



General Electric Capital Corporation  
Property Tax Compliance  
PO Box 1920  
Danbury, CT 06813-1920

April 17, 2008

Jacqueline Beaudry  
Office of the City Clerk  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, City Hall  
100 N Fifth Ave.,  
Ann Arbor, MI 48104.

CITY OF ANN ARBOR  
CITY CLERK  
REC'D

2008 APR 30 AM 9:03

Dear Ms. Beaudry:

In response to a State Tax Commission's order dated October 2, 2007, please find enclosed copy of a petition to the Michigan Tax Tribunal appealing this order. A State audit carried out on parcel # 09-90-00-075-980 for Tax Years 2005 – 2007 included assets that were warranties and should not have been assessed in the first place. This resulted in an overpayment of taxes in the City of Arbor and as such petitioner is requesting a refund of the additional taxes paid.

Please be advised that in pursuant of Michigan Tax Tribunal R 205.1208, rule 208 specifies that in property tax appeals, a copy of the petition must be served to the City Clerk.

Feel free to contact the undersigned for any additional information.

Thanking you in advance,

Luljete Iljazi  
Property Tax Analyst  
203-749-6595

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND ECONOMIC GROWTH  
MICHIGAN TAX TRIBUNAL**

GENERAL ELECTRIC CREDIT CORP OF TENNESSEE,  
**PETITIONER**

V

MTT Docket No. 0342083

CITY OF ANN ARBOR,  
**RESPONDENT**

**PETITION**

Petitioner hereby petitions the Michigan Tax Tribunal for a re-determination of the enclosed Notice of Final Assessment and /or Decision and Order of Determination dated **10/02/2007.**

1. Petitioner is a corporation whose legal address is **P.O Box 3649, Danbury, CT 06813 – 3649.**
2. In response to a State Tax Commission's order dated October 2, 2007, we hereby appeal the established value of that order. Contention of such value is indicated on the enclosed form L4155.
3. In 2007, a State audit was carried out on parcel 09-90-00-075-980 – General Electric Credit Corp of Tennessee, for Tax Years 2005 – 2007. This audit include warranties reported as assets and should not have been listed in the first place. (See supporting documents included to substantiate claim)
4. Pursuant to Michigan State Tax laws, warranties are tax exempt
5. Given that not only did we err but the State erred as well, petitioner requests that the Tribunal approve this change in assessed value.

Dated : 4-18-08

By : Puljete Djean'