

**Bowden (King), Anissa**

---

**From:** Harold Jahnke [hajahnke@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, December 18, 2011 1:16 PM  
**To:** CityClerk  
**Subject:** Hoover Mansion PUD

I am opposed to the request to change the Hoover Mansion PUD.

The petitioner has options available to him that would not impact surrounding property. This is the option identified by the City to increase parking in front of the building or to expand the existing circular driveway. The petitioner was against this since it would negatively impact the view from the street.

The petitioner is requesting that the negative impact of an additional parking lot be pushed onto the neighbors. Just as the petitioner does not want his view to be negatively impacted, the affected neighbors don't want their view negatively impacted either. This action, if approved, probably will lower the property values of the adjacent properties. The petitioner is not offering any value to the affected homeowners, while he will reap the benefits.

The zoning laws are there to protect property rights. Since the petitioner has other viable options, where the petitioner would enjoy both the benefits and negatives that an additional parking lot would entail, I am requesting that the Council not support the petition.

Harold Jahnke  
2121 Tuomy Road