

APPROVED MINUTES OFTHE REGULAR MEETING OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS OF THE CITY OF ANN ARBOR May 25, 2011

The Regular Session of the Zoning Board of Appeals was held on Wednesday, May 25, 2011 at 6:00 p.m. in the CTN Building, 2805 South Industrial Hwy., A2, MI The meeting was called to order at 6:00 p.m. by Chairperson C. Kuhnke

I-1 INTRO – Chair Carol Kuhnke welcomed its newest member, Perry Zielak to the Board.

ROLL CALL

Members Present: Members Absent:	(7) (2)	C. Kuhnke, C. Briere, E. Briggs, J. Boggs, D. Gregorka, W. Carman and Perry Zielak A. Milshteyn & S. Briere
Staff Present:	(1)	M. Kowalski

A – <u>APPROVAL OF AGENDA</u>

A-1 - The Agenda was approved as presented.

B - APPROVAL OF MINUTES -

B-1 Draft Minutes of the 2011-03-23 Regular Session

C. Briere stated that Line #29 shows that the motion was seconded by S. Briere, but S. Briere
 was not present at that meeting. J. Boggs stated that he was the second on that particular
 motion. Change <u>S. Briere</u> to J. Boggs.

MOTION

- Moved by J. Boggs, Seconded by C. Briere, "To Approve the March 23, 2011 Regular
 Session Minutes as Amended."
 - On a Voice Vote MOTION TO APPROVE PASSED Unanimous
- 37 38 39

36

33

40 C - APPEALS & ACTION

41 42

43

C-1 <u>ZBA11-005 – 514 East Ann Street</u>

44 <u>Description and Discussion</u>45

- 46 Zaki Alawi is requesting **Permission to Alter a Non-Conforming Structure** and one 47 **Variance** from **Chapter 55 (Zoning) Section 5:30(R2A, Single Family)**: a variance of 1 foot 48 8 inches* for expansion of an existing residential structure into the side setback; 5 feet is the 49 side setback required (subject property is zoned R4C, however per Chapter 55, Section 50 5:10.8(2)(c), R2A standards are used because structure will be a two-family after 51 modifications).
- 52

* Variance dimension is reduced from original request based on boundary survey obtained by
 the petitioner.

55

56 The petitioner is proposing to convert this single-family house into a duplex with two six-57 bedroom units. The existing two-car attached garage will be converted into living space 58 (useable floor area) containing two bedrooms and a bathroom. This space was partially 59 converted into living space by the previous owner without appropriate building or zoning 60 permits, the current owner wishes to finish the construction and establish the area as living space containing two bedrooms and a bathroom. The building footprint will not be expanded, 61 62 however because the petitioner is converting non-useable floor area (accessory structure 63 (garage)) into useable floor area, a variance is required. If approved as a duplex, the structure 64 is required to have a total of 3 off-street parking spaces for two residential units. These spaces 65 can be provided in front of the existing garage.

66

67 The basement currently contains two bedrooms, a sauna and two bathrooms. This space was 68 finished by the previous owner without Building permits and it does not meet the Housing or Zoning code requirements to be considered habitable space. The petitioner is proposing to 69 70 convert the existing space into useable floor area by lowering the basement floor in order to 71 meet the zoning code requirement of 90 inches of headroom and constructing three bedrooms 72 and two bathrooms. The new construction will conform to all Zoning and Housing code 73 requirements, including the addition of egress windows. The property is located in the Old 74 Fourth Ward Historic and the petitioner received Historic District approval in 2010 for the 75 addition of the egress windows. A Stop Work Order was issued by the Building Official in May 2011 because work was begun on the basement without required permits and before ZBA 76 77 action on the request.

78

If the application is approved by the ZBA, staff would advise that the petitioner be required to
 remove the study rooms located on the third floor and basement.

81

82 **Questions to Staff by the Board**

83

64 General discussion by the Board regarding the request and the garage that was previously built into the side setback in 1987 by permit. This would also require a variance due to the garage that is built in the side setback as well as the permission to alter a non-conforming structure. (Petitioner wants to use the garage as habitable space).

89 Presentation by the Petitioner

90

88

The petitioner, Zaki Alawi and his architect Mike Van Goor gave an overview of the homes past as well as the most friendly way to be able to make this home safe with proper fire separation areas, etc. They noted that these issues had also been discussed and approved at the Historic District Commission meeting. Zaka Alawi, owner of this property, stated he hopes that this plan would make it code conforming and a win-win situation for them and the city.

96

97 **Questions to the Petitioner by the Board**

98

99 The board asked about the upgrades in the proposed plan. Mr. Van Goor stated that the entire 100 building would be gutted and remodeled to bring it completely to code with no additional rooms 101 where renters might be tempted to put additional people. Mr. Van Goor explained the entire

102 proposed plan at length.

103 **Public Comment**

- 104
- 1051. Ethel Potts, 1014 Elder Blvd., A2, MI She stated that there is a committee formed106to deal with the R2 and R4 Zoning (which she is a part). She stated that this appeal107is based on a financial hardship which cannot be considered by law to meet the108standards that the ZBA must use to grant a variance.

110 Discussion by the Board

111

109

D. Gregorka – Asked staff to comment on the R2 standards that Ms. Potts referred to. Mr.
 Kowalski explained the code at length in conjunction with Area, Height and Placement rules
 and Housing Code standards and requirements.

115

J. Boggs – The garage appears to have been approved as a vehicle containing structure, and not habitable space, even though the garage was improved to habitable space. Mr. Kowalski stated that the garage was built with permits by the previous owner, but only as an attached garage. It was never permitted or allowed to be habitable space.

120

Mr. Van Goor presented an alternate plan, whereby they would move the rear wall of the garage inward by three feet which would make the structure conforming. Extensive discussion regarding this, and it was determined that if this plan "B" were executed, that the variance and non-conforming structure requests were not needed. The board tabled the request (below) to allow the petitioner to return to the board if any other problems were incurred.

127 **MOTION**

128

126

Moved by D. Gregorka, Seconded by C. Briere, "In the case of ZBA11-005, 514 East Ann
 Street, the Zoning Board of Appeals hereby tables the request for Permission to Alter a
 Non-Conforming Structure and one Variance from Chapter 55 (Zoning) Section 5:30

- 132 (R2A, Single Family).
- 133

On a Voice Vote – MOTION TO TABLE – PASSED – UNANIMOUS
 Application Tabled.

- 136
- 137 138

C-2 ZBA11-006 – 506 Packard Street

139 Description and Discussion

140

Zaki Alawi is requesting *Permission to Alter a Non-Conforming Structure* in order to permit
 the expansion of an existing Two-Family residential structure

143

The parcel is zoned R4C (Multiple-Family Residential) and is located on the corner of Packard and Cross Streets. The two-story house was built in 1901 and is 2,144 square feet. The house is currently registered as a duplex with 3 bedrooms in each unit and an unfinished basement.

148 The petitioner is proposing to lower the basement floor to obtain a ceiling height of 90 inches (minimum required by the Zoning Ordinance) in order to convert the existing basement space 149 to useable floor area and add bedrooms. All renovation will be interior, with the exception of 150 egress window wells, and the building footprint will not be expanded. The basement will 151 152 contain a total of four bedrooms and two bathrooms (2 bedrooms, 1 bath for each unit). After the renovations are completed, the structure will remain a duplex and each unit will have six 153 bedrooms, for a total of 12 bedrooms in the structure. The house is non-conforming for lot area 154 and rear setback. 155

The subject parcel lies on Packard in an area heavily occupied by student rental housing and larger apartment buildings. The existing house is surrounded on all sides by similar multi-family buildings of at least 2 stories. The proposed modifications will not be visible from the street side, other than enlarged basement egress windows. The petitioner will not be adding parking, however the parking provided will meet the minimum code requirement of 1.5 spaces per unit for a total of 3 parking spaces.

162

163 Staff does not feel that the proposed modifications would negatively affect any surrounding 164 property. As stated previously, the surrounding uses are similar in scale and density.

166 **Questions to Staff by the Board**

167

165

168 General discussion by the Board regarding the density allowed, number of beds, etc.

170 **Presentation by the Petitioner**

171

169

Mr. Zaki Alawi, owner of this property and Scott Klaassen, contractor for Mr. Alawi speaks
 about upgrades. What currently exists is very outdated. Mr. Klaassen stated that all the
 mechanical, electrical and plumbing would also be upgraded, along with new egress windows
 in the basement.

176

177 **Questions to the Petitioner by the Board**

178 179

181

180 Public Comment

- Ethel Potts, 1014 Elder Blvd., A2, MI Stated that this is a major non-conformance that
 the petitioner is asking for. The lots in this area are very small. R2 Standards should
 apply. Only 4 residents in a unit. She says that this cannot meet code.
- 185

186 **Discussion by the Board**

187
D. Gregorka – Stated that he thinks it's a great project. With super upgrades, this proposal is a
really nice thing. The lot area gives him concern. He does not think that we are meeting the
standards for this particular project. The lot is deficient by 50% and we're adding additional
people to the building. I don't think we're meeting the standards either.

193 <u>MOTION</u>

Moved by D. Gregorka, Seconded by W. Carman, "In the case of ZBA11-006, 506 Packard,
 the Zoning Board of Appeals grants Permission To Alter A Non-Conforming Structure,
 based on the following findings of fact and per submitted plans;

198 199

200 201

203

192

194

- a) The alteration complies as nearly as practicable with the requirements of the Zoning Chapter;
- a) The alteration will not have a detrimental effect on neighboring property; And,
- 204 b) There will be no exterior alterations of the structure.
- 205
 206 On a Voice Vote MOTION TO APPROVE FAILED UNANIMOUS
- 207 Permission To Alter A Non-Conforming Structure Denied
- 208

209 C-1

210

ZBA11-007 – 1117 Prospect/ 1032 Church Street

211 **Description and Discussion**

212

213 Zaki Alawi is requesting permission to alter a non-conforming structure as described in Chapter 55, Zoning, Section 5:87, Structure Nonconformance. 214

- 216 The house is currently registered as a tri-plex with 2 one-bedroom units and one 4-bedroom 217 unit and an unfinished basement.
- 218

215

219 The petitioner is proposing to lower the basement floor to obtain a ceiling height of 90 inches (minimum required by the Zoning Ordinance) in order to convert the existing basement space 220 221 to useable floor area and add bedrooms. The building will be converted from a triplex with 6 bedrooms to a duplex with 12 bedrooms. All renovation will be interior, with the exception of 222 223 egress window wells and the building footprint will not be expanded. The basement will contain 224 a total of four bedrooms and two bathrooms (2 bedrooms, 1 bath for each unit).

225 226 After the renovations are completed, the structure will remain a duplex and each unit will have 227 six bedrooms, for a total of 12 bedrooms in the structure. The house is non-conforming for minimum lot area and rear setback. After the removal of one unit, the building will conform to 228 229 the minimum required lot area/unit of 2,175 square feet. The petitioner will not be adding parking. Parking is currently provided in the driveway and will meet the minimum code 230 requirement of 1.5 spaces per unit for a total of 3 parking spaces. 231 232

233 Staff does not feel that the proposed modifications would negatively affect any surrounding 234 property. As stated previously, the surrounding uses are similar in scale and density.

- 235
- 236 Questions to Staff by the Board – None. 237

238 **Presentation by the Petitioner**

239 240 Mr. Zaki Alawi, owner of this property and Scott Klaassen, contractor were present to speak on behalf of the appeal. Mr. Alawi stated that you can have 6 people in each unit of this house. 241 He wishes to make the house safer and better utilized. He is not going to change the outside. 242 243 He will downsize the structure from 3 to 2 units and he will add more bedrooms. Scott 244 Klaassen spoke about the mechanical improvements. He states that this is a benefit not a 245 detriment.

246

247 Questions to the Petitioner by the Board

- 248

249 D. Gregorka – Asked Mr. Alawi if he has spoken to his neighbor, Ms. Lenora Collins about her concerns. (He stated that this was the first time he had heard anything about her or the house). 250 251

252 Public Comment 253

254 C. Kuhnke - An email was received from Lenora M. Collins, owner of 1136 Prospect. Although 255 she does not come right out a say so, Ms. Collins does not appear to support this petition 256 because she is opposed to the increased density.

- 257
- 258 1. Ethel Potts, 1014 Elder Blvd., A2, MI - Stated concerned about doubling of the occupancy from 8 residents to 12. She thinks that the Board needs to follow the code. 259 She says this house is neglected and it would be nice to have it fixed up. 260

Although there is almost no parking and no open space, Ms. Potts also states that she believes that work may have already started on the house.

Discussion by the Board

D. Gregorka – Stated that he thinks it's a great project. With upgrades, this proposal is a really
nice thing, but the lot concerns me. The lot is deficient by 50% and we're adding additional
people to the building. I don't think we're meeting the standards either.

MOTION

Moved by J. Boggs, Seconded by C. Briere, "In the case of ZBA11-007, 1117 Prospect/1032
Church Street, the Zoning Board of Appeals grants Permission To Alter A
Non-Conforming Structure, based on the following findings of fact and per submitted
plans;

- a) The alteration complies as nearly as practicable with the requirements of the Zoning Chapter;
- 280 b) The alteration will not have a detrimental effect on neighboring property; And
 - c) *The lot area is half the requirement and there is a letter of opposition from a neighbor.

On a Voice Vote – MOTION TO APPROVE - FAILED – UNANIMOUS
 Permission To Alter A Non-Conforming Structure – Denied

D. <u>OLD BUSINESS</u> – None.

- 292 E. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u> None.
- 294 F. <u>REPORTS & COMMUNICATIONS</u> None.
- **AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION GENERAL** None.

298 ADJOURNMENT

300 Moved by D. Gregorka, Seconded by W. Carman, "That the meeting be adjourned."

302 On a Voice Vote – MOTION TO ADJOURN - PASSED - UNANIMOUS

Adjournment – 7:22 p.m. (Submitted by: Brenda Acquaviva, Administrative Specialist V
 & Ann Howard, Administrative Specialist III) – Zoning Board of Appeals)

309 C. Kuhnke, Chairperson

Dated ZBA Minutes

*Note: The complete record of this meeting is available in video format at
 <u>http://a2govtv.pegcentral.com/index.php</u> or is available for a nominal fee by contacting CTN at
 (734) 794-6150.