

Ann Arbor City Council Regular Session: March 5, 2019
Email Redactions List Pursuant to Council Resolution R-09-386

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	<u>Received</u>		<u>TO</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>CC</u>	<u>Redactions</u>	<u>Reason for Redaction</u>
	<u>Sent Time</u>	<u>Time</u>					
2	7:06 PM		Chip Smith	Colin Smith		Name, email address, phone number	Privacy
3	7:33 PM		Christopher Taylor, Jack Eaton, Elizabeth Nelson	Marija Freeland		Email address	Privacy
4	7:36 PM		Christopher Taylor, Leslie Krauz Stambaugh	Dwight Wilson		Email address	Privacy
5	7:37 PM		Jeff Hayner	Nick Rinehart		Email address, address	Privacy
6	7:37 PM		Anne Bannister	Nick Rinehart		Email address, address	Privacy
7	7:56 PM		City Council	Lisa Disch		Email address, address	Privacy
8	8:42 PM		Jacqueline Beaudry	Jeff Hayner			
9	8:46 PM		Jacqueline Beaudry	Christopher Taylor			
10	8:50 PM		Jacqueline Beaudry	Christopher Taylor		Email address	Privacy
11	8:56 PM		Jane Lumm	Bridgette Kaul	Stephaine Preston, Kathy Griswold	Email addresses, cell phone	Privacy
12	9:12 PM		Ali Ramlawi, Chip Smith	Michael Miller		Email address, address	Privacy
13	9:24 PM		Elizabeth Nelson	Ravi Chopra		Email address, address	Privacy
14	9:31 PM		City Council, Christopher Taylor	Larry Junck		Email address, address, phone number	Privacy
15	9:53 PM		Michael Miller	Ali Ramlawi		Email address, address	Privacy
16	9:55 PM		Vince	Ali Ramlawi		Email address	Privacy
17	10:01 PM			Ali Ramlawi		Name, email address, phone number	Privacy

Journal Archive

From: Smith, Colin
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 7:06 PM
To: Smith, Chip
Subject: Fwd: My generation needs you to vote NO on Resolution 19-0395

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: [REDACTED]
Date: March 4, 2019 at 6:03:56 PM EST
To: <csmith@a2gov.org>, <aramlawi@a2gov.org>
Subject: My generation needs you to vote NO on Resolution 19-0395

Hi Councilmembers Smith and Ramlawi!

I hope you each had a fantastic weekend.

As a resident of the 5th ward and a student at Forsythe Middle School, I'm proud to see Ann Arbor taking action on the climate crisis, most recently with a \$880,000 per year pledge to address this existential threat. Unfortunately, Councilmember Lumm is pushing a plan to undo this vital funding distribution. Her proposed resolution 19-0395 to reverse the 40/40/20 allocation of \$880,000 to climate justice initiatives goes in absolutely the wrong direction. Please do not abandon this source of funding for climate action (and for affordable housing and pedestrian safety) without stating a clear, well-funded alternative plan. I ask you to vote please NO on resolution 19-0395 tonight.

Thanks so much! I hope you have a great meeting tonight and week to come.

[REDACTED]

--

[REDACTED]
He/Him/His

[REDACTED]
Hatchery Digital Media: [REDACTED]

Journal Archive

From: Marija Freeland [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 7:33 PM
To: Taylor, Christopher (Mayor); Eaton, Jack; Nelson, Elizabeth
Subject: Sierra Club letter about Climate Change action

Good evening!

I have been reading a large number of posts on NextDoor related to a letter that the Executive Director of the local Sierra Post sent to the City Council about how certain tax money would be allocated. In the letter the director stated that the local members of the Sierra Club would support spending this money on Climate Change actions rather than allocating money to deal with mental health issues in the community. As a member of the Sierra Club I would like to stand up and say that the Executive Director does *not* speak for me. While I care about climate change I would much rather see the money allocated to providing more mental health resources that have been desperately needed since the state of Michigan cut so much funding for mental health under Governor Engler. From what I am reading on NextDoor there are other Sierra Club members who feel the same way. Please take this into account when you consider the issue in your next meeting.

Thank you for your time!

Marija Freeland

Journal Archive

From: Wilson, Dwight (PTF)
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 7:36 PM
To: Taylor, Christopher (Mayor); Leslie Krauz Stambaugh; [REDACTED]
Subject: A Resignation

To Whom It May Concern:

Thank you for the opportunity to serve on the Ann Arbor Human Rights Commission. Immediately I am ending the book on my inclusion on that body.

Yours in the Light,
Dwight L. Wilson

Journal Archive

From: Nick Rinehart [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 7:37 PM
To: Hayner, Jeff
Subject: Please vote to preserve funding for climate change, pedestrian safety, and affordable housing

Dear Mr. Hayner,

I am writing to you to encourage you to vote against Councilmember Lumm's resolution to direct money away from funding to fight climate change, develop affordable housing, and grow our city's pedestrian infrastructure. I believe that these are all critical issues that need to be funded by our city in the upcoming budget.

When the Council voted in September 2017 to earmark the funding from the Mental Health & Public Safety millage to these areas, they made a commitment to voters that this is how funding would be spent. I urge you to follow the direction previously set by the Council. As someone who has campaigned on these issues, I hope you will agree with me.

Thank you,
Nick Rinehart
[REDACTED]

Journal Archive

From: Nick Rinehart [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 7:37 PM
To: Bannister, Anne
Subject: Please vote to preserve funding for climate change, pedestrian safety, and affordable housing

Dear Ms. Bannister,

I am writing to you to encourage you to vote against Councilmember Lumm's resolution to direct money away from funding to fight climate change, develop affordable housing, and grow our city's pedestrian infrastructure. I believe that these are all critical issues that need to be funded by our city in the upcoming budget.

When the Council voted in September 2017 to earmark the funding from the Mental Health & Public Safety millage to these areas, you made a commitment to voters that this is how funding would be spent. I urge you to follow the direction previously set by the Council. As someone who has campaigned on these issues, I hope you will agree with me.

Thank you,
Nick Rinehart
[REDACTED]

Journal Archive

From: Lisa Disch [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 7:56 PM
To: CityCouncil
Subject: Stick to the allocations we voted on

See above: climate, affordable housing, and pedestrian safety AS ADVERTISED in the millage that voters passed.

That is how democracy works.

Lisa Disch
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

Journal Archive

From: Hayner, Jeff
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 8:42 PM
To: Beaudry, Jacqueline; CityCouncil
Subject: Amendment to DC-2

All-

I will be offering the following amendments to the DC-2 resolution- designed to clarify the causes of our traffic woes, the objectives of the study, and the cost of the study.

Jeff Hayner
Ward 1 City Council

Whereas, Existing traffic conditions in the Lower Town Area do not meet the aspirations of our community;

Whereas, Increased commuter traffic and development in the northern areas of the City and neighboring townships, and increased enrollment at the University of Michigan and expansion of Michigan Medicine and University research facilities, can reasonably be expected to add demand to the City's mobility network;

Whereas, Council (Resolution R-17-472) directed the City to conduct the Lower Town Area Mobility Study, to review and update previous studies of vehicular, transit, bicycle, and pedestrian movement leading to and traveling through the Lower Town Area;

Whereas, The City's Transportation Commission reviewed and provided comments on the scope of the study;

Whereas, In response to RFP No. 18-21, the City received three proposals and selected the best qualified consultant team and presented the team's proposal and the need for a budget amendment to Council for its consideration.

Whereas, After multiple deliberations City Council voted not to amend the approved FY19 Budget to accommodate this effort on February 19, 2019, citing issues concerning the cost of the study and the extended period of performance.

Whereas, The need to evaluate the Lower Town area and the multimodal avenues of approach still exists,

RESOLVED, That the City Council directs the City Administrator to include a placeholder for potential funding in the amount of ~~\$649,478.00~~ \$150,000 in the FY20 Operations and Maintenance budget of the Major Street Fund to accomplish the objectives of the study.

RESOLVED, That the City Council further directs the City Administrator to reopen negotiations with the any qualified firms who initially submitted with a revised scope of work that addresses Council's concerns about cost and delivery schedule, and defines the objectives of the study and to bring the revised contract back to Council for consideration not later than the second meeting in September 2019.

Journal Archive

From: Taylor, Christopher (Mayor)
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 8:46 PM
To: Beaudry, Jacqueline
Subject: FW: Amendment to DC-2

From: Hayner, Jeff <JHayner@a2gov.org>
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 8:42 PM
To: Beaudry, Jacqueline <JBeaudry@a2gov.org>; CityCouncil <CityCouncil@a2gov.org>
Subject: Amendment to DC-2

All-

I will be offering the following amendments to the DC-2 resolution- designed to clarify the causes of our traffic woes, the objectives of the study, and the cost of the study.

Jeff Hayner
Ward 1 City Council

Whereas, Existing traffic conditions in the Lower Town Area do not meet the aspirations of our community;

Whereas, Increased commuter traffic and development in the northern areas of the City and neighboring townships, and increased enrollment at the University of Michigan and expansion of Michigan Medicine and University research facilities, can reasonably be expected to add demand to the City's mobility network;

Whereas, Council (Resolution R-17-472) directed the City to conduct the Lower Town Area Mobility Study, to review and update previous studies of vehicular, transit, bicycle, and pedestrian movement leading to and traveling through the Lower Town Area;

Whereas, The City's Transportation Commission reviewed and provided comments on the scope of the study;

Whereas, In response to RFP No. 18-21, the City received three proposals and selected the best qualified consultant team and presented the team's proposal and the need for a budget amendment to Council for its consideration.

Whereas, After multiple deliberations City Council voted not to amend the approved FY19 Budget to accommodate this effort on February 19, 2019, citing issues concerning the cost of the study and the extended period of performance.

Whereas, The need to evaluate the Lower Town area and the multimodal avenues of approach still exists,

RESOLVED, That the City Council directs the City Administrator to include a placeholder for potential funding in the amount of ~~\$649,478.00~~ \$150,000 in the FY20 Operations and Maintenance budget of the Major Street Fund to accomplish the objectives of the study.

RESOLVED, That the City Council further directs the City Administrator to reopen negotiations with the any qualified firms who initially submitted with a revised scope of work that addresses Council's concerns about cost and delivery schedule, and defines the objectives of the study and to bring the revised contract back to Council for consideration not later than the second meeting in September 2019.

Journal Archive

From: Taylor, Christopher (Mayor)
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 8:46 PM
To: Lazarus, Howard; Beaudry, Jacqueline
Subject: FW: Amendment to DC-2

From: Hayner, Jeff <JHayner@a2gov.org>
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 8:42 PM
To: Beaudry, Jacqueline <JBeaudry@a2gov.org>; CityCouncil <CityCouncil@a2gov.org>
Subject: Amendment to DC-2

All-
I will be offering the following amendments to the DC-2 resolution- designed to clarify the causes of our traffic woes, the objectives of the study, and the cost of the study.

Jeff Hayner
Ward 1 City Council

Whereas, Existing traffic conditions in the Lower Town Area do not meet the aspirations of our community;

Whereas, Increased commuter traffic and development in the northern areas of the City and neighboring townships, and increased enrollment at the University of Michigan and expansion of Michigan Medicine and University research facilities, can reasonably be expected to add demand to the City's mobility network;

Whereas, Council (Resolution R-17-472) directed the City to conduct the Lower Town Area Mobility Study, to review and update previous studies of vehicular, transit, bicycle, and pedestrian movement leading to and traveling through the Lower Town Area;

Whereas, The City's Transportation Commission reviewed and provided comments on the scope of the study;

Whereas, In response to RFP No. 18-21, the City received three proposals and selected the best qualified consultant team and presented the team's proposal and the need for a budget amendment to Council for its consideration.

Whereas, After multiple deliberations City Council voted not to amend the approved FY19 Budget to accommodate this effort on February 19, 2019, citing issues concerning the cost of the study and the extended period of performance.

Whereas, The need to evaluate the Lower Town area and the multimodal avenues of approach still exists,

RESOLVED, That the City Council directs the City Administrator to include a placeholder for potential funding in the amount of ~~\$649,478.00~~ \$150,000 in the FY20 Operations and Maintenance budget of the Major Street Fund to accomplish the objectives of the study.

RESOLVED, That the City Council further directs the City Administrator to reopen negotiations with ~~the any~~ qualified firms ~~who initially submitted~~ with a revised scope of work that addresses Council's concerns about cost and delivery schedule, and defines the objectives of the study and to bring the revised contract back to Council for consideration not later than the second meeting in September 2019.

Journal Archive

From: Taylor, Christopher (Mayor)
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 8:50 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Amendment to DC-2

From: Hayner, Jeff <JHayner@a2gov.org>
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 8:42 PM
To: Beaudry, Jacqueline <JBeaudry@a2gov.org>; CityCouncil <CityCouncil@a2gov.org>
Subject: Amendment to DC-2

All-
I will be offering the following amendments to the DC-2 resolution- designed to clarify the causes of our traffic woes, the objectives of the study, and the cost of the study.

Jeff Hayner
Ward 1 City Council

Whereas, Existing traffic conditions in the Lower Town Area do not meet the aspirations of our community;

Whereas, Increased commuter traffic and development in the northern areas of the City and neighboring townships, and increased enrollment at the University of Michigan and expansion of Michigan Medicine and University research facilities, can reasonably be expected to add demand to the City's mobility network;

Whereas, Council (Resolution R-17-472) directed the City to conduct the Lower Town Area Mobility Study, to review and update previous studies of vehicular, transit, bicycle, and pedestrian movement leading to and traveling through the Lower Town Area;

Whereas, The City's Transportation Commission reviewed and provided comments on the scope of the study;

Whereas, In response to RFP No. 18-21, the City received three proposals and selected the best qualified consultant team and presented the team's proposal and the need for a budget amendment to Council for its consideration.

Whereas, After multiple deliberations City Council voted not to amend the approved FY19 Budget to accommodate this effort on February 19, 2019, citing issues concerning the cost of the study and the extended period of performance.

Whereas, The need to evaluate the Lower Town area and the multimodal avenues of approach still exists,

RESOLVED, That the City Council directs the City Administrator to include a placeholder for potential funding in the amount of ~~\$649,478.00~~ \$150,000 in the FY20 Operations and Maintenance budget of the Major Street Fund to accomplish the objectives of the study.

RESOLVED, That the City Council further directs the City Administrator to reopen negotiations with the any qualified firms who initially submitted with a revised scope of work that addresses Council's concerns about cost and delivery schedule, and defines the objectives of the study and to bring the revised contract back to Council for consideration not later than the second meeting in September 2019.

Journal Archive

From: Bridgette Kaul [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 8:56 PM
To: Lumm, Jane
Cc: Stephanie Preston; A2 Safe Transport for Our Pedestrians; Griswold, Kathy
Subject: Re: Ann Arbor City Council voting to remove committed funding for pedestrian safety/climate action tonight! Tell them no!

Hi All,

This is all very confusing. I don't understand why the city council is not making recommendations on how to use the funds as they were voted on. I did not vote for this millage because it didn't make sense to me and was too vague. Why purpose a millage to fund three separate, non related programs? It was a great way to get a large millage passed by enticing different interest groups. City council has wasted money to pay a marketing firm for a closed survey. I thought the community preferences were expressed when the millage had passed through the voting process.

Am I missing something?

Thank you,
Bridgette

On Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 12:15 PM Lumm, Jane <JLumm@a2gov.org> wrote:

Thanks for your note and the copy, Stephanie. I've copied my resolution re: the Mental Health and Public Safety Millage below. City staff indicated that if council approves the resolution (which, given the stream of email's generated by the WBWC, Ecology Ctr., and the Sierra Club - each of whom sent out messages to their members asking them to write us to reject the resolution, seems unlikely), the Administrator can incorporate the results of the citizen survey feedback in the Administrator's recommended budget for this year.

Hope this is helpful, and thanks again for kindly looping us in on your note. My best, Jane

Resolution Regarding the City of Ann Arbor's Spending of Proceeds from the 2017 Washtenaw County Mental Health and Public Safety Millage

Whereas, on November 7, 2017, Washtenaw County voters approved an eight-year Mental Health & Public Safety Millage that will provide the City of Ann Arbor with \$2.2M annually in unrestricted revenue beginning in FY19; and

Whereas, after reflecting normal taxable value growth, the total unrestricted revenue to the City over the eight-year period will be approximately \$20 million; and

Whereas, on September 18, 2017, City Council adopted a resolution stating it was Council's intent to utilize the Mental Health & Public Safety Millage proceeds for the duration of the eight-year millage 40% for affordable housing, 40% for climate action programs, and 20% for pedestrian safety; and

Whereas, the actual millage ballot language did not contain any reference to Council's proposed allocations and the extent to which voters were aware of the proposed allocations is not clear; and

Whereas, the City's receipt of an incremental and unrestricted revenue stream of this magnitude is unprecedented and given the lack of clarity on resident preferences, City Council determined it would be both appropriate and informative to seek input from taxpayers on their preferences regarding how the new revenue should be utilized; and

Whereas, on November 19, 2018, City Council adopted resolution #18-1909 "*Resolution to Conduct a Survey of Ann Arbor Residents to Assess Community Preferences Regarding the City's Spending of County Mental Health & Public Safety Millage Proceeds*"; and

Whereas, on December 17, 2018, City Council re-affirmed its desire to conduct the millage proceeds survey by adopting resolution #18-2118 "*Resolution Providing Council Guidance on the Citizen Survey to Obtain Community Input on the Utilization of County Mental Health & Public Safety Millage Proceeds*"; and

Whereas, the millage proceeds survey is a closed survey currently in process and responses will be accepted through March 25, 2019; and

Whereas, at the February 11, 2019 Budget Work Session, it was indicated the 40/40/20 allocation of millage proceeds would be reflected in the FY20 budget proposed by the City Administrator regardless of the survey results because it was the Council "policy" in effect on the matter; and

Whereas, one of the most important responsibilities of City Council is to establish the City spending budget each year. Resolutions earmarking funds adopted by a previous Council limits the current Council's freedom/authority to fulfill that responsibility and for that reason, it has been longstanding City policy/practice that a council is not permitted to make budget decisions that are binding on future councils; and

Whereas, the current City Council has not established its policy direction regarding spending of the \$2.2M in county millage proceeds and is awaiting the results of the survey to help inform that policy; and

Whereas, once the survey results are known, City Council will consider and discuss alternatives and establish a policy recommendation for FY20; and

Whereas, the City Administrator is authorized (and required) to make his FY20 budget recommendation to City Council for consideration and the presentation of the proposal is scheduled for April 15, 2019; and

Whereas, City Council believes that if a Council policy is to be used as the basis the Administrator employs in developing a specific budget spending recommendation, it should be policy direction from the current Council which is informed by the community survey, and Council believes that it would be both inappropriate and dismissive of community preferences expressed in the survey for the Administrator to reflect the allocation adopted by the previous council; and

RESOLVED, that City Council nullifies the policy direction established by resolution September 18, 2017 regarding the spending of county millage proceeds, effectively creating a blank sheet for allocation of the funds; and

RESOLVED, that City Council commits to taking action on or before April 1, 2019 with regard to policy direction on spending of the millage proceeds assuming the survey results are known by March 25th; and

RESOLVED, that City Council encourages the Administrator to reflect in his FY20 budget proposal the policy direction to be adopted by Council, and in the absence of a new policy direction on spending the millage proceeds, to consider the community preferences expressed in the survey in his proposal.

Submitted by Councilmember Lumm

March 4, 2019

From: Stephanie Preston [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 11:57 AM
To: A2 Safe Transport for Our Pedestrians <A2safetransport@umich.edu>; Lumm, Jane <JLumm@a2gov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Ann Arbor City Council voting to remove committed funding for pedestrian safety/climate action tonight! Tell them no!

Just a heads up that the message from Erica is not a sponsored message from the A2 Safe Transport organization. Erica is simply ccing us on a message that represents her/WBWC views, of which they wanted to inform us.

It would be great if Kathy Griswold or Jane Lumm (cced) would explain to us what they think the meaning and goal of the referenced survey is, since it involves pedestrian safety and at least some people appear to be against it and I don't know anything about it.

I don't know if this is related to the current issue, but I do know that when the vote was originally cast, some people voted against this allocation to pedestrian safety (or climate) even if they were in favor of funding pedestrian safety (or climate) because it seemed to violate the spirit of the millage (which was for mental health and public safety improvements). Mental health is, of course, another important issue in our community, and so some believed that we should not use money that was described as for one cause for a different cause.

I can see the issue either way.

Of course I like money for pedestrian safety! And technically, it is a form of public safety. But, I also understand how it would seem unfair to "bait and switch" a millage.

Please do let us know if you are privy to recent efforts to change the allocation. We like to be educated on the issues!

Best,

Stephanie

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Erica [REDACTED]
Date: Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 10:21 AM
Subject: Ann Arbor City Council voting to remove committed funding for pedestrian safety/climate action tonight! Tell them no!
To: <wbwc@googlegroups.com>
Cc: WBWC Board <wbwc-board@googlegroups.com>, <annarbor@2030districts.org>, A2 Safe Transport for Our Pedestrians <A2safetransport@umich.edu>, Paul Tinkerhess [REDACTED] Matt Grocoff [REDACTED], Kelly Grocoff [REDACTED] Kevin Leeser [REDACTED] <a2environmentalist@gmail.com>, christopher hewett [REDACTED]

In November 2017, Washtenaw County residents voted two-to-one in favor of an eight-year millage that would generate \$5 - \$6 million per year for mental health and public safety improvements beginning in January 2019. This millage generated new, and much needed, funding for both mental health and public safety services. In Washtenaw County seven municipalities — including the City of Ann Arbor — pay for their own police services, so it was determined that those communities would be rebated a portion of the millage proceeds, to be allocated at the discretion of the local governments. Countywide, the millage funds would be divided 38% mental health, 38% public safety, and 24% local rebate. **PROIR to the election, Ann Arbor City Council passed a resolution to spend the millage funds as follows:**

- The Ann Arbor City Council resolved to apply its portion of the rebate funds to climate action (40%), affordable housing (40%), and pedestrian safety (20%).
- The climate portion would enable Ann Arbor to provide nearly \$1 million in annual funding for its ambitious climate action plan, which commits the City to reduce carbon emissions 90% by 2050.

Based on the City Council resolution stating how the rebate was going to be used numerous nonprofits (WBWC, Ecology Center, Michigan Climate Action Network, and many others) around the community came out in support of the millage encouraging Ann Arbor voters to vote YES.

Now some members of A2 City Council want to use a community survey issued to small group of residents in early 2019 to change how the rebate is used! This is crazy. The voters have already spoken and clearly stated their wishes in November 2017. **Don't let this City Council strip Ann Arbor of much needed funding for pedestrian safety and climate action work.** Show up tonight (Monday, March 4th) and tell Council what you think or send them an email. Community surveys should not override the wishes of Ann Arbor voters!

Thanks for speaking up. And please feel free to share this email,

Erica Briggs
WBWC Board Member



--

Best,

Stephanie

Journal Archive

From: Michael Miller [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 9:12 PM
To: Ramlawi, Ali; Smith, Chip
Subject: W. Mosley Residential Parking Petition

I was at City Council and understood from Mr. Hess that we had dotted all our "I"s with the petition so was wondering what was needed for the petition. Thanks for any information that we in the neighborhood might following up.

Mike Miller
[REDACTED]

Journal Archive

From: Ravi Chopra [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 9:24 PM
To: Nelson, Elizabeth
Subject: Re: Citizen Concern re: AA File# 19-0395

Hi Elizabeth,

Thanks for the response! Appreciate your work on our behalf on the council

Best,
Ravi

On Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 2:41 PM Nelson, Elizabeth <ENelson@a2gov.org> wrote:

Hi,

I appreciate you reaching out. I plan to oppose that resolution nullifying the previous allocation. I wrote at length about my thinking on this issue in my newsletter this week ("Additional thoughts" at the end)

<https://www.a2elnel.com/blog/city-council-newsletter-mar-3-2019>

I did not include this in my newsletter, but what I have heard of the survey is concerning. I expect the topic to come up tonight (I submitted questions to the agenda earlier today)—several residents have shared with me that they received the survey MULTIPLE times. I hear what you're saying in terms of not having received one copy. I'm more concerned that some residents received it MORE than once! I honestly don't know what to think of it anymore. I supported it when I assumed it might actually provide some kind of data but now... I am highly skeptical.

Thanks again for reaching out. I do read all my emails, even if I don't always have time to respond!

Elizabeth

From: Ravi Chopra [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 2:25 PM
To: Nelson, Elizabeth <ENelson@a2gov.org>
Subject: Citizen Concern re: AA File# 19-0395

Dear Councilwoman Nelson,

My name is Ravi, and I am a constituent from Ward 4. I'm writing today to express my thoughts regarding File# 19-0395: Resolution Regarding the City of Ann Arbor's Spending of Proceeds from the 2017 Washtenaw County Mental Health and Public Safety Millage. It is my understanding based on this file that the council proposal would nullify the previous council's plan to allocate millage rebate funds to 40% climate action, 40% affordable housing, and 20% pedestrian safety. It is also my understanding that in this proposal the council would allocate the funds for FY 2020 based on a closed survey that is currently being conducted.

I want to take a balanced position on this, and I understand that being mandated to spend according a prior council's decision can limit the council's ability to meet community needs. With that said, I support the prior council's 40/40/20 plan, and therefore personally oppose efforts to undermine that plan. This is for the following three reasons:

- 1) As a bike commuter I am disappointed by how dangerous our roads are for cyclists (including several deaths in recent years) and I am hoping that climate action and pedestrian safety money can be directed to infrastructure projects for cyclists
- 2) Affordable housing is a pressing problem worth targeting with municipal dollars, particularly given the inequality that exists within our very community
- 3) I have a young child whose future environment I wish to protect, and I know that meaningful impact is not possible without concerted municipal effort

Because I have not been included in the survey, I ask that my position be incorporated into the council's decision-making. Thank you for your time.

Best,

Ravi Chopra



Journal Archive

From: Junck, Larry (Larry) [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 9:31 PM
To: CityCouncil; Taylor, Christopher (Mayor)
Subject: Please support funding for Ann Arbor's Climate Action Plan

Mayor Chris Taylor
City Council members

Dear Mayor Taylor and City Council members,

Thank you for allowing me to speak at the public commentary during tonight's meeting. My comments are pasted below. I hope you will support fully funding the City's Climate Action plan.

Larry Junck
[REDACTED]

* * * * *
* * * * *

I am a proud resident of Ann Arbor for decades, living in Ward 3, also a Professor at UM Medical School. I am here to speak against the proposed resolution 19-0395, which proposes to defund the City's existing climate commitment.

In November, I appeared before you to speak in favor of funding the Climate Action Plan. One of the reasons that I emphasized was the adverse health effects from burning fossil fuels. If health effects in Ann Arbor from air pollution are typical for America, they would include about 37 excess deaths per year -- from heart disease, stroke, lung disease, and other causes. If you think about important other actions that come before City Council -- pedestrian safety, dangerous intersections, opioid abuse -- you will have difficulty finding other issues where so many lives are at stake.

I also wish also to mention the urgency of dealing with climate change. The International Panel on Climate Change report of October 2018 indicated that to hold human-caused global warming to 1.5 degrees C, we will have to have reduced greenhouse gas production by 50% by 2030.

I will provide 3 additional reasons to fully fund the city's Climate Action Plan:

1. You have already approved funding for this plan in 2017. For some of you, the voters re-elected you, based in part on your participation in approving this funding.
2. It will be money well spent. Even without taking into account the cost of problems caused by greenhouse gas emissions, the externalities such as those health costs, which are neglected in financial planning by the city and many others involved in financial planning, money spent to get us moving toward sustainable energy of the future rather than on dirty technologies of the 1800s and 1900s will be a good investment in our cleaner future.
3. It fits with our image of Ann Arbor as a leader, not a laggard, in dealing with important problems where our efforts can make a big difference. Ideally we should be joining the likes of cities including Seattle, Portland, San Francisco, Palo Alto, Boulder, Minneapolis, Toronto, Washington DC, New York, and Boston, all of which have timelines for being 80-100% free of green-house gas emissions, and some of which have realistic plans for achieving this. Also, we should be joining the University and working hand-in-hand with them as they plan their strategy to be 100% free.

I strongly urge you to vote down resolution 19-0395 and, instead, proceed with allocation of funds for the city's Climate Action Plan.

Electronic Mail is not secure, may not be read every day, and should not be used for urgent or sensitive issues

Journal Archive

From: Ramlawi, Ali
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 9:53 PM
To: Michael Miller
Subject: RE: W. Mosley Residential Parking Petition

Awesome. Good to hear things are in order.

Thank you,
CM Ramlawi

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael Miller [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 9:12 PM
To: Ramlawi, Ali <ARamlawi@a2gov.org>; Smith, Chip <ChSmith@a2gov.org>
Subject: W. Mosley Residential Parking Petition

I was at City Council and understood from Mr. Hess that we had dotted all our "I"s with the petition so was wondering what was needed for the petition. Thanks for any information that we in the neighborhood might following up.

Mike Miller
[REDACTED]

Journal Archive

From: Ramlawi, Ali
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 9:55 PM
To: vrcaruso
Subject: RE: Please nullify the council's previous policy direction on how to spend the money

As you probably know by now, CM Lumm withdrew her resolution.
Please listen to council commentary at the end of tonight's meeting to learn more.

Best wishes,
Ali

From: vrcaruso [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 6:13 PM
To: CityCouncil <CityCouncil@a2gov.org>
Subject: Please nullify the council's previous policy direction on how to spend the money

Council,
Please support Council Member Jane Lumm's resolution Monday night to nullify the council's previous policy direction on how to spend the money.

Thanks,
Vince
Sent from Smart Phone

Journal Archive

From: Ramlawi, Ali
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 10:01 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: My generation needs you to vote NO on Resolution 19-0395

Elijah.

Thank you for the email and your deep profound interest in local politics. Receiving email from the youth is some of the best email I can receive. I hope you wake up to some great news!

Best wishes,
CM Ramlawi

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 6:04 PM
To: Smith, Colin <CSSmith@a2gov.org>; Ramlawi, Ali <ARamlawi@a2gov.org>
Subject: My generation needs you to vote NO on Resolution 19-0395

Hi Councilmembers Smith and Ramlawi!

I hope you each had a fantastic weekend.

As a resident of the 5th ward and a student at Forsythe Middle School, I'm proud to see Ann Arbor taking action on the climate crisis, most recently with a \$880,000 per year pledge to address this existential threat. Unfortunately, Councilmember Lumm is pushing a plan to undo this vital funding distribution. Her proposed resolution 19-0395 to reverse the 40/40/20 allocation of \$880,000 to climate justice initiatives goes in absolutely the wrong direction. Please do not abandon this source of funding for climate action (and for affordable housing and pedestrian safety) without stating a clear, well-funded alternative plan. I ask you to vote please NO on resolution 19-0395 tonight.

Thanks so much! I hope you have a great meeting tonight and week to come.

[REDACTED]

--
[REDACTED]

He/Him/His
[REDACTED]

Hatchery Digital Media: [REDACTED]