were
>>> sent to Mayor Hieftje and all members of City Council.
>>> Last week, some of our members also met with all but two of our
>>> Council Members to discuss these changes.
>>>
>>> Any of you who wish to speak at the public hearing on these and
>>> any other possible changes are urged to do so.
>>> In order to make sure that all of our recommendations for change
>>> are covered, we are asking that the following people be prepared
>>> to speak on the changes indicated below just to make sure every
>>> one of them is covered. They should do so early in the public
>>> hearing.
>>>
>>> Of course, you are also urged to speak on these or any other
>>> recommendations you feel strongly about.
>>> 1. 336 East Ann--Peter Nagourney/Jeff Crockett
>>> 2. E. Huron property between Campus Inn and Sloan Plaza--Cy
>>> Hufano/Christine Crockett/Hugh Sonk
>>>
           Also speaking on the Ahmos site--Ray Detter
>>> 3. 425 South Main--Ted Annis/Tom Petiat/Piotr Michalowski/ Deanna
>>> Relyea
```

>>>

```
>>> 4. Design Guidelines and Design Review Board Process, Denial of
 >>> Premiums--Norm Tyler/Tom Stulberg/Christine Brummer
 >>> 5. Reduce Premiums--Ann Schriber/Steve Kaplan
 >>>
 >>> 6. & 7. Anyone of you who wishes to do so should speak to support
 >>> these.
 >>>
 >>> 8. Revising effectiveness of premiums every three years--Eppie Potts
>>>
 >>> "Other Recommendations" -- A, B, C--Doug Kelbaugh and anyone else
 >>> who wishes to support these other possibilities for change.
>>> --or any other recommendations you wish to make.
>>>
>>> We are not trying to overwhelm the City Council by our numbers but
>>> by our reasoning in support of wiser and better downtown zoning,
 >>> guidelines, and process.--zoning that is consistent with our 2009
 >>> Downtown Plan.
>>>
>>> Currently they are in support of our recommendations. We hope to
>>> keep it that way.
>>> Hope to see you on Tuesday.
>>>
>>> Ray
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Begin forwarded message:
>>>
>>>
>>> From: Raymond Detter
>>> Subject: Downtown Zoning Recommendations
>>> Date: January 12, 2014 5:38:59 PM EST
>>> To: "JohnHieftje(@a2g) Hieftje" < JHieftje@a2gov.org>, Sabra Briere
>>> < SBriere@a2gov.org>, "SumiKailasapathy(@A2gov.org) Kailasapathy" < skailasapathy@a2gov.org
>>>>, Jane Lumm < <u>JLumm@a2gov.org</u>>, Sally Petersen
>>> < spetersen@a2gov.org>, Stephen Kunselman < SKunselman@a2gov.org>,
>>> "Christopher (Council) Taylor"
>>> <<u>CTaylor@a2gov.org</u>>,<u>jeaton@a2gov.org</u>, Margie Teall
>>> < MTeall@a2gov.org>, Mike Anglin < manglin@a2gov.org>, Chuck
>>> Warpehoski <<u>cwarpehoski@a2gov.org</u>>
>>>
>>> Dear Mayor Hieftje and Members of the Ann Arbor City Council:
>>>
>>> I am attaching a memorandum from the Downtown and Near Downtown
>>> Neighborhood Group providing our Comments on Downtown Zoning based
>>> on recommendations of the Perdu Consulting Group and the Ann Arbor
>>> Planning Commission. It is our understanding that a Public Hearing
>>> on downtown zoning issues will take place before City Council on
>>> Tuesday, January 21.
>>> Many of our members plan to attend.
>>> Before that date, we hope that some of our members from your
>>> respective wards will be able to make arrangements to meet with
>>> each one of you in a small group to discuss our
>>> <D1 zoning & character district amended resolution.docx>
>>
>
```

>

> > -- > Peter Nagourney

From:

Sent:

phyllis ponvert Tuesday, January 21, 2014 11:50 PM Briere, Sabra Pedestrian Task Force

To: Subject:

Hi Sabra,

I wondering if the task force has been chosen yet?

Regards, Phyllis Ponvert

From:

Sent:

Kunselman, Stephen Tuesday, January 21, 2014 11:58 PM Beaudry, Jacqueline; *City Council Members (All) Pontiac SAD Resolved amended Pontiac Assessment.docx To:

Subject: Attachments:

FYI -

RESOLVED, That the City Administrator is directed to file a report of same with the City Clerk, including a recommendation that a maximum of 20% of the cost shall be paid by special assessment and a minimum of 80% of the cost shall be a general obligation of the City, the number of installments in which the assessments may be paid, and the land which should be included in the special assessment district; and

From:

Lumm, Jane

Sent:

Wednesday, January 22, 2014 12:30 AM

To: Subject:

FW: Public Art allocation

From: Lumm, Jane

Sent: Thu 5/9/2013 8:17 AM

To:

Subject: FW: Public Art allocation

----Original Message-----From: Briere, Sabra

Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2013 7:59 AM

To: Lumm, Jane

Subject: RE: Public Art allocation

Although both could be on the same agenda.

Sabra Briere First Ward Councilmember (734)995-3518 (home) (734)277-6578 (cell)

Emails received and sent to me as a Councilmember regarding City matters are generally subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

----Original Message-----From: Lymm, Jane

Sent: Thu 5/9/2013 7:48 AM To: Briere, Sabra; Crawford, Tom

Cc: Powers, Steve

Subject: RE: Public Art allocation

Given the necessity to amend the budget by the 2nd mtg. in June at the latest, I think delaying to June 17th is not helpful.

----Original Message-----From: Briere, Sabra

Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2013 7:40 AM To: Lumm, Jane; Crawford, Tom

Cc: Powers, Steve

Subject: RE: Public Art allocation

My plan was to request that the second reading occur on June 3 - although I've discussed delaying until June 17.

Sabra Briere First Ward Councilmember (734)995-3518 (home) (734)277-6578 (cell) Emails received and sent to me as a Councilmember regarding City matters are generally subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

----Original Message-----From: Lumm, Jane

Sent: Thu 5/9/2013 7:36 AM To: Briere, Sabra; Crawford, Tom

Cc: Powers, Steve

Subject: RE: Public Art allocation

I understand the timing issue, but would like to confirm when the 2nd reading of the ordinance will come before council. Since the 1st reading of the ordinance was postponed to Monday's meeting, will the 2nd reading occur on May 20th or June 3rd? Tom will you please draft the necessary budget amendment language so that I can present it as part of the budget approval, just in case. Thanks you, Jane

From: Briere, Sabra

Sent: Wednesday, May 08, 2013 6:24 PM

To: Crawford, Tom

Cc: Powers, Steve; Lumm, Jane Subject: Re: Public Art allocation

thank you.

Sabra Briere

First Ward Ann Arbor

734-995-3518 (h)

734-277-6578 (c)

Emails received and sent to me as a Councilmember regarding City matters are generally subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

Sent from my iPad

On May 8, 2013, at 5:58 PM, "Crawford, Tom" < TCrawford@a2gov.org > wrote:

I understand your frustration. My recommendation is to leave it in the budget since this complies with the existing ordinance. When the new ordinance passes an amendment to modify the budget is appropriate. As long as it gets adopted by the second meeting June, no monies from FY14 will be spent.

From: Briere, Sabra

Sent: Wednesday, May 08, 2013 3:45 PM To: Crawford, Tom; Powers, Steve

Cc: Lumm, Jane

Subject: Public Art allocation

Given the proposed amendment to the Public Art ordinance, I am wondering about the RESOLVED clause in the budget resolution. Although it appears that there will not be a vote on the ordinance revisions prior to May 20, is there an effective way to NOT appropriate funds for Public Art in FY14 - but leave open the possibility that the ordinance will fail? I'd really rather make this decision, if necessary, after the ordinance is discussed and voted upon.

Sabra Briere

First Ward Ann Arbor

734-995-3518 (h)

734-277-6578 (c)

Emails received and sent to me as a Councilmember regarding City matters are generally subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

Sent from my iPad

From:

Lumm, Jane

Sent:

Wednesday, January 22, 2014 12:31 AM

To:

Subject: FW: Public Art allocation

----Original Message-----From: Briere, Sabra

Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2013 7:59 AM

To: Lumm, Jane

Subject: RE: Public Art allocation

Although both could be on the same agenda.

Sabra Briere First Ward Councilmember (734)995-3518 (home) (734)277-6578 (cell)

Emails received and sent to me as a Councilmember regarding City matters are generally subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

----Original Message-----From: Lumm. Jane

Sent: Thu 5/9/2013 7:48 AM To: Briere, Sabra; Crawford, Tom

Cc: Powers, Steve

Subject: RE: Public Art allocation

Given the necessity to amend the budget by the 2nd mtg. in June at the latest, I think delaying to June 17th is not helpful.

----Original Message-----From: Briere, Sabra

Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2013 7:40 AM To: Lumm, Jane; Crawford, Tom

Cc: Powers, Steve

Subject: RE: Public Art allocation

My plan was to request that the second reading occur on June 3 - although I've discussed delaying until June 17.

Sabra Briere First Ward Councilmember (734)995-3518 (home) (734)277-6578 (cell)

Emails received and sent to me as a Councilmember regarding City matters are generally subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

----Original Message---From: Lumm, Jane
Sent: Thu 5/9/2013 7:36 AM
To: Briere, Sabra; Crawford, Tom

Cc: Powers, Steve

Subject: RE: Public Art allocation

I understand the timing issue, but would like to confirm when the 2nd reading of the ordinance will come before council. Since the 1st reading of the ordinance was postponed to Monday's meeting, will the 2nd reading occur on May 20th or June 3rd? Tom will you please draft the necessary budget amendment language so that I can present it as part of the budget approval, just in case. Thanks you, Jane

From: Briere, Sabra

Sent: Wednesday, May 08, 2013 6:24 PM

To: Crawford, Tom

Cc: Powers, Steve; Lumm, Jane Subject: Re: Public Art allocation

thank you.

Sabra Briere

First Ward Ann Arbor

734-995-3518 (h)

734-277-6578 (c)

Emails received and sent to me as a Councilmember regarding City matters are generally subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

Sent from my iPad

On May 8, 2013, at 5:58 PM, "Crawford, Tom" < TCrawford@a2gov.org > wrote:

I understand your frustration. My recommendation is to leave it in the budget since this complies with the existing ordinance. When the new ordinance passes an amendment to modify the budget is appropriate. As long as it gets adopted by the second meeting June, no monies from FY14 will be spent.

From: Briere, Sabra

Sent: Wednesday, May 08, 2013 3:45 PM

To: Crawford, Tom; Powers, Steve

Cc: Lumm, Jane

Subject: Public Art allocation

Given the proposed amendment to the Public Art ordinance, I am wondering about the RESOLVED clause in the budget resolution. Although it appears that there will not be a vote on the ordinance revisions prior to May 20, is there an effective way to NOT appropriate funds for Public Art in FY14 - but leave open the possibility that the ordinance will fail? I'd really rather make this decision, if necessary, after the ordinance is discussed and voted upon.

Sabra Briere

First Ward Ann Arbor

734-995-3518 (h)

734-277-6578 (c)

Emails received and sent to me as a Councilmember regarding City matters are generally subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

Sent from my iPad