

**From:** Anony Mous [mailto:cncrndanymstzn@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:59 PM

**To:** Planning; Cheng, Christopher

**Subject: Concerns about Arbor Dog Day Care**

To Whom It May Concern:

I write this email anonymously for several reasons, but mainly because I express concerns held by a number of people about (Arbor Dog Care's (Arbor Dog Daycare's) current application and past cover-ups during inspections.

The information given by Margaret to Don Ratliff as expressed in the online document was deliberately false and not an accurate depiction of the situation at Arbor Dogcare.

The upstairs room at Arbor Dogcare was intended for employees to sleep in, 100%. The room was empty until they started boarding. Once Margaret was put on notice of an inspection she had the employees rip down the bed upstairs to put a fouton. Not only are dogs caged upstairs while the employees sleep, if they are overbooked dogs will sleep alone downstairs.

The day of the city inspection Margaret was lucky there were only 26 dogs. On the day of the OSHA inspection there were far more and she forced 2 employees to go to the outside area with over half the dogs, which was 20-some dogs. It is common for the Daycare to have 50+ dogs. It's often quite dangerous. A client, X, recently brought X's dog there to find 1 person with 30 some dogs. The client didn't feel comfortable leaving the dog there.

I could go on, but I will only state that Margaret and her partner have never followed the conditions that were initially placed on their business. They've come close to having 60 dogs before in this mere 3,000 some sq/ft. They have blatantly lied to state and city officers. I hope for the clients, employees and city that their special permit is denied: there is no reason to believe they would hesitate to violate new conditions and work their hardest to cover it up.

Sincerely,

Concerened Citizen