OF	ANN
	E CONTRACTOR
[] []	
- Maria	CHIGAN
~	

1

2

APPROVED MINUTES OFTHE REGULAR MEETING OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS OF THE CITY OF ANN ARBOR JUNE 27, 2007

3 4 The Regular Session of the Zoning Board of Appeals was held on Wednesday, June 27, 2007 at 6:00 p.m. in City Council Chambers, 100 N. Fifth Avenue, Ann Arbor, 5 6 Michigan. 7 8 The meeting was called to order at 6:04 p.m. by Chairperson Carol Kuhnke. 9 10 ROLL CALL 11 12 Members Present: (8) C. Kuhnke, C. Carver, D. Gregorka, C. Briere, R. Suarez, K. Loomis, R. Eamus and W. Carman 13 (arr. 6:08 p.m.) 14 15 Members Absent: 16 (1) D. Tope 17 Staff Present: M. Kowalski and B. Acquaviva 18 (2) 19 20 A – APPROVAL OF AGENDA 21 22 A-1 Approved as Presented. 23 24 **APPROVAL OF MINUTES B** -25 Approval of Draft Minutes of the May 23, 2007 Regular Session. 26 **B-1** 27 28 Moved by D. Gregorka, Seconded by K. Loomis, "that the minutes of the 29 May 23, 2007 Regular Session be approved as presented." 30 31 On a Voice Vote - MOTION PASSED - UNANIMOUS 32 **C** -33 **APPEALS & ACTION** 34 35 C-1 217 North Division – 2007-Z-011 36 37 H Scott Diels is requesting permission to alter a non-conforming structure as Summary: described in Chapter 55, Zoning, Section 5:87, Structure Nonconformance. 38 39 40 **Description and Discussion:** 41

The subject parcel is located at 217 North Division Street. The parcel is zoned R4C (MultipleFamily Residential District) and is located on the corner of Division and Catherine Streets.
The house was built in 1904 and is 2227 square feet.

45

The petitioner is proposing to reconstruct and slightly enlarge the existing building containing 5 units. The proposed addition will not add any bedrooms or units to the existing house, but

will add one bathroom. The additions will increase the first floor area by 56 square feet and
 the second floor area by 92 square feet. The new additions will not be placed any closer to

49 the second floor area by 92 square feet. The new additions will not be placed any closer to 50 property lines than any part of the existing structure. The new construction will 'fill in' the

51 some of the area in between the existing attached garage and the house and will improve the

52 front facade of the house.

53

54 The existing area between the house and the garage was constructed in several phases and

is inconsistent in form with the rest of the house. The house is non-conforming for lot area

and front, side and rear setbacks. The house is located in the Old Fourth Ward Historic

57 District and received approval from the Historic District Commission for the proposed

58 modification in April 2007.59

60 Standards for Approval

61
62 The Zoning Board of Appeals has all the power granted by State law and by Section 5:98,
63 from the City of Ann Arbor Zoning Ordinance. The following criteria shall apply:

64 65

(a). The alteration complies as nearly as practicable with the requirements of the Zoning Chapter.

66 67

The footprint of the existing house will only be slightly expanded and will not encroach and close to property lines than the existing building; the petitioner is only asking to modify an existing non-conforming structure. The total square footage of the house will only slightly increase by 148 square feet. After enlarging the bedrooms and adding the bathroom the number of total units in the building will not increase. The structure is generally consistent with other surrounding uses.

Staff considers this request to be minimal in context of the surrounding land uses. The
expansion will allow the petitioner to improve their property while respecting the intent
of the Zoning Ordinance.

79 **(b).** The alteration will not have a detrimental effect on neighboring property 80

The subject parcel lies on Division in an area heavily occupied by student rental housing and larger apartment buildings. The existing house is surrounded on all sides by similar multi-family buildings of at least 2 stories. The proposed modifications will only be visible from the street side and not from adjacent properties. The house is located in a historic district and the Historic District Commission has approved the proposed modifications.

87

Staff does not feel that the requested variance would negatively affect any surrounding property. As stated previously, the surrounding uses are similar in density and this addition will be a positive architectural modification to the structure.

92 **Audience Participation** - None.

92 93

94

95 Discussion by the Board to Staff

96

D. Gregorka – Are they also putting in a dormer? (M. Kowalski – Because the site is so small
because of the setbacks, they're allowed 25 feet of build-able area and that addition falls
within there, and is not part of this request. They're allowed this because they're on a corner
lot and the setbacks are so confining).

101

102 M. Kowalski – Stated that there had been no communication from the public on this appeal. 103

104 C. Carver – Will the rear stairs have to be rebuilt? Isn't that a second form of egress?

105 (M. Kowalski – It's not in the plan. The petitioner needs ZBA approval before obtaining a

106 building permit. If the plan doesn't meet code, they won't get a building permit.)

107 **Petitioner Presentation**

108

109 Scott Diels was present to speak on behalf of the appeal. He stated that the rear stair is

- being eliminated. This structure is multi-family, which only requires one means of egress –
 one 36-inch door and windows in bedrooms that meet the requirements for a second means
 of egress out of a sleeping area.
- 112 of 113
- 114 (Other conversation regarding egress. The Board mentioned that this should be noted so 115 that when this issue gets to the Building Department that this is not overlooked.)
- 116

Mr. Diels mentioned that they are 'squaring up' the back of the building to give it a more formal appearance (suggested by the Historic District Commission). We also took that opportunity to bring some of the interior spaces up to code. That bedroom right now is less than 8 feet and there are some other requirements that are presently needed. Unit 4 currently has no bathroom – and has to go out into the hall and down three steps to get to the bathroom. It was fine in 1938, but there are other things we're addressing as well.

- 123124 Discussion by the Board
- 125
- 126 C. Carver Stated that as long as all of the building codes are adhered to, he had no127 objections to this request.
- 129 K. Loomis It clearly meets our requirements and looks like an improvement.
- 130

128

- 131 D. Gregorka There is a minimal increase in footprint, there's not really any more
- encroachment into the setback and no neighborhood objections. This will probably end up abetter structure in the long run.
- 134
- 135 <u>Written Communications</u> None.
- 136
- 137 <u>MOTION</u>
- Moved by K. Loomis, Seconded by W. Carman, "that in the case of Appeal Number 2007-Z-011, 217 North Division, the Zoning Board of Appeals grants permission to alter a non-conforming structure based on the following findings of fact in accordance with the established standards for approval and in accord with the submitted plans that:
- 143 144

145 146 147

148

- a) The alteration complies as nearly as practicable with the requirements of the Zoning Chapter.
 - b) The alteration will not have a detrimental effect on neighboring property."
- 149 On a Voice Vote MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUS (Variance Granted)
- 150 151 152

153

155

- D. <u>UNFINISHED BUSINESS</u> None.
- 154 E. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u> None.
- 156 **F.** <u>REPORTS & COMMUNICATIONS</u> 157
- 158 G. <u>AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION GENERAL</u> None.
- 159

160	ADJOURNMENT		
161			
162	Moved by D. Gregorka, Seconded by C. Carver, "that the meeting be adjourned."		
163			
164	On a Voice Vote – MOTION PASSED - UNANIMOUS		
165			
166	Chairperson Carol Kuhnke adjourned the meeting at 7:27 p.m.		
167			
168	(Submitted by: Brenda Acquaviva, Administrative Support Specialist V –		
169	Zoning Board of Appeals)		
170			
171 172	Katha 110-	8/22/07	
172	Kathryn Loomis, Acting Chairperson		
174	Rating Chaiperson	DATE	
1/-+			

.