Ann Arbor Housing and Human Services Advisory Board

Ann Arbor Affordable Housing Fund Policy Discussion

Background (as presented at April 11, 2024 meeting)

- The Ann Arbor Affordable Housing Fund has been in place since 1989; the funds come from housing developers, when PUD approval or other zoning ordinances trigger a payment to the fund (in lieu of, or in addition to, building affordable units as part of their project.)
- The Ann Arbor Affordable Housing Millage (Prop C), was approved by voters in Nov 2020; this is a 20-year levy that runs from 2021 through 2041.
- In 2022, HHSAB began to review the policy for the Ann Arbor Affordable Housing Fund (AAHF) last revised in 2014 in light of the new Affordable Housing millage and the need to develop policy for administering the millage funds.
- OCED and Ann Arbor Housing Commission were exploring with the HHSAB how a joint policy might be developed that would cover both the Affordable Housing Fund and the Millage fund.
- Since those discussions in 2022, a key change occurred: In June 2023, Ann Arbor City Council approved a grant agreement with the Ann Arbor Housing Commission (AAHC) for the AAHC to be the administrator for the Millage fund.
- Because these 2 funds are now administered by 2 different entities (the City and the Housing Commission), staff is no longer proposing a combined policy that covers both Funds.
- However, it may still be beneficial to design a streamlined RFP process for issuing AAHF and Millage funds (for non-AAHC projects) together. This will need more discussion.
- The first step is to make revisions to the Ann Arbor Affordable Housing Fund policy.
- Next will be discussion of a new RFP policy that would serve this Fund but may also apply to the Millage funds (TBD).
- Recommended policy change or new policies will need to go to City Council for final approval before they take effect.

Process and Key Changes Proposed

- Review updated track changes showing proposed revisions to the Policy reflective of HHSAB discussion at April 11, 2024 meeting and subsequent input from Tara Cohen of OCED and Jennifer Hall of AAHC.
- Today (or June meeting): HHSAB to vote on proposed policy revisions for Council approval. OCED staff to submit for Council meeting agenda.
- Staff to bring set of draft AAHC application forms for consideration/input in next few months. (Updated/new forms should not require Council approval.)
- Key proposed revisions for HHSAB discussion include the following:
 - Income restriction for affordable units: Change from "less than 60% AMI" to "at or below 60% AMI"

- Remove "administration of affordable housing programs" in list of eligible uses of funds
- Remove "rental assistance" from list of eligible uses
- Restrict pre-development funds to non-profit developers only
 - To be given as grants (not loans), and limited to \$100k per project
- Application Review Process: Increase project budget amount that would require City Council approval to \$75,000 and above (previously \$25,000 or above) to align with City of Ann Arbor's recent changes to their procurement policies.
 - Projects under \$75,000 would only require administrative approval by OCED but would be reported out to both HHSAB and City Council.
- Remove "individuals" from list of entity types eligible to apply for and receive AAHF funding.
- Restrict funding for projects within the City limits (current policy says projects in City limits are priority but will allow funds to be used on projects outside the City limits.)
- Terms and Conditions: Change affordability term to be based on type of project:
 - New Construction 30 years
 - Rehab 5/10/15 years (based on per unit subsidy)
 - Pre-Development 30 years for resulting project (if new construction); 5/10/15 years (if rehab or acquisition/rehab)
 - Added verbiage that projects which commit to more than the minimum affordability term will receive more favorable consideration (see Distribution and Uses of Funds)
- Eligibility Requirements section has been fleshed out more and restructured into 3 distinct processes:
 - 1) Administrative Approval for Projects Under \$75,000
 - 2) Open Rolling Application for Projects \$75,000 to \$250,000
 - Review applications by batch on quarterly cycle
 - Applicants invited to present at HHSAB prior to HHSAB award recommendation
 - No formal scoring process/rubric
 - Competitive Sealed Bid Process for Projects and/or Funding Over \$250,000
 - RFP will be issued within 6 months of funding availability
 - Applicants invited to present at HHSAB prior to HHSAB award recommendation
 - Will be scored with formal process/rubric
 - Note: RFP will need to be administered through the City's purchasing department, so cannot combine with County HUD but process can be aligned when/if feasible.
- Deleted entire section on "Application Requirements" application form will need to be updated to include appropriate content; intention to have 3 different application forms: 1) Acquisition and Rehab; 2) New Construction; 3) Predevelopment only. In coming months, staff will share draft forms for HHSAB input.
- OCED role as staff to HHSAB and administration of the Affordable Housing Fund should also include "seeking third party underwriting review"

Questions/Issues for Consideration

- The recommended process for Open Rolling Application will delay review and award decisions for those applicants. Current policy states a "30 day review" process, whereas this process will mean waiting up to 4 months for a recommendation and possibly longer for approval from Council.
- Should we add in an exception for "emergency" funding requests \$75k and up? Would OCED be authorized to make a determination whether the request should be treated as an emergency?
- For minimum required affordability terms: The County requires 30 years for all HOME funded projects, whereas HUD requires only 20 years for new construction and the tiered terms (5/10/15) for rehab projects based on the per unit subsidy.
 - Are members comfortable with being as stringent (or more stringent, for new construction) as HUD with these funds?
 - Do you want to require longer terms for for-profit developers vs nonprofit?
 - Do you want to differentiate based on rental vs homeownership vs coop projects?

ANN ARBOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING FUND

PREFACE

The Ann Arbor Affordable Housing Fund was first proposed in the City of Ann Arbor Housing Policy adopted by City Council in July, 1989. The Affordable Housing Fund guidelines were developed by the Community Development Department and approved by the Housing Policy Board in February, 1991 and by the Ann Arbor City Council in April, 1991. Since its inception, the Housing and Human Services Advisory Board (HHSAB) has recommended and City Council has approved a range of housing projects with the vast majority targeted for residents with the lowest incomes.

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

The Ann Arbor Affordable Housing Fund is established for the creation and preservation of long term affordable / housing for households with incomes at or below 60% of the City's Area Median Income (AMI) as defined by HUD or successor government department or agency that determines area median income. Further, the Fund is established to improve housing conditions for City of Ann Arbor residents, with priority given to those whose income is at or below 30% of the median household income.

It is the goal of the City to provide a full range of housing opportunities to all its citizens. It is the intent of the City to administer this fund in a flexible manner in order to best meet the housing needs of the City.

The Ann Arbor Affordable Housing Fund is established to provide inancial support in the form of loans, grants or in other forms of financial assistance to organizations or projects that create or preserve long term affordable housing.

ESTABLISHMENT OF FUND

The Mayor and City Council established by resolution a special revenue account under the name "Ann Arbor-Affordable Housing Fund" with revenues specifically dedicated to the Affordable Housing Fund by City Council, through donations, grants, and general fund allocations from public and private sources. It is the intention of the City Council that the Affordable Housing Fund be a dedicated fund in perpetuity for the purposes established in this document. Principal and interest from loan repayments, proceeds from grant repayments, forfeitures, reimbursements, investments, and all other income generated from Fund activities will be deposited into the Fund. Funds repaid to the City from any of the above sources shall be administered subject to applicable federal, state and city requirements. All funds in the account earn interest at least at the same rate as the City's pooled investments managed by the City Treasurer. All interest earnings from the account are reinvested and dedicated to the account. All appropriated funds in the Affordable Housing Fund are available for eligible program expenditures.

DISTRIBUTION AND USES OF FUNDS

Funds in the Affordable Housing Fund are used to meet the housing needs of individuals and families with income, in that do not exceed 60% of Area Median Income, as defined by the United Stated Department of Housing & Urban // Development (HUD), or a successor government department or agency that determines median income. Eligible / uses include acquisition, demolition, new construction, renovation, and related infrastructure to develop affordable

Formatted: Bottom: 2.63", Number of columns: 1, Force equal column width

Deleted: There have been very few projects approved which are targeted toward residents whose incomes fall within the range of 50% to 80% of median income because of the intent of the HHSAB to serve those with the greatest needs.

Commented [JH2]: Just updating the language to what is commonly used today

Deleted: promotion, retention and

Deleted: less than

Deleted: median income.

Deleted: and distribute

Deleted: individuals

Deleted: , retain, rehabilitate

Deleted:

Formatted: Justified, Space Before: 12.05 pt, Line spacing: Exactly 11.35 pt

Deleted: any

Deleted: , and any federal, state or other funds so designated. Deleted: set out above. Deleted: are Deleted: f Deleted: Deleted: s Deleted: less than Deleted: es Deleted: the City's

Deleted: m

Deleted: i

Deleted: Projects to be funded may include predevelopment feasibility analysis, rental assistance, technical assistance to nonprofit housing providers, administration of affordable housing programs, new construction, rehabilitation, conversion of housing for use by lower income residents, or acquisition of land or property for use as low income housing. housing. In addition, nonprofits may request funding for pre-development feasibility activities and technical assistance.

It is the City's intent that the terms of its loans and grants be established so that <u>projects that set-aside units</u> for households at 30% AMI or less receive higher per unit funding and lower interest rates for loans than projects that do not set-aside units for households at 30% AMI or less.

Minimum affordability period will vary by and within project type (see Terms and Conditions). Projects which commit to longer than the minimum affordability periods will receive more favorable consideration.

ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVAL FOR PROJECTS UNDER \$75,000;

Project applications are available from the Washtenaw County Office of Community & Economic Development (OCED) and may be submitted at any time during the program year. OCED will review and approve these proposals as an administrative function and will follow the City's procurement guidelines. All administrative approvals will be reported to the HHSAB and City Council. All projects under \$75,000 will be grants.

OPEN ROLLING APPLICATION FOR PROJECTS, \$75.000 to \$250,000:

OCED will accept applications on a rolling basis from eligible entities, interested in financial support from the Ann Arbor Affordable Housing Fund. Applications for projects \$75,000 or greater will be reviewed each quarter by the HHSAB. Applicants will be invited to present their projects at a designated HHSAB meeting, and members will openly deliberate and make funding recommendations to City Council for final approval.

COMPETIVE SEALED BID PROCESS FOR PROJECTS AND/OR FUNDING OVER \$250,000

When the Fund balance exceeds \$250,000, OCED will issue a Request for Proposals for Ann Arbor Affordable Housing funds within, 6 months of funding availability. When feasible, AAHF RFPs will be timed to align with other affordable housing RFPs such as County HOME funds or MSHDA Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC), Applicants will be invited to present their project at a designated HHSAB meeting and members will openly deliberate and make funding recommendations to City Council for final approval. The HHSAB and OCED may utilize consultants to conduct an underwriting and subsidy layering analysis to ensure that projects are not oversubsidized.

Funding may be awarded to non-profit corporations, municipal corporations, for-profit corporations, companies, partnerships, or limited equity cooperatives,

Pre-development grants are available to non-profit affordable housing developers only and cannot exceed \$100,000 per project.

All projects must be decent, safe and sanitary and meet the Housing Quality Standards or <u>similar standards</u> as established by the Department of Housing and Urban Development, and the local Code, Affordable Housing Fund dollars can only be used for projects within the City limits,

Financial assistance shall not be awarded by the Affordable Housing Fund to any corporation, partnership_+ <u>company</u> or <u>cooperative</u> in default according to Section 14.3(e) of the City Charter at the time of final consideration in payment of property taxes, or whose subject property has violations of the City Housing or

Deleted: Deleted: the deepest City subsidies go to housing targeted to the lowest income households. Deleted: Projects must commit to a minimum Deleted: of 30 years and Deleted: D Formatted . [1] Formatted: Not Highlight Deleted: ...ffordability periods will receive more favorable consideration. \P [2] Formatted: Not Highlight Deleted: All distributions of principal, interest and [3] Deleted: 25,000...5,000: ¶ [5] Formatted ... [4] Formatted [6] Deleted: ...pprove these proposals as an ... [7] Deleted: ...<u>\$25,000</u> . [8] Deleted: OR GREATER Deleted: make ...ccept an ...pplications on a rollin ... [9] Deleted: each respective application Formatted .. [10] Deleted: ¶ [11] Commented [TC10]: Should RFP only be issued of .. [13] **Commented [TC11R10]:** Proposing we use \$250k [14] Deleted: the following Deleted: and other housing funds as they becor [12] Deleted: Deleted: Applications should normally follow that [15] Deleted: OCED and the Housing and Human S [16] Deleted: made ... warded to non-profit corporatio [17] Deleted: funds Deleted: The...City has a priority on usingflo [18] Formatted [19] Deleted: individual Deleted:

Building codes or is in violation of the zoning ordinance relating to the subject project. Consideration shall be given to projects which propose correction of the above-mentioned violations.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Affordable Housing Funds can be awarded in any form determined advisable by the HHSAB, subject to applicable here is a state and federal laws and regulations, including but not limited to: low interest loans with terms determined by the "gap financing" needed to make the project feasible; a deferred loan which requires repayment under conditions defined for the project; or other types of financial assistance determined to be appropriate and necessary for the project. In general, the City has flexibility in how to award funds, either as a grant or as a loan.

When funds from the Affordable Housing Fund are awarded for acquisition, construction, or rehabilitation, the owner must sign an enforceable affordability agreement and/or deed restriction relating to the long_term affordability of the unit_Annual income and rent reporting is also required.

The required affordability period will vary based on type of project:

Pre-Development ONLY projects: 30 years for resulting housing project. (Affordability term only applies i project is built.)

New Construction projects: 30, years

| For Rehab projects: | | 1 |
|--|--------------------|----|
| Per Unit Subsidy | Affordability Term | Į. |
| <u>< \$15,000 per unit</u> | <u>5 years</u> | 1 |
| \$15.000 to \$40.000 per unit | <u>10 years</u> | 1 |
| > \$40,000 per unit and/or refinancing of rental project | <u>15 years</u> | |

Repayments to the City are deposited into the Affordable Housing Fund and reused for other eligible projects.

ADMINISTRATION OF THE FUND

The HHSAB, as appointed by the Mayor and City Council, administers the Affordable Housing Fund as specified herein. The HHSAB reviews applications and makes recommendations to City Council on the allocation of the Affordable Housing Fund for requests of \$75,000 or greater. The number of members, tenure of office, duties, manner of calling meetings and other tasks of the HHSAB are stated in the Bylaws approved by the HHSAB.

The City Council makes final decisions on the allocation of Affordable Housing Funds of \$75,000 or greater. City Council shall take action on recommendations of the HHSAB. Applications will be processed on a quarterly basis with funding recommendations typically going to City Council within a month of the quarter close, City Council will, in most cases, take action on recommendations of the HHSAB at their next scheduled meeting. The Office of Community & Economic Development provides staff assistance to the HHSAB. This includes: maintaining the financial and other records of the Fund; seeking third-party underwriting review; assisting prospective applicants in the preparation of their applications/proposals; monitoring the use of the monies distributed to applicants to assure ongoing compliance with the purpose of the Fund and the conditions under which the monies were granted

Deleted: Priority is to be given to projects which address the following: \P

the perpetual affordability of units;

Deleted: ¶

□ projects which serve predominantly individuals and families with incomes less than 30% of the City's Area Mmedian lincome as defined by HUD or successor government department or agency that determines area median income. (based on Ann Arbor Metropolitan Statistical Area).

Deleted: ¶

 Deleted:
 APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS¶

 Applications must be submitted for each project and include the following:¶
 ... [20]

 Deleted:
 ¶

Formatted: Space Before: 11.4 pt, After: 1.8 pt
Deleted:

Commented [TC24]: Policy doesn't delineate diffe ... [21]

Deleted: ,

Deleted: for a period of at least twenty years.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Commented [TC25]: Question for the Group: 20 of ... [22]

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Commented [TC26]: These terms align with HUD

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Font color:

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: Affordable Housing Fund

Deleted: 25,000

Deleted: 25,000

Deleted: A typical review of an application will ta ... [25]

... [24]

Commented [TC27]: Note that this quarterly reviev ... [26]

Deleted: for Affordable Housing Fund support

or loaned; reporting periodically, but not less than every twelve (12) months to the City Council regarding the operation and activity of the Fund.

For a copy of the application form, contact: The <u>Washtenaw County</u> Office of Community & Economic Development, <u>Housing & Infrastructure Division by calling</u> (734) 544-3039 or emailing; cohent@washtenaw.org, Last revised: October, 1997 Last revised: November 9, 2006 Last revised: October 20, 2014 Last revised:

Deleted: ¶

P.O. Box 8645¶ Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107¶ Applications are also available through the OCED¶ website at www.ewashtenaw.org or call

Deleted: ¶ 6748.

Formatted: Line spacing: Exactly 11.3 pt

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt

Deleted: ¶ ¶ ¶

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Not Expanded by / Condensed by

Formatted: Left, Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: Exactly 9.4 pt

| Page 2: [1] Formatted | Tara Cohen | 5/1/2024 3:41:00 PM |
|---|--------------------------|--|
| Not Highlight | | |
| Page 2: [1] Formatted | Tara Cohen | 5/1/2024 3:41:00 PM |
| Not Highlight | | |
| Page 2: [2] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 5/1/2024 3:32:00 PM |
| | | |
| | | |
| Page 2: [2] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 5/1/2024 3:32:00 PM |
| • | | |
| | | |
| Page 2: [3] Deleted | Jennifer Hall | 4/30/2024 9:00:00 PM |
| <i>I</i> | | |
| L | | |
| Page 2: [3] Deleted | Jennifer Hall | 4/30/2024 9:00:00 PM |
| r | | |
| | | |
| Page 2: [4] Formatted | Tara Cohen | 4/30/2024 10:07:00 AM |
| Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing | | |
| Page 2: [5] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 4/3/2024 4:35:00 PM |
| | | |
| Page 2: [5] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 4/3/2024 4:35:00 PM |
| | | |
| Page 2: [6] Formatted | Tara Cohen | 4/30/2024 10:07:00 AM |
| Left, Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: sin | - | |
| Page 2: [7] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 4/30/2024 10:06:00 AM |
| | T C. I | |
| Page 2: [7] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 4/30/2024 10:06:00 AM |
| Page 2: [8] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 4/3/2024 4:36:00 PM |
| / | | |
| | | |
| Page 2: [8] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 4/3/2024 4:36:00 PM |
| · | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Page 2: [9] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 4/30/2024 10:15:00 AM |
| Page 2: [9] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 4/30/2024 10:15:00 AM |
| Page 2: [9] Deleted Page 2: [9] Deleted | Tara Cohen Tara Cohen | 4/30/2024 10:15:00 AM 4/30/2024 10:15:00 AM |

I

L

L

l

| Page 2: [9] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 4/30/2024 10:15:00 AM |
|---|--|--|
| | | • |
| Page 2: [9] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 4/30/2024 10:15:00 AM |
| | | |
| Page 2: [9] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 4/30/2024 10:15:00 AM |
| | | |
| Page 2: [10] Formatted | Jennifer Hall | 4/30/2024 9:21:00 PM |
| Font: Bold | | |
| Page 2: [10] Formatted | Jennifer Hall | 4/30/2024 9:21:00 PM |
| Font: Bold | | |
| Page 2: [10] Formatted | Jennifer Hall | 4/30/2024 9:21:00 PM |
| Font: Bold | | |
| Page 2: [11] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 5/1/2024 3:13:00 PM |
| Page 2: [11] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 5/1/2024 3:13:00 PM |
| Page 2: [12] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 4/30/2024 10:14:00 AM |
| | | |
| | | |
| C (10) C | To a Calean | 4 /2 /2024 A.40.00 DM |
| Page 2: [13] Commented [TC10] Should RFP only be issued once a certain n administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k | | 4/3/2024 4:48:00 PM e fund? Need to factor in |
| Should RFP only be issued once a certain n | ninimum balance is available in the | |
| Should RFP only be issued once a certain n administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k | ninimum balance is available in the minimum? \$1 million? Tara Cohen | e fund? Need to factor in |
| Should RFP only be issued once a certain n administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k Page 2: [14] Commented [TC11R10] | ninimum balance is available in the minimum? \$1 million? Tara Cohen | e fund? Need to factor in |
| Should RFP only be issued once a certain n administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k Page 2: [14] Commented [TC11R10] Proposing we use \$250k balance as trigger | ninimum balance is available in the minimum? \$1 million? Tara Cohen for a competitive RFP process | e fund? Need to factor in 4/30/2024 10:13:00 AM |
| Should RFP only be issued once a certain n administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k Page 2: [14] Commented [TC11R10] Proposing we use \$250k balance as trigger Page 2: [15] Deleted | ninimum balance is available in the minimum? \$1 million? Tara Cohen for a competitive RFP process | e fund? Need to factor in 4/30/2024 10:13:00 AM |
| Should RFP only be issued once a certain n administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k Page 2: [14] Commented [TC11R10] Proposing we use \$250k balance as trigger | ninimum balance is available in the minimum? \$1 million? Tara Cohen for a competitive RFP process Tara Cohen | e fund? Need to factor in 4/30/2024 10:13:00 AM 4/30/2024 10:26:00 AM |
| Should RFP only be issued once a certain n administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k Page 2: [14] Commented [TC11R10] Proposing we use \$250k balance as trigger Page 2: [15] Deleted | ninimum balance is available in the minimum? \$1 million? Tara Cohen for a competitive RFP process Tara Cohen | e fund? Need to factor in 4/30/2024 10:13:00 AM 4/30/2024 10:26:00 AM |
| Should RFP only be issued once a certain n administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k Page 2: [14] Commented [TC11R10] Proposing we use \$250k balance as trigger Page 2: [15] Deleted | ninimum balance is available in the minimum? \$1 million? Tara Cohen for a competitive RFP process Tara Cohen | e fund? Need to factor in 4/30/2024 10:13:00 AM 4/30/2024 10:26:00 AM |
| Should RFP only be issued once a certain n administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k Page 2: [14] Commented [TC11R10] Proposing we use \$250k balance as trigger Page 2: [15] Deleted Page 2: [16] Deleted | ninimum balance is available in the minimum? \$1 million? Tara Cohen for a competitive RFP process Tara Cohen Jennifer Hall | e fund? Need to factor in 4/30/2024 10:13:00 AM 4/30/2024 10:26:00 AM 4/30/2024 9:32:00 PM |
| Should RFP only be issued once a certain n administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k Page 2: [14] Commented [TC11R10] Proposing we use \$250k balance as trigger Page 2: [15] Deleted Page 2: [16] Deleted | ninimum balance is available in the minimum? \$1 million? Tara Cohen for a competitive RFP process Tara Cohen Jennifer Hall | e fund? Need to factor in 4/30/2024 10:13:00 AM 4/30/2024 10:26:00 AM 4/30/2024 9:32:00 PM |
| Should RFP only be issued once a certain n administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k Page 2: [14] Commented [TC11R10] Proposing we use \$250k balance as trigger Page 2: [15] Deleted Page 2: [16] Deleted | ninimum balance is available in the minimum? \$1 million? Tara Cohen for a competitive RFP process Tara Cohen Jennifer Hall | e fund? Need to factor in 4/30/2024 10:13:00 AM 4/30/2024 10:26:00 AM 4/30/2024 9:32:00 PM |
| Should RFP only be issued once a certain n administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k Page 2: [14] Commented [TC11R10] Proposing we use \$250k balance as trigger Page 2: [15] Deleted Page 2: [16] Deleted Page 2: [17] Deleted | ninimum balance is available in the minimum? \$1 million? Tara Cohen for a competitive RFP process Tara Cohen Jennifer Hall Tara Cohen | e fund? Need to factor in 4/30/2024 10:13:00 AM 4/30/2024 10:26:00 AM 4/30/2024 9:32:00 PM 5/1/2024 3:14:00 PM |
| Should RFP only be issued once a certain n administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k Page 2: [14] Commented [TC11R10] Proposing we use \$250k balance as trigger Page 2: [15] Deleted Page 2: [16] Deleted Page 2: [17] Deleted Page 2: [17] Deleted | ninimum balance is available in the minimum? \$1 million? Tara Cohen for a competitive RFP process Tara Cohen Jennifer Hall Tara Cohen Tara Cohen | e fund? Need to factor in 4/30/2024 10:13:00 AM 4/30/2024 10:26:00 AM 4/30/2024 9:32:00 PM 5/1/2024 3:14:00 PM |
| Should RFP only be issued once a certain n administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k Page 2: [14] Commented [TC11R10] Proposing we use \$250k balance as trigger Page 2: [15] Deleted Page 2: [16] Deleted Page 2: [17] Deleted | ninimum balance is available in the minimum? \$1 million? Tara Cohen for a competitive RFP process Tara Cohen Jennifer Hall Tara Cohen | e fund? Need to factor in 4/30/2024 10:13:00 AM 4/30/2024 10:26:00 AM 4/30/2024 9:32:00 PM 5/1/2024 3:14:00 PM |
| Should RFP only be issued once a certain n administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k Page 2: [14] Commented [TC11R10] Proposing we use \$250k balance as trigger Page 2: [15] Deleted Page 2: [16] Deleted Page 2: [17] Deleted Page 2: [17] Deleted | ninimum balance is available in the minimum? \$1 million? Tara Cohen for a competitive RFP process Tara Cohen Jennifer Hall Tara Cohen Tara Cohen | e fund? Need to factor in 4/30/2024 10:13:00 AM 4/30/2024 10:26:00 AM 4/30/2024 9:32:00 PM 5/1/2024 3:14:00 PM |
| Should RFP only be issued once a certain n administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k Page 2: [14] Commented [TC11R10] Proposing we use \$250k balance as trigger Page 2: [15] Deleted Page 2: [16] Deleted Page 2: [17] Deleted Page 2: [17] Deleted | ninimum balance is available in the minimum? \$1 million? Tara Cohen for a competitive RFP process Tara Cohen Jennifer Hall Tara Cohen Tara Cohen | e fund? Need to factor in 4/30/2024 10:13:00 AM 4/30/2024 10:26:00 AM 4/30/2024 9:32:00 PM 5/1/2024 3:14:00 PM |

I

L

I

1

L

I.

| Page 2: [18] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 4/3/2024 4:50:00 PM |
|---------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |

| Page 2: [18] Deleted Tara Cohen 4/3/2024 4:50:00 PM | |
|---|--|
|---|--|

 Page 2: [18] Deleted
 Tara Cohen
 4/3/2024 4:50:00 PM

| Page 2: [19] Formatted | Tara Cohen | 5/1/2024 3:16:00 PM |
|-------------------------------|------------|----------------------|
| Space Before: 11.4 pt | | |
| Page 3: [20] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 5/1/2024 2:56:00 PM |
| Page 3: [21] Commented [TC24] | Tara Cohen | 4/29/2024 3:51:00 PM |

Policy doesn't delineate different terms for ownership vs rental vs coop projects. Would this be something you all want to add? Specific suggestions?

| Page 3: [22] Commented [TC25] Tara Cohen 5/1/2024 3:38:00 PM | Page 3: [22] Commented [TC25] | Tara Cohen | 5/1/2024 3:38:00 PM |
|--|-------------------------------|------------|---------------------|
|--|-------------------------------|------------|---------------------|

Question for the Group: 20 or 30 yr affordability for new construction? 20 yrs aligns w/ HOME regulations, but County requires 30 yr mimimum for all new construction using HOME funds.

Another question: Would you want to see a longer affordability required for for-profit devekioers? For example 30 yrs for for-profit but 20 yrs for non-profits?

| Page 3: [23] Commented [TC26] | Tara Cohen | 4/30/2024 10:57:00 AM |
|---|------------|-----------------------|
| Those terms align with UUD's HOME regulations | | |

These terms align with HUD's HOME regulations.

I

I

I

I

For another point of reference, Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis has a 15 year affordability period for their loans.

Note: The County requires a minimum of 30 yrs affordability on all HOME funded projects regardless of per unit subsidy.

| Page 3: [24] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 5/1/2024 2:57:00 PM |
|----------------------|------------|----------------------|
| Page 3: [25] Deleted | Tara Cohen | 4/30/2024 1:11:00 PM |
| y | | 4- |

| Page 3: [26] Commented [TC27] | Tara Cohen | 4/30/2024 1:16:00 PM |
|-------------------------------|------------|----------------------|
| | | |

Note that this quarterly review cycle will result in substantially longer wait period for developers/applicants than previous "30 day review" process.

This would not be the case for applications under \$75k, but do we need to write an exception for emergency projects that are \$75k or above?

ANN ARBOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING FUND

PREFACE

The Ann Arbor Affordable Housing Fund was first proposed in the City of Ann Arbor Housing Policy adopted by City Council in July, 1989. The Affordable Housing Fund guidelines were developed by the Community Development Department and approved by the Housing Policy Board in February, 1991 and by the Ann Arbor City Council in April, 1991. Since its inception, the Housing and Human Services Advisory Board (HHSAB) has recommended and City Council has approved a range of housing projects with the vast majority targeted for residents with the lowest incomes.

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

The Ann Arbor Affordable Housing Fund is established for the creation and preservation of long term affordable housing for households with incomes at or below 60% of the City's Area Median Income (AMI) as defined by HUD or successor government department or agency that determines area median income. Further, the Fund is established to improve housing conditions for City of Ann Arbor residents, with priority given to those whose income is at or below 30% of the median household income.

It is the goal of the City to provide a full range of housing opportunities to all its citizens. It is the intent of the City to administer this fund in a flexible manner in order to best meet the housing needs of the City.

The Ann Arbor Affordable Housing Fund is established to provide tinancial support in the form of loans, grants or other forms of financial assistance to organizations or projects that create or preserve long term affordable housing.

ESTABLISHMENT OF FUND

The Mayor and City Council established by resolution a special revenue account under the name "Ann Arbor Affordable Housing Fund" with revenues specifically dedicated to the Affordable Housing Fund by City Council, through donations, grants, and general fund allocations from public and private sources. It is the intention of the City Council that the Affordable Housing Fund be a dedicated fund in perpetuity for the purposes established in this document. Principal and interest from Ioan repayments, proceeds from grant repayments, forfeitures, reimbursements, investments, and all other income generated from Fund activities will be deposited into the Fund. Funds repaid to the City from any of the above sources shall be administered subject to applicable federal, state and city requirements. All funds in the account earn interest at least at the same rate as the City's pooled investments managed by the City Treasurer. All interest earnings from the account are reinvested and dedicated to the account. All appropriated funds in the Affordable Housing Fund are available for eligible program expenditures.

DISTRIBUTION AND USES OF FUNDS

Funds in the Affordable Housing Fund are used to meet the housing needs of individuals and families with income that do not exceed 60% of Area Median Income, as defined by the United Stated Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD), or a successor government department or agency that determines median income. Eligible uses include acquisition, demolition, new construction, renovation, and related infrastructure to develop affordable housing. In addition, nonprofits may request funding for pre-development feasibility activities and technical assistance.

It is the City's intent that the terms of its loans and grants be established so that projects that set-aside units for households at 30% AMI or less receive higher per unit funding and lower interest rates for loans than projects that do not set-aside units for households at 30% AMI or less.

Commented [JH1]: This seems out of place and the 50% to 80% AMI does not align with the 60% AMI and 30% AMI targets below

Commented [JH2]: Just updating the language to what is commonly used today

Commented [JH3]: redundant

Commented [JH4]: redundant

Commented [JH5]: The city gets donations for other funds like parks etc. so removed "any"

Minimum affordability period will vary by and within project type (see Terms and Conditions). Projects which commit to longer than the minimum affordability periods will receive more favorable consideration.

ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVAL FOR PROJECTS UNDER \$75,000:

Project applications are available from the Washtenaw County Office of Community & Economic Development (OCED) and may be submitted at any time during the program year. OCED will review and approve these proposals as an administrative function and will follow the City's procurement guidelines. All administrative approvals will be reported to the HHSAB and City Council. All projects under \$75,000 will be grants.

OPEN ROLLING APPLICATION FOR PROJECTS \$75,000 to \$250,000:

OCED will accept applications on a rolling basis from eligible entities interested in financial support from the Ann Arbor Affordable Housing Fund. Applications for projects \$75,000 or greater will be reviewed each quarter by the HHSAB. Applicants will be invited to present their projects at a designated HHSAB meeting, and members will openly deliberate and make funding recommendations to City Council for final approval.

COMPETIVE SEALED BID PROCESS FOR PROJECTS AND/OR FUNDING OVER \$250,000

When the Fund balance exceeds \$250,000, OCED will issue a Request for Proposals within 6 months of funding availability. When feasible, AAHF RFPs will be timed to align with other affordable housing RFPs such as County HOME funds or MSHDA Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC). Applicants will be invited to present their project at a designated HHSAB meeting and members will openly deliberate and make funding recommendations to City Council for final approval. The HHSAB and OCED may utilize consultants to conduct an underwriting and subsidy layering analysis to ensure that projects are not over-subsidized.

Funding may be awarded to non-profit corporations, municipal corporations, for-profit corporations, companies, partnerships, or limited equity cooperatives.

Pre-development grants are available to non-profit affordable housing developers only and cannot exceed \$100,000 per project.

All projects must be decent, safe and sanitary and meet the Housing Quality Standards or similar standards as established by the Department of Housing and Urban Development, and the local Code. Affordable Housing Fund dollars can only be used for projects within the City limits.

Financial assistance shall not be awarded by the Affordable Housing Fund to any corporation, partnership, company or cooperative in default according to Section 14.3(e) of the City Charter at the time of final consideration in payment of property taxes, or whose subject property has violations of the City Housing or Building codes or is in violation of the zoning ordinance relating to the subject project. Consideration shall be given to projects which propose correction of the above-mentioned violations.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Affordable Housing Funds can be awarded in any form determined advisable by the HHSAB, subject to applicable local, state and federal laws and regulations, including but not limited to: low interest loans with terms determined by the "gap financing" needed to make the project feasible; a deferred loan which requires repayment under conditions defined for the project; or other types of financial assistance determined to be appropriate and necessary for the project. In general, the City has flexibility in how to award funds, either as a grant or as a loan.

When funds from the Affordable Housing Fund are awarded for acquisition, construction, or rehabilitation, the owner must sign an enforceable affordability agreement and/or deed restriction relating to the long term affordability of the unit. Annual income and rent reporting is also required.

Commented [TC6]: Should RFP only be issued once a certain minimum balance is available in the fund? Need to factor in administrative cost of issuing RFPs. \$500k minimum? \$1 million?

Commented [TC7R6]: Proposing we use \$250k balance as trigger for a competitive RFP process

Commented [JH8]: Moved above

Commented [JH9]: duplicative

Commented [TC10]: Policy doesn't delineate different terms for ownership vs rental vs coop projects. Would this be something you all want to add? Specific suggestions?

The required affordability period will vary based on type of project:

Pre-Development ONLY projects:

- New Construction: 30 years for resulting development.
- Acquisition and/or Rehab: See table below.

Note: Affordability term is only applied to pre-development grants if the project is completed.

New Construction projects: 30 years

| For Rehab projects: | π | - |
|--|--------------------|----|
| Per Unit Subsidy | Affordability Term | |
| < \$15,000 per unit | 5 years | N, |
| \$15,000 to \$40,000 per unit | 10 years | |
| > \$40,000 per unit and/or refinancing of rental project | 15 years | |

Repayments to the City are deposited into the Affordable Housing Fund and reused for other eligible projects.

ADMINISTRATION OF THE FUND

The HHSAB, as appointed by the Mayor and City Council, administers the Affordable Housing Fund as specified herein. The HHSAB reviews applications and makes recommendations to City Council on the allocation of the Affordable Housing Fund for requests of \$75,000 or greater. The number of members, tenure of office, duties, manner of calling meetings and other tasks of the HHSAB are stated in the Bylaws approved by the HHSAB.

The City Council makes final decisions on the allocation of Affordable Housing Funds of \$75,000 or greater. City Council shall take action on recommendations of the HHSAB. Applications will be processed on a quarterly basis with funding recommendations typically going to City Council within a month of the quarter close. City Council will, in most cases, take action on recommendations of the HHSAB at their next scheduled meeting. The Office of Community & Economic Development provides staff assistance to the HHSAB. This includes: maintaining the financial and other records of the Fund; seeking third-party underwriting review; assisting prospective applicants in the preparation of their applications/proposals; monitoring the use of the monies distributed to applicants to assure ongoing compliance with the purpose of the Fund and the conditions under which the monies were granted or loaned; reporting periodically, but not less than every twelve (12) months to the City Council regarding the operation and activity of the Fund.

For a copy of the application form, contact:

The Washtenaw County Office of Community & Economic Development, Housing & Infrastructure Division by calling (734) 544-3039 or emailing: cohent@washtenaw.org Last revised: October, 1997 Last revised: November 9, 2006 Last revised: October 20, 2014 Last revised: **Commented [TC11]:** Question for the Group: 20 or 30 yr alfordability for new construction? 20 yrs aligns w/ HOME regulations, but County requires 30 yr mimimum for all new construction using HOME funds.

Another question: Would you want to see a longer affordability required for for-profit devekioers? For example 30 yrs for for-profit but 20 yrs for non-profits?

Commented [TC12]: These terms align with HUD's HOME regulations.

For another point of reference, Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis has a 15 year affordability period for their loans.

Note: The County requires a minimum of 30 yrs affordability on all HOME funded projects regardless of per unit subsidy.

Commented [TC13]: Note that this quarterly review cycle will result in substantially longer wait period for developers/applicants than previous "30 day review" process.

This would not be the case for applications under \$75k, but do we need to write an exception for emergency projects that are \$75k or above?

| Statement of Revenues, Expenditures & Fund Balance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| FUND 070 - GF-AHF | FY07 | FY08 | FY09 | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 | FY23 | FY24 Total |
| Beginning balance | 663,530 | 634,694 | 670,838 | 744,722 | 653,531 | 357,316 | 342,003 | 522,606 | 2,180,799 | 1,459,111 | 695,853 | 747,441 | 750,198 | 211,848 | 760,164 | 117,020 | 159,052 | 161,131 159,052 |
| Revenues: | | | | ,.== | | , | | | _, | .,, | , | , | , | | | , | | |
| General Fund | 100,000 | 124,996 | 394,996 | 0 | | | 90,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | | 100,000 | 0 | | 660,000 | | | | 1,669,992 |
| Program income | 42,961 | 8,287 | 15,787 | 8,287 | | | | 293,533 | 657 | | | | | | | | | 369,512 |
| Repayments (& land sales) | | | | | 8,287 | 30,519 | 225 | 1,422,736 | | | | | _ | | | 10,558 | | 1,472,324 |
| Investment Income | 24,145 | 37,178 | 12,085 | 22,468 | 17,654 | 6,029 | 4,515 | 14,189 | 15,155 | 12,791 | 1,588 | 2,757 | 18,948 | 29,506 | 750 | -3,885 | 2,079 | 3,594 217,952 |
| Water Transfers (meters) Sidewalk Transfers | | 65,000 | 65,004 | 24,996 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 130,004 |
| Developer Contribution | 15,000 | 15,000 | 24,996 30.000 | 24,996 28,012 | 54,293 | 33.426 | 97,000 | | | | | | | | | 88,200 | | 49,992 360,931 |
| DDA & other | 38,336 | 15,000 | 30,000 | 28,012 | 54,293 | 33,420 | 97,000 | | | | | | | | | 88,200 | | 38,336 |
| Total revenues | 220,442 | 250,461 | 542,868 | 83,763 | 80,234 | 69,974 | 191,740 | 1,830,458 | 115,812 | 12,791 | 101,588 | 2,757 | 18,948 | 689,506 | 750 | 94,872 | 2,079 | 3,594 4,309,043 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expenditures: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| YMCA | 68,075 | 35,000 | 20,639 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 123,714 |
| J-Quad/HNA | 57,836 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 57,836 |
| 618 N. Main - Avalon 324 E Summit | 43,000 50,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 43,000 50,000 |
| Ozone House - S.L. Hayes | 2,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2,000 |
| MAP - mini grant | 2.000 | | 2,670 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4,670 |
| Green workshop | 5,181 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5,181 |
| CHA - Glendale closing | 2,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2,000 |
| HCLT - Admin owner units | 5,052 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5,052 |
| NSS - ramps | 12,526 | 7,966 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 20,492 |
| Admin LISC | 4,282 | 7,840 | 10,716 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 22,838 |
| LISC HCLT - mini grant | | 25,000 3.901 | 23,118 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 48,118 3.901 |
| Pice I - mini grant Pine Lake - Huntington Mgmt | | 3,901 2,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2,000 |
| AAHC - S Maple | | 132,610 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 132.610 |
| Avalon/WAHC merger | | 102,010 | 330,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 330,000 |
| sidewalk replacement | | | 22,685 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 22,685 |
| Foreclosure | | | 50,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 50,000 |
| NSS - Xmas in April | | | 5,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5,000 |
| Mini Grant | | | | 2,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2,000 |
| Human Services (Not Otherwi) Deferred Comp, Medical Ins, VEBA, Pension Transfers | | | | 119,500 817 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 119,500 817 |
| CHA - Ashlev Mews (units 105.109) | | | | 817 688 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 817 688 |
| LISC - PO#5830 | | | | 15,675 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 15,675 |
| Michigan Ability Partners - Minigrant - SOAR, Supportive Hsg Training, Equipment, Leadership Training | | | | 10,010 | 6,290 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 6,290 |
| Avalon Housing - Mini Grant | | | | | 3,960 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3,960 |
| AAHC - Study R-10-110 | | | | | 138,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 138,000 |
| Sidewalk Replacement - Saladino | | | | 14,868 | 5,676 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 20,545 |
| Interfaith Hospitality Network | | | | | 64,500 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 64,500 |
| Avalon Housing - Services to WAHC Tenants | | | | | 50,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 50,000 |
| Legal Services of SC Michigan University of Michigan - Mortgage & Tax Foreclosure prevent | | | | | 30,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 30,000 34,524 |
| Parkhurst Apartments - Operating Subsidy | | | | | 34,524 | 24,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | 24,000 |
| AAHC - Training Support | | | | | | 4,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | 4,000 |
| Terra Contracting - Arbor Oaks Storm Sewer Survey | | | | | | 4,314 | | | | | | | | | | | | 4,314 |
| Habitat For Humanity - Mini-grant | | | | | | 2,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | 2,000 |
| Housing Commission Operating Support (R-13-199, 6/17/13) | | | | | | | | 159,000 | | | | | | | | | | 159,000 |
| Washtenaw County - MSUE Foreclosure Prevention | | | | | 33,011 | 41,989 | | | | | | | | | | | | 75,000 |
| Human Services | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Washtenaw County Operational Agreement AAHC RAD Conversion (City Council R-13-176, 6/3/2013) | | | | 21,376 | 10,453 | 1,248 | | | 200.000 | | | | | | | | | 33,077 200,000 |
| AAHC KAD conversion (City Council R-13-176, 63/2013) AAHC Phase I RAD Conversion (City Council R-14-066, 3/3/14; R-14-133, 4/21/14) | | | | | | | | | 200,000 | | | | | | | | | 600,000 |
| Other Administrative | | | | 31 | 34 | | 792 | 2 | 600,000 | | | | | | | | | 859 |
| CAN - Arbor Oaks Flooding work | | | | 51 | | | 10,345 | 13,263 | | | | | | | | | | 23,608 |
| Affordable Housing Needs Assessment (City Council R-14-206, 6/16/14) | | | | | | | · · · | ., | 37,500 | | | | | | | | | 37,500 |
| AAHC RAD Conversion - Phase III (City Council R-14-313, 9/2/14) | | | | | | | | | | 729,879 | | | | | | | | 729,879 |
| Avalon-Broadway Retaining Wall | | | | | | | | | | 46,170 | | | | | | | | 46,170 |
| Avalon Housing- Gott Street purchase contribution | | | | | | | | | | | 50,000 | | | | | | | 50,000 |
| AAHC Swift Lane - (City Council R-15-262, 8/6/15) Broadway Terrace Emercency Sewer Repair | | | | | | | | | | | | | 450,000 | 0 | | | | 450,000 |
| Broadway Terrace Emergency Sewer Repair Avalon Housing - Glendale, Allen, Broadway (City Council R-15-124, 4/20/15) (PO #2019-0490, PO #21-0243) | | | | | | | | | | | | | 92,000 15,298 | 8,000 | 10.558 | | | 100,000 25,856 |
| Avaion Housing - Giendale, Allen, Broadway (City Council K-15-124, 4/20/15) (PO #2019-0490, PO #21-0243) Zoning Analysis for Affordable Housing (PO #2020-0140) | | | | | | | | | | | | | 15,298 | 0 | 10,558 | | | 25,856 |
| Affordable Housing Study (PO #2020-0300) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 81,978 | | | | 81,978 |
| Retention of Affordable Housing Units at Miller Manor, Maple Meadows & Baker Commons (19-1836 / 20-0163) (PO #2020- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 01,010 | | | | 01,970 |
| 0805) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 37,028 | 49,173 | | | 86,201 |
| Support for new affordable housing units at Hickory Way (19-1836 / 20-0163) (PO #2020-0520) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 450,000 | | | 450,000 |
| Avalon Housing-Water Connection Fund-Hickory Way R-17-072 (17-0262, 3/6/17) (PO #2021-0244) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 130,004 | | | 130,004 |
| Support for acquisition/renovation of 108/110 Glendale (19-1836 / 20-0163) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4,159 | 52,841 | | 57,000 |
| Adjustments | -2,674 | | 4,156 | | 080 110 | 7,735 | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | 9,217 |
| Total expenditures | 249,278 | 214,317 | 468,984 | 174,954 | 376,448 | 85,287 77,552 | 11,137 | 172,265 | 837,500 | 776,049 | 50,000 | 0 | 557,298 | 141,190 | 643,894 | 52,841 | 0 | 0 4,811,442 |
| Encumbrances: | | | | | | 11,002 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sidewalk Repair Fund | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 6 762 |
| Avalon Housing - Glendale, Allen, Broadway (City Council R-15-124, 4/20/15) (PO #2019-0490) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 34,703 |
| Avalon Housing - Glendale, Allen, Broadway (City Council R-15-124, 4/20/15) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 35,229 |
| Encumbrance Total | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76,694 |
| Ending balance | 634,694 | 670,838 | 744,722 | 653,531 | 357,316 | 342,003 | 522,606 | 2,180,799 | 1,459,111 | 695,853 | 747,441 | 750,198 | 211,848 | 760,164 | 117,020 | 159,052 | 161,131 | 88,031 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

ANN ARBOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING FUND Statement of Revenues, Expenditures & Fund Balance

| City of Ann Arbor Affordable Housing Fund Summary Transactions 2020 through March 31, 2024 | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------|--------------|--|
| | | | | |
| Program /Developer | Requested | Drawn | Encumbrances | |
| Ann Arbor Side Walk Repair Fund | \$6,762.00 | \$0.00 | \$6,762.00 | Stays restricted but is not budgeted unless reques |
| Avalon Housing, Inc Water Connection Fund-Hickory Way R-17-072 | \$130,004.00 | (\$130,004.00) | \$0.00 | |
| Avalon Housing, Inc. Hickory Way II New | \$150,004.00 | (\$150,004.00) | \$0.00 | |
| Construction R-20-0163 | \$450,000.00 | (\$450,000.00) | \$0.00 | |
| Avalon Housing, Inc. Glendale/Dexter, Allen, Broadway Retaining wall (City Council R-15-124, 4/20/15) | \$96,697.00 | (\$61,468.00) | \$35,229.00 | |
| Avalon Housing, Inc. Glendale/Dexter, Allen, Broadway Retaining wall (City Council R-15-124, 4/20/15) | \$34,703.00 | \$0.00 | \$34,703.00 | |
| Professional Services Housing (AAHC Affordable Housing Study; Zoning analysis) | \$100,000.00 | (\$96,163.00) | \$0.00 | |
| Ann Arbor Housing Commission Broadway Terrace Emergency Sewer Repair | \$100,000.00 | (\$100,000.00) | \$0.00 | |
| Ann Arbor Housing Commission Miller Manor, Maple Meadows, and Baker Commons | \$86,201.00 | (\$86,201.00) | \$0.00 | |
| Avalon Housing, Inc. 108-110 Glendale duplex | | | | |
| renovation R-20-0163 | \$57 <i>,</i> 000.00 | (\$57,000.00) | \$0.00 | |
| | \$1,061,367.00 | (\$980,836.00) | \$76,694.00 | 1 |

| | FY24 | |
|------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Beginning Balance | \$161,131.00 | |
| Total Revenues | \$3,594.00 | investment income |
| Total Expenditures | \$0.00 | |
| Total Encumbrances | \$76,694.00 | |
| Ending Balance as of 3.31.24 | \$88,031.00 | |